# **JCM Verification Report Form**

A. Summary of verification				
A.1. General Informatio	n			
Title of the project				
Reference number				
Monitoring period				
Date of completion of	the monitorii	ng report		
Third-party entity (TP)	E)			
Project participant con	tracting the	ГРЕ		
Date of completion of	this report			
A.2 Conclusion of verification and level of ass  Overall verification opinion  Decre				
(TPE's emission  ✓ Are reproduced to the control of the control o		on the process and procedure conducted, XXX name) provides reasonable assurance that the reductions for YYYY (project name) free of material errors and are a fair resentation of the GHG data and information, and prepared in line with the related JCM rules, cedure, guidelines, forms and other relevant uments he reasons>		
Adverse opinion				
A.3. Overview of the ve	erification res		tion requirements	No CAR or CL remaining
implementation with	the applied methodolo		the conformity of the actual on with the eligibility criteria of egy.	

project The TPE assesses the status of the actual project and

The

Item	Verification rec	No CAR or CL	
TC:	volineation for	remaining	
implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD	its operation with the register approved revised PDD.		
and correction of	If monitoring Option C is selected, the TPE determines whether the measuring equipment have been properly calibrated in line with the monitoring plan and whether measured values are properly corrected, where necessary, to calculate emission reductions in line with the PDD and Monitoring Guidelines.		
	The TPE assesses the data and calculations of GHG emission reductions achieved by/resulting from the project by the application of the selected approved methodology.		
Avoidance of double registration	The TPE determines whether the project is not registered under other international climate mitigation mechanisms.		
Post registration changes	The TPE determines whether there are post registration changes from the registered PDD and/or methodology which prevent the use of the applied methodology.		
Authorized signatory			
Authorised signatory:  Last name:		First name:	
Title:		rnst hame.	
Specimen signature:		Dat	e: dd/mm/yyyy

### B. Verification team and other experts

Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technical competence*	On-site visit

Please specify the following for each item.

- \* Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.
- \* Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.
- \* Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.

### C. Means of verification, findings and conclusions based on reporting requirements

C.1. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology

# applied methodology <Means of verification> <Findings> Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved. <Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.2. Assessment of the project implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD

revised PDD
<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.3. Complian requirements	nce of calibration	frequency and correction of measured values with related
<means of="" th="" ve<=""><th>erification&gt;</th><th></th></means>	erification>	
<findings> Please state ig</findings>	f CARs, CLs, or FA.	Rs are raised, and how they are resolved.
	based on reporting	ng requirements> n reporting requirements.
C.4. Assessmen	nt of data and calcu	lation of GHG emission reductions
<means of="" th="" ve<=""><th>erification&gt;</th><th></th></means>	erification>	
Parameters	Monitored	Method to check values in the monitoring report with
	values	sources
<findings> Please state ij</findings>	CARs, CLs, or FA	Rs are raised, and how they are resolved.
	based on reportin	ng requirements> n reporting requirements.
S. F. A		
Means of very contract of very contra	nt of avoidance of derification>	louble registration
<findings> Please state ij</findings>	f CARs, CLs, or FA.	Rs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
C.6. Post registration changes
<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
D. Assessment of response to remaining issues
An assessment of response to the remaining issues including FARs from the validation and/or
previous verification period, if appropriate

## E. Verified amount of emission reductions achieved

Year	Verified Reference	Verified Project	Verified Emission
	Emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Reductions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)
2021			
2022			
2023			
2024			
2025			
2026			
2027			
2028			
2029			
2030			
Total (tC	O <sub>2</sub> e)		

<sup>\*</sup>Note: Rows may be added as necessary up to the end of the period referred to in paragraph 5 of the Rules of Implementation of the Joint Crediting Mechanism (JCM).

F. List of interviewees and documents received
F.1. List of interviewees
F.2. List of documents received

Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's verification team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.