JCM Verification Report Form

A. Summary of verification					
A.1. General Information					
Title of the project					
Reference number					
Monitoring period			dd/mm/yyyy - dd/mm/yyyy		
Date of completion of t		ng report	dd/mm/yyyy		
Third-party entity (TPI	E)				
Project participant cont	racting the T	ГРЕ			
Date of completion of t	this report		dd/mm/yyyy		
A.2 Conclusion of veri		level of as			
o votati votitioation opi		☐ Nega			
(TPE's emission ✓ Ar rep ✓ Ar pro		emission ✓ Are repr ✓ Are proceduct	on the process and procedure conducted, XXX name) provides reasonable assurance that the reductions for YYYY (project name) e free of material errors and are a fair resentation of the GHG data and information, and e prepared in line with the related JCM rules, cedure, guidelines, forms and other relevant elements		
(If overall verification opinion is negative, please check below and state its reasons.) Qualified Opinion Adverse opinion Disclaimer		<state td="" th<=""><td>e reasons></td><td></td></state>	e reasons>		
A.3. Overview of the verification results Verification Verifi			ation requirements	No CAR or CL	
				remaining	
implementation with	The TPE determines the conformity of the actual project and its operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology.				

project The TPE assesses the status of the actual project and

the

methodology

of

The

applied

Item	Verification req	No CAR or CL	
		remaining	
implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD	its operation with the registere approved revised PDD.		
and correction of	If monitoring Option C determines whether the measure been properly calibrated in I plan and whether measure corrected, where necessary, reductions in line with the Guidelines.		
	The TPE assesses the data at emission reductions achieved project by the application of methodology.		
Avoidance of double registration	The TPE determines wheth registered under other internal mechanisms.		
Post registration changes	The TPE determines whether there are post registration changes from the registered PDD and/or methodology which prevent the use of the applied methodology.		
Authorised signatory:		Mr. Ms.	
Last name:		First name:	
Title:			
Specimen signature:		Dat	e: dd/mm/yyyy

B. Verification team and other experts

	Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technical competence*	On-sit e visit
Mr. Ms.						
Mr. \square						
Mr. \square						
Mr. Ms.						

Please specify the following for each item.

- * Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.
- * Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.
- * Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.

C. Means of verification, findings and conclusions based on reporting requirements

C.1. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology

C.2. Assessment of the project implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD

<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>			
Please state co	onclusion based on	reporting requirements.	
C.3. Complian	nce of calibration	frequency and correction of measured values with related	
requirements			
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>rification></td><td></td></means>	rification>		
<findings></findings>			
Please state if	CARs, CLs, or FAR	es are raised, and how they are resolved.	
	based on reporting		
Please state co	onciusion basea on	reporting requirements.	
CA Accessme	nt of data and calcu	ulation of GHG emission reductions	
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td></td><td>nation of OTO chrission reductions</td></means>		nation of OTO chrission reductions	
vicans of ve	i incation>		
Parameters	Monitored values	Method to check values in the monitoring report with sources	
	<u> </u>	<u></u>	
<findings></findings>			
	CARs, CLs, or FAR	es are raised, and how they are resolved.	
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>			
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.			
C.5. Assessment of avoidance of double registration			
<means of="" verification=""></means>			
<findings></findings>			

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
C.6. Post registration changes
<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
D. Assessment of response to remaining issues
An assessment of response to the remaining issues including FARs from the validation and/or
previous verification period, if appropriate

E. Verified amount of emission reductions achieved

Year	Verified Reference	Verified Project	Verified Emission	
	Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Reductions (tCO ₂ e)	
2013				
2014				
2015				
2016				
2017				
2018				
2019				
2020				
Total				
(tCO ₂ e)				

F. List of interviewees and documents received
F.1. List of interviewees
F.2. List of documents received
F.2. List of documents received

Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's verification team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.