Additional Information to the Proposed Methodology: "Transportation energy efficiency activities by installing digital tachograph systems"

- 1. Relationship between fuel efficiency of vehicle and temperature
- 2. Monthly mean temperatures of major 3 cities
- 3. Justification to apply travel distance to calculate fuel consumption of freight vehicle

1. Relationship between fuel efficiency of vehicle and temperature

The following table shows monthly average fuel efficiency of taxis in Hanoi, Vietnam. It is observed that the fuel efficiency of vehicle tends to be higher (less efficient) as the temperature goes higher. That is because air conditioners are working during warmer periods of the year.

|          |      |      |      |      |      |      | un   | it: L/100km |
|----------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|-------------|
| Taxi No. | Jan  | Feb  | Mar  | Apr  | May  | Jun  | Jul  | average     |
| No1      | 6.94 | 7.76 | 7.62 | 7.55 | 9.38 | 8.97 | 8.55 | 8.04        |
| No2      | 6.27 | 6.45 | 6.78 | 6.88 | 7.80 | 8.25 | 7.62 | 7.09        |
| No3      | 6.78 | 6.84 | 6.81 | 7.25 | 7.77 | 6.86 | 7.32 | 7.07        |
| No4      | 7.05 | 7.28 | 7.54 | 8.12 | 8.92 | 8.87 | 8.56 | 7.97        |
| No5      | 6.49 | 6.90 | 7.16 | 7.68 | 8.16 | 8.94 | 8.34 | 7.55        |
| No6      | 6.20 | 6.43 | 6.21 | 7.38 | 8.94 | 9.18 | 8.43 | 7.42        |
| No7      | 6.68 | 7.26 | 7.01 | 7.50 | 7.92 | 8.25 | 8.21 | 7.47        |
| No8      | 6.24 | 6.93 | 7.21 | 7.46 | 8.44 | 8.65 | 7.92 | 7.46        |
| No9      | 6.59 | 7.29 | 7.01 | 6.70 | 7.81 | 8.22 | 7.54 | 7.26        |
| average  | 6.59 | 7.00 | 7.05 | 7.41 | 8.37 | 8.44 | 8.06 | 7.49        |



(source)

2012 Feasibility Demonstration Studies for New Mechanisms Project Activities, Global Environment Centre Foundation

## 2. Monthly mean temperatures of major 3 cities

|           |           |            |      |              |              |                |                | Unit (deg      | ree Celsius) |
|-----------|-----------|------------|------|--------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|
|           | Maximum t | emperature |      | Minimum te   | emperature   |                | Average ter    | nperature      |              |
| Area      | Hanoi     | Da Nang    | HCMC | Hanoi        | Da Nang      | HCMC           | Hanoi          | Da Nang        | HCMC         |
| January   | 20        | 25         | 32   | 15           | 20           | 22             | 17.5           | 22.5           | 27           |
| February  | 21        | 26         | 33   | 16           | 20           | 23             | 18.5           | 23             | 28           |
| March     | 23        | 28         | 34   | 19           | 22           | 25             | 21             | 25             | 29.5         |
| April     | 28        | 31         | 35   | 22           | 24           | 26             | 25             | 27.5           | 30.5         |
| May       | 32        | 33         | 34   | 25           | 25           | 26             | 28.5           | 29             | 30           |
| June      | 33        | 34         | 33   | 27           | 26           | 25             | 30             | 30             | 29           |
| July      | 33        | 34         | 32   | 27           | 26           | 25             | 30             | 30             | 28.5         |
| August    | 33        | 34         | 32   | 26           | 26           | 25             | 29.5           | 30             | 28.5         |
| September | 32        | 32         | 32   | 26           | 25           | 25             | 29             | 28.5           | 28.5         |
| October   | 29        | 29         | 31   | 23           | 24           | 24             | 26             | 26.5           | 27.5         |
| November  | 26        | 27         | 32   | 20           | 22           | 23             | 23             | 24.5           | 27.5         |
| December  | 22        | 25         | 31   | 16           | 20           | 22             | 19             | 22.5           | 26.5         |
|           |           |            |      | Hatched item | : Bottom 6 m | onths in order | r of monthly n | nean temperatu | re           |

\*8 months are hatched in HCMC because of the same mean temperatures from July to September.

Source)

MSN Weather Forecast (http://weather.jp.msn.com/) Data provided by FORECA

| Ave. | 24.8     | 26.6    | 28.4     |
|------|----------|---------|----------|
| From | November | October | July     |
| То   | April    | March   | February |

Transition of monthly mean temperature of major 3 cities in Vietnam



Reference: Transition of monthly mean temperature from the different sources (Weatherbase: http://www.weatherbase.com)





Years on Record: 90

Years on Record: 20



Years on Record: 19

## 3. Justification to apply travel distance to calculate fuel consumption of freight vehicle

In this methodology, reference emissions are calculated for each freight vehicle by multiplying reference fuel efficiency of freight vehicle set from actual data of fuel consumption and travel distance in the past, project distance travelled by freight vehicle, net calorific value and CO2 emission factor of fuel used by freight vehicles. Therefore, accuracy of calculation depends on reproducibility of actual fuel consumption by reference fuel efficiency of freight vehicle.

Considering the availability of relevant data on travelling of freight vehicle, fuel efficiency can be calculated based on travel distance or freight ton-km. Analysis has been conducted to justify the application of travel distance to calculate fuel consumption of freight vehicle. Statistical data published by Ministry of Land, Infrastructure, Transport and Tourism, Japan, has been used for analysis.

1) Correlation between actual and estimated fuel consumption

Correlation analysis has been conducted between actual fuel consumption of freight vehicles and fuel consumption estimated by each year's total travel distance or freight ton-km.

As for estimation by travel distance, diesel oil consumptions per travel distance unit of 5 previous years are averaged, and then fuel consumption for each year is estimated by multiplying that year's total travel distance by the averaged unit. Same method is also applied for estimation by freight ton-km.

The following graphs and tables show the results of correlation analysis for both travel distance and freight ton-km.

The coefficients of determination, denoted  $R^2$ , are 0.7431 for travel distance and 0.2781 for freight ton-km. Travel distance has a relatively stronger correlation with fuel consumption compared with freight ton-km. It indicates that actual fuel consumption can be numerically reproduced by travel distance.





## 2) Conclusion

Freight ton-km is used to estimate fuel consumption in CDM methodology. Considering the correlation analysis results, it can be said that fuel consumption of freight vehicle can be estimated by travel distance.

Data on travelling of freight vehicles

| year | freight ton-<br>km | travel<br>distance | diesel oil<br>consumption | diesel oil<br>consumption<br>per freight<br>ton-km unit | average of<br>previou 5<br>years | diesel oil<br>consumption<br>per travel<br>distance unit | average of<br>previou 5<br>years | estimation of<br>diesel oil<br>consumption<br>(freight ton-<br>km) | estimation of<br>diesel oil<br>consumption<br>(travel<br>distance) |
|------|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---|----------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|--|
|      | thousand<br>ton-km | thousand km        | 10*3kL                    | l/ton-km  | l/ton-km                         | l/km   | l/km                             | 10*3kL   | 10*3kL   |
| 1989 | 166,101,248        | 37,788,744         | 10,286                    | 0.061928  |                                  | 0.272207   | 1                                |  |  |
| 1990 | 172,834,111        | 39,732,101         | 10,891                    | 0.063014  |                                  | 0.274110   | 1                                | 1  | 1  |
| 1991 | 178,483,908        | 43,131,074         | 11,958                    | 0.067000  | I                                | 0.277258   | 1                                | 1  | I  |
| 1992 | 178,291,846        | 44,265,717         | 12,345                    | 0.069243  | I                                | 0.278894   | I                                | I  | I  |
| 1993 | 177,249,387        | 44,544,043         | 12,555                    | 0.070835  | I                                | 0.281865   | ١                                | I  | I  |
| 1994 | 181,364,596        | 46,372,890         | 13,048                    | 0.071941  | 0.066404                         | 0.281362   | 0.276867                         | 12,043   | 12,839   |
| 1995 | 192,152,656        | 48,538,375         | 13,684                    | 0.071214  | 0.068407                         | 0.281920   | 0.278698                         | 13,145   | 13,528   |
| 1996 | 200,089,008        | 50,614,305         | 14,353                    | 0.071735  | 0.070047                         | 0.283583   | 0.280260                         | 14,016   | 14,185   |
| 1997 | 202,958,407        | 51,162,075         | 14,455                    | 0.071223  | 0.070993                         | 0.282538   | 0.281525                         | 14,409   | 14,403   |
| 1998 | 201,266,019        | 49,917,046         | 14,021                    | 0.069662  | 0.071389                         | 0.280877   | 0.282254                         | 14,368   | 14,089   |
| 1999 | 207,773,383        | 51,588,499         | 14,216                    | 0.068422  | 0.071155                         | 0.275571   | 0.282056                         | 14,784   | 14,551   |
| 2000 | 217,398,125        | 54,226,378         | 14,738                    | 0.067791  | 0.070451                         | 0.271781   | 0.280898                         | 15,316   | 15,232   |
| 2001 | 219,860,277        | 54,162,746         | 14,596                    | 0.066390  | 0.069767                         | 0.269493   | 0.278870                         | 15,339   | 15,104   |
| 2002 | 220,346,109        | 54,485,295         | 14,565                    | 0.066100  | 0.068698                         | 0.267317   | 0.276052                         | 15,137   | 15,041   |
| 2003 | 230,484,930        | 56,328,878         | 14,594                    | 0.063318  | 0.067673                         | 0.259082   | 0.273008                         | 15,598   | 15,378   |
| 2004 | 235,700,119        | 55,159,919         | 13,785                    | 0.058486  | 0.066404                         | 0.249914   | 0.268649                         | 15,651   | 14,819   |
| 2005 | 243,192,857        | 54,644,511         | 13,546                    | 0.055702  | 0.064417                         | 0.247898   | 0.263517                         | 15,666   | 14,400   |
| 2006 | 250,963,378        | 55,879,308         | 13,616                    | 0.054257  | 0.061999                         | 0.243676   | 0.258741                         | 15,560   | 14,458   |
| 2007 | 259,225,501        | 56,618,368         | 13,719                    | 0.052923  | 0.059572                         | 0.242307   | 0.253577                         | 15,443   | 14,357   |
| 2008 | 250,812,202        | 54,653,167         | 13,208                    | 0.052662  | 0.056937                         | 0.241672   | 0.248575                         | 14,281   | 13,585   |
| 2009 | 242,658,399        | 52,265,327         | 12,477                    | 0.051417  | 0.054806                         | 0.238722   | 0.245093                         | 13,299   | 12,810   |
|      |                    |                    | F<br>-<br>-               |   |                                  |  | ·                                |  |  |

(source: Statistical Report on Motor Vehicle Transport, Ministry of Land Infrastructure Transport and Tourisum Japan)