JCM Validation Report Form

A. Summary of validation			
A.1. General Information			
Title of the project			
Reference number			
Third-party entity (TPE)			
Project participant contracting the TPE			
Date of completion of this report			
A.2 Conclusion of validation			
Overall validation opinion	☐ Positive		
	☐ Negative		

A.3. Overview of final validation conclusion

Only when all of the checkboxes are checked, overall validation opinion is positive.

Item	Validation requirements	No CAR or CL remaining
Project design document form	The TPE determines whether the PDD was completed using the latest version of the PDD forms appropriate to the type of project and drafted in line with the Guidelines for Developing the Joint Crediting Mechanism (JCM) Project Design Document, Monitoring Plan and Monitoring Report.	
Project description	The description of the proposed JCM project in the PDD is accurate, complete, and provides comprehension of the proposed JCM project.	
Application of approved JCM methodology (ies)	The project is eligible for applying applied methodology and that the applied version is valid at the time of submission of the proposed JCM project for validation.	
Emission sources and calculation of emission	All relevant GHG emission sources covered in the methodology are addressed for the purpose of calculating project emissions and reference emissions for the proposed JCM project.	
reductions	The values for project specific parameters to be fixed <i>ex ante</i> listed in the Monitoring Plan Sheet are appropriate, if applicable.	
Environmental impact assessment	The project participants conducted an environmental impact assessment, if required by the Kingdom of Thailand, in line with Thai procedures.	
Local stakeholder consultation	The project participants have completed a local stakeholder consultation process and that due steps were taken to engage stakeholders and solicit comments for the proposed project.	

Item	Validation requi	No CAR or CL remaining	
Monitoring	The description of the Monitorin Sheet and Monitoring Structure approved methodology and/or G the Joint Crediting Mechanism Document, Monitoring Plan, and I The monitoring points for measur well as whether the types of equi appropriate if necessary.	nn ee eg mas en ee	
Public inputs	All inputs on the PDD of the submitted in line with the Projutaken into due account by the proj		
Modalities of communications	The corporate identity of all proje point, as well as the personal iden signatures and employment sta signatories are included in the Mo	en 🗆	
	The MoC has been correctly authorized.	ly 🗆	
Avoidance of double registration	The proposed JCM project is no international climate mitigation m	er	
Start of operation	The start of the operating date of the does not predate January 1, 2013.	ct	
Authorised signate	ory:	Mr. Ms.	
Last name: Title:		First name:	
		e: dd/mm/yyyy	

B. Validation team and other experts

	Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technical competence*	On-site visit
Mr. Ms.						
Mr. \square						
Mr. \square						
Mr. Ms.						

Please specify the following for each item.

- * Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.
- * Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.
- * Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.

C. Means of validation, findings, and conclusion based on reporting requirements

<i>1</i> '	Project	dacton	document	torm
\ .	LIOIGUL	UCSIPIL	искинки	1 ()

, .
<means of="" validation=""></means>
Circuit of variations
<findings></findings>
Ö
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.2. Project description

<means of="" validation=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
C.3. Application of approved methodology(ies)
<means of="" validation=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
C.4. Emission sources and calculation of emission reductions
<means of="" validation=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
C.5. Environmental impact assessment
<means of="" validation=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
C.C. Local atalyahaldan agusyitatian
C.6. Local stakeholder consultation
<means of="" validation=""></means>
<findings></findings>
~ mungs/

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.7. Monitoring

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.8. Modalities of Communication

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.9. Avoidance of double registration

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.10. Start of operation

<means of="" validation=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
Trease state if CARS, CLS, or TARS are raised, and now they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
C.11. Other issues
<means of="" validation=""></means>
<pre></pre>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
D. Information on public inputs
D.1. Summary of public inputs
Diff. Summary of paone inputs
D.2. Summary of how inputs received have been taken into account by the project participants
E. List of interviewees and documents received
E.1. List of interviewees
E.1. List of finelylewees

E.2. List of documents received		

Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.