## JCM Verification Report Form for REDD-plus

A. Summary of verific	cation			
A.1. General Information	on			
Title of the project				
Reference number				
Monitoring period				
Date of completion of t	he monitorin	g report		
Third-party entity (TPE	Ε)			
Project participant cont	racting the T	PE		
Date of completion of t	his report			
A.2 Conclusion of verification and level of as  Overall verification opinion  Posi			tive	
(TPE's emission  ✓ Are repr  ✓ Are productions of the production		n the process and procedure name) provides reasonable ass reductions for YYYY (project name	surance that the ne) nd are a fair nformation, and ated JCM rules,	
Disclaimer				
A.3. Overview of the v	erification re	sults		
Item Verifica		ntion requirements	No CAR or CL	
			remaining	
implementation with	The TPE determines the conformity of the actual project and its operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology.			

project The TPE assesses the status of the actual project and

methodology

The

Item	Verification req	uirements	No CAR or CL
			remaining
implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD	its operation with the registere approved revised PDD.		
and correction of	If monitoring Option C determines whether the mean been properly calibrated in It plan and whether measure corrected, where necessary, reductions in line with the Guidelines.	suring equipments have ine with the monitoring d values are properly to calculate emission	
	The TPE assesses the data and calculations of GHG emission reductions achieved by/resulting from the project by the application of the selected approved methodology.		
Post registration changes	The TPE determines whether there are post registration changes from the registered PDD and/or methodology which prevent the use of the applied methodology.		
Authorised signatory:		Mr. Ms.	
Last name:		First name:	
Title:	·		
Specimen signature:		Date:	dd/mm/yyyy

## B. Verification team and other experts

	Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technical competence*	On-site visit
Mr. $\square$						
Mr. $\square$						
Mr. $\square$						
Mr. Ms.						

Please specify the following for each item.

- \* Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.
- \* Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.
- \* Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.

## C. Means of verification, findings and conclusions based on reporting requirements

C.1. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology

<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.2. Assessment of the project implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD

<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.					
C.3. Complia requirements	nce of calibration	frequency and correction of measured values with related			
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>rification&gt;</td><td></td></means>	rification>				
<findings></findings>					
Please state if	CARs, CLs, or FAR	Rs are raised, and how they are resolved.			
<conclusion< td=""><td>based on reporting</td><td>g requirements&gt;</td></conclusion<>	based on reporting	g requirements>			
Please state co	onclusion based on	reporting requirements.			
C.4. Assessme	ent of data and calcu	ulation of GHG emission reductions			
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>rification&gt;</td><td></td></means>	rification>				
Parameters	Monitored	Method to check values in the monitoring report with			
	values	sources			
<findings></findings>					
_	CADa CLa an EAE	On any naised, and how they are needled			
Piease state ij	CARS, CLS, OF FAR	Rs are raised, and how they are resolved.			
.a. 1		•			
	based on reporting	•			
Please state co	onclusion based on	reporting requirements.			
	stration changes				
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>rification&gt;</td><td></td></means>	rification>				

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
D. Assessment of response to remaining issues
An assessment of response to the remaining issues including FARs from the validation and/or
previous verification period, if appropriate

## E. Verified amount of emission reductions achieved

Year	Verified Reference (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Project Level	Verified Project Net Emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Verified Emission Reductions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Verified Emission Reductions to be Credited (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)
2013					
2014					
2015					
2016					
2017					
2018					
2019					
2020					
2021					
2022					
2023					
2024					
2025					
2026					
2027					
2028					
2029					
2030					
Total (t	CO <sub>2</sub> e)				

F. List of interviewees and documents received	
F.1. List of interviewees	
F.2. List of documents received	

Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's verification team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.