# JCM Verification Report Form

A. Summary of verification				
A.1. General Information				
Title of the project				
Reference number				
Monitoring period				
Date of completion of the monitoring report				
Third-party entity (TPE)				
Project participant contracting the TPE				
Date of completion of this report				

# A.2 Conclusion of verification and level of assurance

Overall verification opinion	Positive
	Negative
Unqualified opinion	Based on the process and procedure conducted, XXX
	(TPE's name) provides reasonable assurance that the
	emission reductions for YYYY (project name)
	$\checkmark$ Are free of material errors and are a fair
	representation of the GHG data and information, and
	$\checkmark$ Are prepared in line with the related JCM rules,
	procedure, guidelines, forms and other relevant
	documents
(If overall verification opinion is	<state reasons="" the=""></state>
negative, please check below and state its reasons.)	
Qualified Opinion	
Adverse opinion	
Disclaimer	

# A.3. Overview of the verification results

Item	Verification requirements	No CAR or CL
		remaining
The project	The TPE determines the conformity of the actual	
implementation with	project and its operation with the eligibility criteria of	
the eligibility criteria	the applied methodology.	
of the applied		
methodology		
The project	The TPE assesses the status of the actual project and	

Item	Verification requirements	No CAR or CL
		remaining
implementation	its operation with the registered/validated PDD or any	
0	approved revised PDD.	
PDD or any approved revised PDD		
	If monitoring Option C is selected, the TPE	
and correction of	determines whether the measuring equipments have	
measured values with	been properly calibrated in line with the monitoring	
related requirements	plan and whether measured values are properly	
	corrected, where necessary, to calculate emission	
	reductions in line with the PDD and Monitoring	
	Guidelines.	
	The TPE assesses the data and calculations of GHG	
	emission reductions achieved by/resulting from the	
reductions	project by the application of the selected approved	
	methodology.	
	The TPE determines whether the project is not	
registration	registered under other international climate mitigation	
	mechanisms.	
e	The TPE determines whether there are post	
changes	registration changes from the registered PDD and/or	
	methodology which prevent the use of the applied	
	methodology.	

Authorised signatory:	Mr.	Ms.
Last name:	First name:	
Title:	·	
Specimen signature:		Date: dd/mm/yyyy

## **B.** Verification team and other experts

	Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technical competence*	On-sit e visit
Mr. Ms.						
Mr. Ms.						
Mr. Ms.						
Mr. Ms.						

Please specify the following for each item.

- \* Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.
- \* Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.
- \* Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.

C. Means of verification, findings and conclusions based on reporting requirements

C.1. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology

<Means of verification>

### <Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

### <Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.2. Assessment of the project implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD

### <Means of verification>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

### <Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.3. Compliance of calibration frequency and correction of measured values with related requirements

### <Means of verification>

### <Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

### <Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

### C.4. Assessment of data and calculation of GHG emission reductions

<means of="" th="" ve<=""><th>rification&gt;</th><th></th></means>	rification>	
Parameters	Monitored	Method to check values in the monitoring report with
	values	sources

# <Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

### <Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

## C.5. Assessment of avoidance of double registration

### <Means of verification>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

# C.6. Post registration changes

# <Means of verification>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

# <Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

# **D.** Assessment of response to remaining issues

An assessment of response to the remaining issues including FARs from the validation and/or previous verification period, if appropriate

# E. Verified amount of emission reductions achieved

Year	Verified	Reference	Verified	Project	Verified	Emission
	Emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)		Emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)		Reductions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	
2013						
2014						
2015						
2016						
2017						
2018						
2019						
2020						
Total						
(tCO <sub>2</sub> e)						

# F. List of interviewees and documents received

F.1. List of interviewees

### F.2. List of documents received

Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's verification team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.