## **JCM Verification Report Form**

A. Summary of verific	ation			
A.1. General Information				
Title of the project				
Reference number				
Monitoring period			dd/mm/yyyy - dd/mm/yyyy	
Date of completion of t	he monitorir	ng report	dd/mm/yyyy	
Third-party entity (TPE	E)			
Project participant cont	racting the T	PE		
Date of completion of t	his report		dd/mm/yyyy	
A.2 Conclusion of verif				
Overall verification opi	nion	☐ Posit		
Unqualified opinion		Based on the process and procedure conducted, XXX (TPE's name) provides reasonable assurance that the emission reductions for YYYY (project name)		urance that the ne) nd are a fair nformation, and ated JCM rules,
negative, please check state its reasons.)  Qualified Opinion  Adverse opinion  Disclaimer	below and			
A.3. Overview of the ve	erification re			
Item	Verification requirements No CAR or CL			

Item	Verification requirements	No CAR or CL
		remaining
The project	The TPE determines the conformity of the actual	
implementation with	project and its operation with the eligibility criteria of	
the eligibility criteria	the applied methodology.	
of the applied		
methodology		
The project	The TPE assesses the status of the actual project and	

Item	Verification req	No CAR or CL	
		remaining	
implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD	its operation with the registere approved revised PDD.		
and correction of	If monitoring Option C determines whether the measurement been properly calibrated in liplan and whether measure corrected, where necessary, reductions in line with the Guidelines.		
	The TPE assesses the data an emission reductions achieved project by the application of methodology.		
Avoidance of double registration	The TPE determines wheth registered under other internal mechanisms.		
Post registration changes	The TPE determines who registration changes from the methodology which prevent methodology.		
Authorised signatory:		Mr. Ms.	
Last name:		First name:	
Title:			
Specimen signature:		Dat	e: dd/mm/yyyy

## B. Verification team and other experts

	Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technical competence*	On-sit e visit
Mr. Ms.						
Mr. $\square$						
Mr. $\square$						
Mr. Ms.						

Please specify the following for each item.

- \* Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.
- \* Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.
- \* Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.

## C. Means of verification, findings and conclusions based on reporting requirements

C.1. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology

<means of="" verification=""></means>
< Findings > Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""> Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.</conclusion>

C.2. Assessment of the project implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD

<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>				
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.				
-	nce of calibration	frequency and correction of measured values with related		
requirements				
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>rification&gt;</td><td></td></means>	rification>			
.II. 1.				
<findings></findings>	CAPS CLS OF FAD	es are raised and how they are resolved		
Fieuse siaie ij	CARS, CLS, OF FAR	Ss are raised, and how they are resolved.		
Conclusion	based on reporting	requirements>		
	-	reporting requirements.		
- rease since et	ousea On	. epo		
C.4. Assessme	nt of data and calcu	ulation of GHG emission reductions		
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td></td><td></td></means>				
Parameters	Monitored values	Method to check values in the monitoring report with sources		
<findings></findings>				
Please state if	CARs, CLs, or FAR	es are raised, and how they are resolved.		
<conclusion l<="" td=""><td>based on reporting</td><td>g requirements&gt;</td></conclusion>	based on reporting	g requirements>		
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.				
C.5. Assessme	nt of avoidance of o	double registration		
<means of="" verification=""></means>				
<findings></findings>				

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
C.6. Post registration changes
<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
D. Assessment of response to remaining issues
An assessment of response to the remaining issues including FARs from the validation and/o
previous verification period, if appropriate

## E. Verified amount of emission reductions achieved

Year	Verified Reference	Verified Project	Verified Emission
	Emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Reductions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)
2013			
2014			
2015			
2016			
2017			
2018			
2019			
2020			
<u>2021</u>			
<u>2022</u>			
<u>2023</u>			
<u>2024</u>			
<u>2025</u>			
<u>2026</u>			
<u>2027</u>			
<u>2028</u>			
2029			
<u>2030</u>			
Total			
(tCO <sub>2</sub> e)			

F. List of interviewees and documents received
F.1. List of interviewees
F.2. List of documents received

Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's verification team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.