JCM Verification Report Form

A. Summary of verification						
A.1. General Information						
Title of the project						
Reference number						
Monitoring period			dd/mm/yyyy - dd/mm/yyyy			
Date of completion of the	he monitorii	ng report	dd/mm/yyyy			
Third-party entity (TPE	E)					
Project participant contr	racting the T	PE				
Date of completion of the	his report		dd/mm/yyyy			
A.2 Conclusion of verification and level of assur Overall verification opinion Positive Negative			tive			
Unqualified opinion		Based on the process and procedure conducted, XX. (TPE's name) provides reasonable assurance that the emission reductions for YYYY (project name) ✓ Are free of material errors and are a fare representation of the GHG data and information, and ✓ Are prepared in line with the related JCM rules procedure, guidelines, forms and other relevant documents				
	egative, please check below and ate its reasons.) Qualified Opinion Adverse opinion		e reasons>			
A.3. Overview of the verification results						
Item Verifica		ation requirements	No CAR or CL			
-				remaining		
implementation with	The TPE determines the conformity of the actual project and its operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology.					

project The TPE assesses the status of the actual project and

methodology

The

Item	Verification req	No CAR or CL			
		remaining			
implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD	its operation with the registere approved revised PDD.				
and correction of	If monitoring Option C determines whether the measure been properly calibrated in I plan and whether measure corrected, where necessary, reductions in line with the Guidelines.				
	The TPE assesses the data at emission reductions achieved project by the application of methodology.				
Avoidance of double registration	The TPE determines wheth registered under other internal mechanisms.				
Post registration changes	The TPE determines who registration changes from the methodology which prevent methodology.				
Authorised signatory:		Mr. Ms.			
Last name:		First name:			
Title:					
Specimen signature:		Dat	e: dd/mm/yyyy		

B. Verification team and other experts

	Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technical competence*	On-sit e visit
Mr. Ms.						
Mr. \square						
Mr. \square						
Mr. Ms.						

Please specify the following for each item.

- * Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.
- * Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.
- * Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.

C. Means of verification, findings and conclusions based on reporting requirements

C.1. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology

<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings> Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.</findings>
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""> Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.</conclusion>

C.2. Assessment of the project implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD

<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.						
C.3. Complia	ance of calibration	frequency and correction of measured values with related				
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>erification></td><td></td></means>	erification>					
<findings></findings>						
Please state if	CARs, CLs, or FAR	Rs are raised, and how they are resolved.				
<conclusion< td=""><td>based on reporting</td><td>g requirements></td></conclusion<>	based on reporting	g requirements>				
Please state c	onclusion based on	reporting requirements.				
C.4. Assessme	ent of data and calcu	ulation of GHG emission reductions				
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>erification></td><td></td></means>	erification>					
Parameters	Monitored	Method to check values in the monitoring report with				
	values	sources				
<findings></findings>						
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.						
<conclusion< td=""><td>based on reporting</td><td>requirements></td></conclusion<>	based on reporting	requirements>				
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.						
C.5. Assessment of avoidance of double registration						
<means of="" verification=""></means>						

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
C.6. Post registration changes
<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
D. Assessment of response to remaining issues
An assessment of response to the remaining issues including FARs from the validation and/or
previous verification period, if appropriate

E. Verified amount of emission reductions achieved

Year	Verified	Reference	Verified	Project	Verified	Emission
	Emissions (tC	O_2e)	Emissions (tCO ₂ 6	e)	Reductions (to	CO_2e)
2013						
2014						
2015						
2016						
2017						
2018						
2019						
2020						
Total (tCo	O_2 e)					

F. List of interviewees and documents received	
F.1. List of interviewees	
F.2. List of documents received	

Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's verification team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.