JCM Proposed Methodology Form

Cover sheet of the Proposed Methodology Form

Form for submitting the proposed methodology

Host Country	Kingdom of Thailand
Name of the methodology proponents	AGC Inc.,
submitting this form	AGC Chemicals (Thailand) Co.,Ltd.
Sectoral scope(s) to which the Proposed	3. Energy demand
Methodology applies	
Title of the proposed methodology, and	Introduction of High Efficiency Electrolyzer in
version number	Caustic Soda Production Plant, Version 01.0
List of documents to be attached to this	☐The attached draft JCM-PDD:
form (please check):	⊠Additional information
Date of completion	08/06/2020

History of the proposed methodology

Version	Date	Contents revised
01.0	08/06/2020	First edition

A. Title of the methodology

Introduction of High Efficiency Electrolyzer in Caustic Soda Production Plant, Version 01.0

B. Terms and definitions

Terms	Definitions	
Electrolyzer	An equipment used for the electrolysis of sodium chloride	
	solution, composed of an anode chamber, anode, cathode	
	chamber, cathode, and an ion exchange membrane.	
Ion-exchange membrane	Method for the electrolysis of sodium chloride solution	
(IEM) technology	separating the cathode and anode chambers, in which a	
	cation exchange membrane possesses special properties that	
	permit only transmission of cations (positive ions) not of	
	anions (negative ions).	
Bipolar electrolyzer	Electrolyzer in which the elements are connected in series	
	and the power supply is connected only to the end part of the	
	electrolyzer.	

C. Summary of the methodology

Items	Summary	
GHG emission reduction	Installing ion-exchange membrane electrolyzer, which reduces	
measures	electricity resistance of the electrolyzer unit and achieves	
	electricity consumption reduction in the caustic soda process.	
	This methodology applies to the project that introduces high	
	efficiency electrolyzer in caustic soda production plant.	
Calculation of reference	Reference emissions are GHG emissions from using reference	
emissions	electrolyzer, calculated with electricity consumption of project	
	electrolyzer, ratio of the performance guaranteed values of	
	specific electricity consumptions (SECs) of reference/project	
	electrolyzers and CO ₂ emission factor for consumed electricity.	
Calculation of project	Project emissions are GHG emissions from using project	
emissions	electrolyzer, calculated with electricity consumption of project	

	electrolyzer and CO ₂ emission factor for electricity consumed.	
Monitoring parameters	Electricity consumption of project electrolyzer	

D. Eligibility criteria

This methodology is applicable to projects that satisfy all of the following criteria.

Criterion 1	Bipolar electrolyzer(s), which employs an ion-exchange membrane technology,			
	is installed in the manufacturing process of caustic soda.			
Criterion 2	SEC value of project electrolyzer under the standard conditions, 32% NaOH			
	and	and 90 degrees Celsius is less than threshold SEC value set in the table below		
	under the standard conditions, 32% NaOH and 90 degrees Celsius;			
		CD (Current density) [kA/m ²]	Threshold SEC value of the	
			electrolyzer [kWh (DC)/t-NaOH]	
		$4.0 \le CD < 4.5$	2,013	
		$4.5 \le CD < 5.0$	2,038	
		$5.0 \le CD < 5.5$	2,061	
		$5.5 \le CD < 6.0$	2,086	
		$6.0 \le CD < 6.5$	2,110	
	-		_	
	SEC value of project electrolyzer is derived from performance guaranteed value			
	provided by manufacturer.			

E. Emission Sources and GHG types

Reference emissions		
Emission sources	GHG types	
Electricity consumption of reference electrolyzer	CO_2	
Project emissions		
Emission sources	GHG types	
Electricity consumption of project electrolyzer	CO_2	

F. Establishment and calculation of reference emissions

F.1. Establishment of reference emissions

Reference emissions are calculated by multiplying electricity consumption of project electrolyzer and ratio of SEC values of reference/project electrolyzer, and CO₂ emission factor for consumed electricity. The SEC value of reference electrolyzer is conservatively set as a default value in the following manner to ensure net emission reductions.

All electrolyzers in the caustic soda process in Japan employ ion-exchange membrane technology, and the average of SEC values of the electrolyzers is 2,364 kWh (DC)/t-NaOH (Japan Soda Industry Association, 2016).

The range of averaged SEC values of the bipolar electrolyzers in EU is from 2,191 kWh (DC)/t-NaOH to 2,236 kWh (DC)/t-NaOH based on the document "Best Available Techniques (BAT) Reference Document for the Production of Chlor-alkali, 2014" by assuming general AC/DC efficiency of 96% to 98% (European Commission, 2014).

The performance guaranteed values of SECs of possible model of bipolar electrolyzer available in Thailand were collected and averaged within the same CD ranges as those in the above-mentioned document by European Commission (2.9 kA/m² to 5.4 kA/m²). Accordingly, the averaged SEC value is calculated as 1,999 kWh (DC)/t-NaOH.

As a result, it is considered that the possible model of bipolar electrolyzer which is available in the country has comparable efficiency advantage as it has the lower SEC value than that of electrolyzers reported in the document published in EU. Hence it is determined to be the reference electrolyzer.

In order to make it comparable, the SEC values of reference and project electrolyzers are both based on performance guarantee.

Considering the fact that SEC values are positively correlated with CD, the reference SEC values are set into five range of CDs. The SEC values of the reference electrolyzer are determined as 2,013, 2,038, 2,061, 2,086 and 2,110 kWh (DC)/t-NaOH corresponding to the range of CDs of 4.0, 4.5, 5.0, 5.5 and 6.0 kA/m² respectively.

F.2. Calculation of reference emissions

$$RE_{p} = \sum_{i} EC_{RE,i,p} \times EF_{elec} = \sum_{i} \left(EC_{PJ,i,p} \times \frac{SEC_{RE,i}}{SEC_{PJ,i}} \right) \times EF_{elec}$$

 RE_p : Reference emissions during the period p [tCO₂/p]

 $EC_{RE,i,p}$: Electricity consumption of the reference electrolyzer i

during the period *p* [MWh/p]

 $EC_{PJ,i,p}$: Electricity consumption of the project electrolyzer i during

the period *p* [MWh/p]

 $SEC_{RE,i}$: SEC value of the reference electrolyzer i [kWh(DC)/t-

NaOH]

 $SEC_{PJ,i}$: SEC value of the project electrolyzer i [kWh(DC)/t-NaOH] EF_{elec} : CO₂ emission factor for consumed electricity [tCO₂/MWh]

i : Identification number of project electrolyzer [-]

G. Calculation of project emissions

$$PE_p = \sum_{i} EC_{PJ,i,p} \times EF_{elec}$$

 PE_p : Project emissions during the period p [tCO₂/p]

 EC_{PLin} : Electricity consumption of the project electrolyzer i during the

period *p* [MWh/p]

*EF*_{elec} : CO₂ emission factor for consumed electricity [tCO₂/MWh]

i : Identification number of project electrolyzer [-]

H. Calculation of emissions reductions

$$ER_n = RE_n - PE_n$$

 ER_p : Emission reductions during the period p [tCO₂/p] RE_p : Reference emissions during the period p [tCO₂/p] PE_p : Project emissions during the period p [tCO₂/p]

I. Data and parameters fixed ex ante

The source of each data and parameter fixed ex ante is listed as below.

Parameter	Description of data		Source
$SEC_{RE,i}$	SEC value of the reference electrolyzer <i>i</i>		Additional information
	[kWh (DC)/t-NaOH].		The default values are derived
	The default value is set by the following		from the performance
	table.		guaranteed value of possible
			model electrolyzer available in
	CD [kA/m²]	SEC value of the	Thailand.
	corresponding to	reference	The $SEC_{RE,i}$ should be revised
	SEC performance	electrolyzer [kWh	if necessary from survey result
	guarantee of the	(DC)/t-NaOH]	which is conducted by the
	project electrolyzer		Joint Committee or project
	$4.0 \le CD < 4.5$	2,013	participants.
	$4.5 \le CD < 5.0$	2,038	
	$5.0 \le CD < 5.5$	2,061	
	$5.5 \le CD < 6.0$	2,086	
	$6.0 \le CD < 6.5$	2,110	
$SEC_{PJ,i}$	SEC value of the project electrolyzer i		Performance guaranteed value
	[kWh(DC)/t-NaOH].		provided by manufacturer of
			the project electrolyzer.
EF_{elec}	CO ₂ emission factor for consumed		[Grid electricity]
	electricity.		The most recent value
			available at the time of
	When the project equip	ment consumes only	validation is applied and fixed
	grid electricity or captiv	ve electricity, the	for the monitoring period
	project participant appl	ies the CO ₂ emission	thereafter. The data is sourced
	factor respectively.		from "Grid Emission Factor
	When the project equipment may consume both grid electricity and captive electricity, the project participant applies the CO ₂ emission factor with lower value. [CO ₂ emission factor] For grid electricity: The most recent value available from the source stated in this table at the time of validation		(GEF) of Thailand", endorsed
			by Thailand Greenhouse Gas
			Management Organization
			unless otherwise instructed by
			the Joint Committee.
			[Captive electricity]
			For the option a)
			Specification of the captive

For captive electricity, it is determined based on the following options:

efficiency (η_{elec} [%]) obtained from manufacturer's specification

The power generation efficiency based on lower heating value (LHV) of the captive power generation system from the manufacturer's specification is applied;

a) Calculated from its power generation

$$EF_{elec} = 3.6 \times \frac{100}{\eta_{elec}} \times EF_{fuel}$$

b) Calculated from measured data
The power generation efficiency calculated from monitored data of the amount of fuel input for power generation ($FC_{PJ,p}$) and the amount of electricity generated ($EG_{PJ,p}$) during the monitoring period p is applied. The measurement is conducted with the monitoring equipment to which calibration certificate is issued by an entity accredited under national/international standards;

$$EF_{elec} = FC_{PJ,p} \times NCV_{fuel} \times EF_{fuel} \times \frac{1}{EG_{PJ,p}}$$

Where:

 NCV_{fuel} : Net calorific value of consumed fuel [GJ/mass or volume]

Note:

In case the captive electricity generation system meets all of the following conditions, the value in the following table may be applied to EF_{elec} depending on the consumed fuel type.

The system is non-renewable generation

power generation system provided by the manufacturer $(\eta_{elec} \, [\%])$.

 CO_2 emission factor of the fossil fuel type used in the captive power generation system (EF_{fuel} [tCO₂/GJ])

For the option b)

Generated and supplied electricity by the captive power generation system ($EG_{PJ,p}$ [MWh/p]).

Fuel amount consumed by the captive power generation system ($FC_{PJ,p}$ [mass or volume/p]).

Net calorific value (NCV_{fuel} [GJ/mass or volume]) and CO₂ emission factor of the fuel (EF_{fuel} [tCO₂/GJ]) in order of preference:

- 1) values provided by the fuel supplier;
- 2) measurement by the project participants;
- 3) regional or national default values;
- 4) IPCC default values provided in table 1.2 and 1.4 of Ch.1 Vol.2 of 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories. Lower value is applied.

system

 Electricity generation capacity of the system is less than or equal to 15 MW

fuel type	Diesel fuel	Natural gas
EF_{elec}	0.8 *1	0.46 *2

*1 The most recent value at the time of validation is applied.

*2 The value is calculated with the equation in the option a) above. The lower value of default effective CO₂ emission factor for natural gas (0.0543tCO₂/GJ), and the most efficient value of default efficiency for offgrid gas turbine systems (42%) are applied.

[Captive electricity with diesel fuel]

CDM approved small scale methodology: AMS-I.A.

[Captive electricity with natural gas] 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories for the source of EF of natural gas.

CDM Methodological tool
"Determining the baseline
efficiency of thermal or
electric energy generation
systems version02.0" for the
default efficiency for off-grid
power plants.