# **JCM Validation Report Form**

A. Summary of validation		
A.1. General Information		
Title of the project		
Reference number		
Third-party entity (TPE)		
Project participant contracting the TPE		
Date of completion of this report		

#### A.2 Conclusion of validation

1

Overall validation opinion	Desitive
	Negative

# A.3. Overview of final validation conclusion

Only when all of the checkboxes are checked, overall validation opinion is positive.

Item	Validation requirements	No CAR or CL remaining
Project design document form	The TPE determines whether the PDD was completed using the latest version of the PDD forms appropriate to the type of project and drafted in line with the Guidelines for Developing the Joint Crediting Mechanism (JCM) Project Design Document, Monitoring Plan and Monitoring Report.	
Project description	The description of the proposed JCM project in the PDD is accurate, complete, and provides comprehension of the proposed JCM project.	
Application of approved JCM methodology (ies)	The project is eligible for applying applied methodology and that the applied version is valid at the time of submission of the proposed JCM project for validation.	
Emission sources and calculation of emission	All relevant GHG emission sources covered in the methodology are addressed for the purpose of calculating project emissions and reference emissions for the proposed JCM project.	
reductions	The values for project specific parameters to be fixed <i>ex ante</i> listed in the Monitoring Plan Sheet are appropriate, if applicable.	
Environmental impact assessment	The project participants conducted an environmental impact assessment, if required by the Kingdom of Thailand, in line with Thai procedures.	
Local stakeholder consultation	The project participants have completed a local stakeholder consultation process and that due steps were taken to engage stakeholders and solicit comments for the proposed project.	
Monitoring	The description of the Monitoring Plan (Monitoring Plan Sheet and Monitoring Structure Sheet) is based on the	

Item	Validation requirements	No CAR or CL
		remaining
	approved methodology and/or Guidelines for Developing	
	the Joint Crediting Mechanism (JCM) Project Design	
	Document, Monitoring Plan, and Monitoring Report.	
	The monitoring points for measurement are appropriate, as	
	well as whether the types of equipment to be installed are	
	appropriate if necessary.	
Public	All inputs comments on the PDD of the proposed JCM	
<u>commentinputs</u>	project submitted in line with the Project Cycle Procedure	
	are taken into due account by the project participants.	
Modalities of	The corporate identity of all project participants and a focal	
communications	point, as well as the personal identities, including specimen	
	signatures and employment status, of their authorized	
	signatories are included in the MoC.	
	The MoC has been correctly completed and duly authorized.	
Avoidance of	The proposed JCM project is not registered under other	
double	GHG mitigation creditinginternational climate mitigation	
registration	mechanisms.	
Start of	The start of the operating date of the proposed JCM project	
operation	does not predate January 1, 2013.	

Authorised signatory:	Mr.	Ms.
Last name:	First name:	
Title:		
Specimen signature:		Date: dd/mm/yyyy

#### B. Validation team and other experts

Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technical competence*	On-site visit

Please specify the following for each item.

- \* Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.
- \* Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.
- \* Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.

# C. Means of validation, findings, and conclusion based on reporting requirements

C.1. Project design document form

<Means of validation>

#### <Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

## C.2. Project description

#### <Means of validation>

## <Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

## <Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

## C.3. Application of approved methodology(ies)

#### <Means of validation>

#### <Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

#### <Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

#### C.4. Emission sources and calculation of emission reductions

#### <Means of validation>

## <Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

## <Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

#### C.5. Environmental impact assessment

## <Means of validation>

## <Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

## <Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

## C.6. Local stakeholder consultation

## <Means of validation>

## <Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

## <Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

#### C.7. Monitoring

#### <Means of validation>

#### <Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

#### C.8. Modalities of Communication

#### <Means of validation>

## <Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

#### <Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

## C.9. Avoidance of double registration

## <Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

## <Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

#### C.10. Start of operation

## <Means of validation>

## <Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

#### <Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

#### C.11. Other issues

## <Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

#### <Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

**D.** Information on public inputscomments

D.1. Summary of public inputscomments

D.2. Summary of how <u>inputs comments</u> received have been taken into account by the project participants

#### E. List of interviewees and documents received

E.1. List of interviewees

## E.2. List of documents received

l

7

**Annex**<u>Attachment</u> Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.