JCM Verification Report Form

A. Summary of verific	eation			
A.1. General Information	on			
Title of the project				
Reference number				
Monitoring period				
Date of completion of t	he monitorin	g report		
Third-party entity (TPE	E)			
Project participant cont	racting the T	PE		
Date of completion of t	his report			
A.2 Conclusion of verification opi		☐ Posit	ive	
		☐ Nega	ative	
Unqualified opinion Based (TPE's emission ✓ Ar rep ✓ Ar pro do		(TPE's 1 emission ✓ Are repro ✓ Are proceduct	on the process and procedure conducted, XXX name) provides reasonable assurance that the reductions for YYYY (project name) free of material errors and are a fair resentation of the GHG data and information, and prepared in line with the related JCM rules, cedure, guidelines, forms and other relevant uments he reasons>	
A.3. Overview of the verification results				
			No CAR or CL	
Verme		VOITICE	ation requirements	remaining
implementation with	The TPE determines the conformity of the actual project and its operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology.			

project The TPE assesses the status of the actual project and

The

Item	Verification req	No CAR or CL	
			remaining
implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD	its operation with the registere approved revised PDD.		
and correction of measured values with related requirements	If monitoring Option C determines whether the measurement been properly calibrated in liplan and whether measurement corrected, where necessary, reductions in line with the Guidelines.		
	The TPE assesses the data at emission reductions achieved project by the application of methodology.		
Avoidance of double registration	The TPE determines wheth registered under other internal mechanisms.		
Post registration changes	The TPE determines who registration changes from the methodology which prevent methodology.		
Authorised signatory:		Mr. Ms.	
Last name:		First name:	
Title:			
Specimen signature:		Dat	e: dd/mm/yyyy

B. Verification team and other experts

	Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technical competence*	On-sit e visit
Mr. Ms.						
Mr. \square						
Mr. Ms.						
Mr. Ms.						

Please specify the following for each item.

- * Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.
- * Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.
- * Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.

C. Means of verification, findings and conclusions based on reporting requirements

C.1. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology

<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings> Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.</findings>
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""> Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.</conclusion>

C.2. Assessment of the project implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD

<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.				
C.3. Complia requirements	ance of calibration	frequency and correction of measured values with related		
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>erification></td><td></td></means>	erification>			
<findings> Please state if</findings>	f CARs, CLs, or FAF	Rs are raised, and how they are resolved.		
-Conclusion	hasad an ranartine	a requirements		
	based on reporting onclusion based on	requirements> reporting requirements.		
		ulation of GHG emission reductions		
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>erification></td><td></td></means>	erification>			
Parameters	Monitored	Method to check values in the monitoring report with		
	values	sources		
-Findings				
<pre><findings> Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.</findings></pre>				
Trease state if	Critis, CLS, Or Triis	is the ruised, that how may the resolved.		
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>				
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.				
C.5. Accessment of availance of deathle resistantian				
C.5. Assessment of avoidance of double registration <means of="" verification=""></means>				

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
C.6. Post registration changes
<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
D. Assessment of response to remaining issues
An assessment of response to the remaining issues including FARs from the validation and/or
previous verification period, if appropriate

E. Verified amount of emission reductions achieved

Year	Verified Reference	Verified Project	Verified Emission
	Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Reductions (tCO ₂ e)
2013			
2014			
2015			
2016			
2017			
2018			
2019			
2020			
2021			
2022			
2023			
2024			
2025			
2026			
2027			
2028			
2029			
2030			
Total (tCo	O_2 e)		

Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's verification team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.