JCM Verification Report Form

| A. Summary of verification | | | | | |
|--|---------------|---------------------------------------|--|--------------|--|
| A.1. General Information | on | | | | |
| Title of the project | | | | | |
| Reference number | | | | | |
| Monitoring period | | | | | |
| Date of completion of t | he monitorir | ng report | | | |
| Third-party entity (TPE | Ε) | | | | |
| Project participant cont | racting the T | PE | | | |
| Date of completion of t | his report | | | | |
| A.2 Conclusion of verification and lev Overall verification opinion | | Posit | ive | | |
| | | ☐ Nega | ntive | | |
| (TPE' emissi A ro A p d | | emission ✓ Are repro ✓ Are procedocu | on the process and procedure conducted, XXX name) provides reasonable assurance that the reductions for YYYY (project name) e free of material errors and are a fair resentation of the GHG data and information, and e prepared in line with the related JCM rules, cedure, guidelines, forms and other relevant rements the reasons> | | |
| Disclaimer | | | | | |
| A.3. Overview of the verification results | | | | | |
| Item Verific | | | ation requirements | No CAR or CL | |
| | | | | remaining | |
| implementation with | * * | | | | |

project The TPE assesses the status of the actual project and

The

| Item | Verification req | No CAR or CL | |
|--|---|--------------|----------------|
| | | remaining | |
| implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD | its operation with the registere approved revised PDD. | | |
| and correction of | If monitoring Option C determines whether the mean been properly calibrated in I plan and whether measure corrected, where necessary, reductions in line with the Guidelines. | | |
| | The TPE assesses the data at emission reductions achieved project by the application of methodology. | : | |
| Avoidance of double registration | The TPE determines wheth registered under other internal mechanisms. | | |
| Post registration changes | The TPE determines who registration changes from the methodology which prevent methodology. | 1 1 | |
| | | | |
| Authorised signatory: | | Mr. Ms. | |
| Last name: | | First name: | |
| Title: | | | |
| Specimen signature: Date | | | te: dd/mm/yyyy |

B. Verification team and other experts

| | Name | Company | Function* | Scheme competence* | Technical competence* | On-sit e visit |
|---------------|------|---------|-----------|--------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Mr. Ms. | | | | | | |
| Mr. \square | | | | | | |
| Mr. \square | | | | | | |
| Mr. Ms. | | | | | | |

Please specify the following for each item.

- * Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.
- * Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.
- * Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.

C. Means of verification, findings and conclusions based on reporting requirements

C.1. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology

| <means of="" verification=""></means> |
|---|
| <findings></findings> |
| Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved. |
| <conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion> |
| Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements. |
| |

C.2. Assessment of the project implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD

| <means of="" verification=""></means> |
|---|
| |
| <findings></findings> |
| Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved. |
| |

| - | ance of calibration | frequency and correction of measured values with related | | |
|---|---------------------|--|--|--|
| requirements | | | | |
| <means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>erification></td><td></td></means> | erification> | | | |
| <findings> Please state if</findings> | f CARs, CLs, or FAI | Rs are raised, and how they are resolved. | | |
| <conclusion< td=""><td>based on reporting</td><td>g requirements></td></conclusion<> | based on reporting | g requirements> | | |
| | - ` | reporting requirements. | | |
| Trease state e | onemsion bused on | reporting requirements. | | |
| | | | | |
| | | 1.1 COVO | | |
| | | ulation of GHG emission reductions | | |
| <means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>erification></td><td></td></means> | erification> | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| Parameters | Monitored | Method to check values in the monitoring report with | | |
| | values | sources | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| <findings></findings> | | | | |
| Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| <conclusion< td=""><td>based on reporting</td><td>g requirements></td></conclusion<> | based on reporting | g requirements> | | |
| Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements. | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| C.5. Assessment of avoidance of double registration | | | | |
| | | | | |
| <means of="" verification=""></means> | | | | |
| | | | | |

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

 ${\it Please \ state \ conclusion \ based \ on \ reporting \ requirements}.$

| <findings></findings> |
|---|
| Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved. |
| |
| <conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion> |
| Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements. |
| |
| |
| C.6. Post registration changes |
| <means of="" verification=""></means> |
| |
| <findings></findings> |
| Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved. |
| |
| <conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion> |
| Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements. |
| |
| |
| |
| D. Assessment of response to remaining issues |
| An assessment of response to the remaining issues including FARs from the validation and/or |
| previous verification period, if appropriate |
| |
| |
| |
| |

E. Verified amount of emission reductions achieved

| Year | Verified | Reference | Verified | Project | Verified | Emission |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------|----------|
| | Emissions (tCO ₂ e) | | Emissions (tCO ₂ e) | | Reductions (tCO ₂ e) | |
| 2014 | | | | | | |
| 2015 | | | | | | |
| 2016 | | | | | | |
| 2017 | | | | | | |
| 2018 | | | | | | |
| 2019 | | | | | | |
| 2020 | | | | | | |
| Total | | | | | | |
| (tCO ₂ e) | | | | | | |

| F. List of interviewees and documents received | |
|--|--|
| F.1. List of interviewees | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| F.2. List of documents received | |
| | |
| | |
| | |

Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's verification team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.