JCM Verification Report Form

A. Summary of verification						
A.1. General Information						
Title of the project						
Reference number						
Monitoring period			dd/mm/yyyy - dd/mm/yyyy			
Date of completion of t	he monitorii	ng report	dd/mm/yyyy			
Third-party entity (TPI	Ε)					
Project participant cont	racting the T	ГРЕ				
Date of completion of t	his report		dd/mm/yyyy			
A.2 Conclusion of verification and level of assurance						
Overall verification opi	inion	Posit				
Unqualified opinio (If overall verification negative, please check state its reasons.) □ Qualified Opinion □ Adverse opinion □ Disclaimer	opinion is	Based of (TPE's remission ✓ Are repr ✓ Are proceed doors	on the process and procedure conducted, XXX name) provides reasonable assurance that the reductions for YYYY (project name) free of material errors and are a fair resentation of the GHG data and information, and prepared in line with the related JCM rules, reduce, guidelines, forms and other relevant tuments the reasons>			
A.3. Overview of the verification results						
Item Verifica			ation requirements	No CAR or CL		
The				remaining		
implementation with	The TPE determines the conformity of the actual project and its operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology.					

project The TPE assesses the status of the actual project and

the

methodology

The

applied

Item	Verification req	No CAR or CL	
		remaining	
implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD	its operation with the registere approved revised PDD.		
and correction of	If monitoring Option C determines whether the measure been properly calibrated in I plan and whether measure corrected, where necessary, reductions in line with the Guidelines.		
	The TPE assesses the data at emission reductions achieved project by the application of methodology.		
Avoidance of double registration	The TPE determines wheth registered under other internal mechanisms.		
Post registration changes	The TPE determines who registration changes from the methodology which prevent methodology.		
Authorised signatory:		Mr. Ms.	
Last name:		First name:	
Title:			
Specimen signature:		Dat	e: dd/mm/yyyy

B. Verification team and other experts

	Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technical competence*	On-sit e visit
Mr. Ms.						
Mr. \square						
Mr. \square						
Mr. Ms.						

Please specify the following for each item.

- * Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.
- * Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.
- * Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.

C. Means of verification, findings and conclusions based on reporting requirements

C.1. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology

approx memorosgy
<means of="" verification=""></means>
< Findings > Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.2. Assessment of the project implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD

<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.					
C.3. Complia requirements	ance of calibration	frequency and correction of measured values with related			
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>erification></td><td></td></means>	erification>				
<findings></findings>	CADa CLa an FAL	On any naised, and how they are needly ad			
Please state ij	CARS, CLS, or FAR	Rs are raised, and how they are resolved.			
<conclusion< td=""><td>based on reporting</td><td>requirements></td></conclusion<>	based on reporting	requirements>			
		reporting requirements.			
C.4. Assessme	ent of data and calcu	ulation of GHG emission reductions			
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>erification></td><td></td></means>	erification>				
ъ .					
Parameters	Monitored	Method to check values in the monitoring report with			
	values	sources			
<findings></findings>					
	CARs. CLs. or FAR	Rs are raised, and how they are resolved.			
J	.,, .				
<conclusion< td=""><td>based on reporting</td><td>g requirements></td></conclusion<>	based on reporting	g requirements>			
Please state c	onclusion based on	reporting requirements.			
C.5. Assessme	ent of avoidance of	double registration			
<means of="" verification=""></means>					

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
C.6. Post registration changes
<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
D. Assessment of response to remaining issues
An assessment of response to the remaining issues including FARs from the validation and/or
previous verification period, if appropriate

E. Verified amount of emission reductions achieved

Year	Verified	Reference	Verified	Project	Verified	Emission
	Emissions (tCC	O_2e)	Emissions (tCO ₂ e))	Reductions (tCo	O_2e)
2013						
2014						
2015						
2016						
2017						
2018						
2019						
2020						
Total (tCo	O ₂ e)					

Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's verification team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.