## JCM Verification Report Form for REDD-plus

A. Summary of verification				
A.1. General Information				
Title of the project				
Reference number				
Monitoring period				
Date of completion of the monitoring report				
Third-party entity (TPE)				
Project participant contracting the TPE				
Date of completion of this report				

## A.2 Conclusion of verification and level of assurance

Overall verification opinion	Positive		
	Negative		
Unqualified opinion	<ul> <li>Based on the process and procedure conducted, XXX</li> <li>(TPE's name) provides reasonable assurance that the emission reductions for YYYY (project name)</li> <li>✓ Are free of material errors and are a fair</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>✓ Are prepared in line with the related JCM rules,</li> <li>procedure, guidelines, forms and other relevant documents</li> </ul>		
<ul> <li>(If overall verification opinion is negative, please check below and state its reasons.)</li> <li>Qualified Opinion</li> <li>Adverse opinion</li> <li>Disclaimer</li> </ul>	<state reasons="" the=""></state>		

## A.3. Overview of the verification results

Item	Verification requirements	No CAR or
		CL remaining
implementation with	The TPE determines the conformity of the actual project and its operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology.	
The project	The TPE assesses the status of the actual project and its	

Item	Verification requirements	No CAR or
		CL remaining
implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD	operation with the registered/validated PDD or any approved revised PDD.	
and correction of	If monitoring Option C is selected, the TPE determines whether the measuring equipments have been properly calibrated in line with the monitoring plan and whether measured values are properly corrected, where necessary, to calculate emission reductions in line with the PDD and Monitoring Guidelines for REDD-plus.	
	The TPE assesses the data and calculations of GHG emission reductions achieved by/resulting from the project by the application of the selected approved methodology.	
Post registration changes	The TPE determines whether there are post registration changes from the registered PDD and/or methodology which prevent the use of the applied methodology.	

Authorised signatory:	Mr.	Ms.
Last name:	First name:	
Title:	·	
Specimen signature:		Date: dd/mm/yyyy

## **B.** Verification team and other experts

	Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technical competence*	On- site visit
Mr. Ms.						
Mr. Ms.						
Mr. Ms.						
Mr. Ms.						

Please specify the following for each item.

- \* Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.
- \* Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.
- \* Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.

#### C. Means of verification, findings and conclusions based on reporting requirements

C.1. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology

#### <Means of verification>

#### <Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

#### <Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.2. Assessment of the project implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD

#### <Means of verification>

## <Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

#### <Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.3. Compliance of calibration frequency and correction of measured values with related requirements

<Means of verification>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

### <Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

#### C.4. Assessment of data and calculation of GHG emission reductions

<means of="" th="" ve<=""><th>erification&gt;</th><th></th></means>	erification>	
Parameters	Monitored values	Method to check values in the monitoring report with sources

### <Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

#### <Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

#### C.5. Post registration changes

## <Means of verification>

## <Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

### <Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

#### **D.** Assessment of response to remaining issues

An assessment of response to the remaining issues including FARs from the validation and/or previous verification period, if appropriate

	incu unioun					
Year	Verified Reference (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Project Level	Verified Net (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Project Emissions	Verified Emission Reductions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Verified Emission Reductions to be Credited (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)
2013						
2014						
2015						
2016						
2017						
2018						
2019						
2020						
2021						
2022						
2023						
2024						
2025						
2026						
2027						
2028						
2029						
2030						
			Total (tC	$O_2e)$		

## E. Verified amount of emission reductions achieved

# F. List of interviewees and documents received

F.1. List of interviewees

#### F.2. List of documents received

Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's verification team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.