## **JCM Verification Report Form**

A. Summary of verification				
A.1. General Information	on			
Title of the project				
Reference number				
Monitoring period				
Date of completion of t	he monitorii	ng report		
Third-party entity (TPE	Ε)			
Project participant cont	racting the T	PE		
Date of completion of t	his report			
A.2 Conclusion of verification opi		Posit	tive	
		☐ Nega	ntive	
(TPE's emission  ✓ Are reproduced to the control of the control o		on the process and procedure conducted, XXX name) provides reasonable assurance that the reductions for YYYY (project name)  free of material errors and are a fair resentation of the GHG data and information, and prepared in line with the related JCM rules, redure, guidelines, forms and other relevant numents  the reasons>		
A.3. Overview of the verification results			N. CAD CY	
Item		Verifica	ation requirements	No CAR or CL
The project	The TPF determines		s the conformity of the actual	remaining
implementation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology		on with the eligibility criteria of		

project The TPE assesses the status of the actual project and

The

Item	Verification req	No CAR or CL	
		remaining	
implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD	its operation with the registere approved revised PDD.		
and correction of	If monitoring Option C determines whether the measurement been properly calibrated in liplan and whether measure corrected, where necessary, reductions in line with the Guidelines.		
	The TPE assesses the data are emission reductions achieved project by the application of methodology.		
Avoidance of double registration	The TPE determines wheth registered under other internal mechanisms.		
Post registration changes	The TPE determines who registration changes from the methodology which prevent methodology.		
Authorised signatory:		Mr. Ms.	
Last name:		First name:	
Title:			
Specimen signature:		Dat	e: dd/mm/yyyy

## B. Verification team and other experts

	Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technical competence*	On-sit e visit
Mr. Ms.						
Mr. $\square$						
Mr. $\square$						
Mr. Ms.						

Please specify the following for each item.

- \* Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.
- \* Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.
- \* Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.

## C. Means of verification, findings and conclusions based on reporting requirements

C.1. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology

<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings> Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.</findings>
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""> Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.</conclusion>

C.2. Assessment of the project implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD

<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.					
C.3. Complia	ance of calibration	frequency and correction of measured values with related			
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>erification&gt;</td><td></td></means>	erification>				
<findings></findings>	CARA CLA ON EAR	Rs are raised, and how they are resolved.			
Tieuse siute ij	CARS, CLS, OF TAR	as are raisea, and now they are resolved.			
<conclusion< td=""><td>based on reporting</td><td>g requirements&gt;</td></conclusion<>	based on reporting	g requirements>			
		reporting requirements.			
C.4. Assessme	ent of data and calcu	ulation of GHG emission reductions			
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>erification&gt;</td><td></td></means>	erification>				
Parameters	Monitored	Method to check values in the monitoring report with			
Turumeters	values	sources			
	1				
<findings></findings>					
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.					
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>					
Please state c	Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.				
C.5. Assessment of avoidance of double registration <means of="" verification=""></means>					
<ivieans of="" td="" ve<=""><td>ermeation&gt;</td><td></td></ivieans>	ermeation>				

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
C.6. Post registration changes
<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
D. Assessment of response to remaining issues
An assessment of response to the remaining issues including FARs from the validation and/or
previous verification period, if appropriate

## E. Verified amount of emission reductions achieved

Year	Verified	Reference	Verified	Project	Verified	Emission
	Emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)		Emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)		Reductions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	
2013						
2014						
2015						
2016						
2017						
2018						
2019						
2020						
Total						
(tCO <sub>2</sub> e)						

F. List of interviewees and documents received
F.1. List of interviewees
F.2. List of documents received

Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's verification team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.