JCM Verification Report Form

A. Summary of verification					
A.1. General Information					
Title of the project					
Reference number					
Monitoring period			dd/mm/yyyy - dd/mm/yyyy		
Date of completion of t	he monitorii	ng report	dd/mm/yyyy		
Third-party entity (TPF	E)				
Project participant cont	racting the T	ГРЕ			
Date of completion of t	his report		dd/mm/yyyy		
A.2 Conclusion of veri	fication and	level of as	surance		
Overall verification opi	nion	Posit	ive		
		☐ Nega	ative		
☐ Unqualified opinio	n	Based o	on the process and procedure conducted, XXX		
		(TPE's 1	(TPE's name) provides reasonable assurance that the		
		emission reductions for YYYY (project name)			
		✓ Are free of material errors and are a fair			
		representation of the GHG data and information, and			
		✓ Are prepared in line with the related JCM rules,			
		procedure, guidelines, forms and other relevant			
		documents			
(If overall verification	•	<state td="" th<=""><td colspan="3"><state reasons="" the=""></state></td></state>	<state reasons="" the=""></state>		
negative, please check state its reasons.)	below una				
Qualified Opinion					
Adverse opinion					
☐ Disclaimer					
A.3. Overview of the verification results					
Item Verifica		ation requirements	No CAR or CL		
				remaining	
The project The TPE determines the			<u> </u>		
the eligibility criteria			on with the eligibility criteria of ogy.		

project The TPE assesses the status of the actual project and

the

methodology

The

applied

Item	Verification req	No CAR or CL	
		remaining	
implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD	its operation with the registere approved revised PDD.		
and correction of	If monitoring Option C determines whether the mean been properly calibrated in I plan and whether measure corrected, where necessary, reductions in line with the Guidelines.		
	The TPE assesses the data at emission reductions achieved project by the application of methodology.		
Avoidance of double registration	The TPE determines wheth registered under other internal mechanisms.		
Post registration changes	The TPE determines who registration changes from the methodology which prevent methodology.		
Authorised signatory:		Mr. Ms.	
Last name:		First name:	
Title:			
Specimen signature:		Dat	e: dd/mm/yyyy

B. Verification team and other experts

	Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technical competence*	On-sit e visit
Mr. Ms.						
Mr. \square						
Mr. \square						
Mr. Ms.						

Please specify the following for each item.

- * Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.
- * Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.
- * Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.

C. Means of verification, findings and conclusions based on reporting requirements

C.1. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology

<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.2. Assessment of the project implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD

<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>				
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.				
C.3. Compliance of calibration frequency and correction of measured values with relate				
requirements				
<means of="" verification=""></means>				
Findings				
<findings></findings> Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.				
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>				
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.				
C.4. Assessment of data and calculation of GHG emission reductions				
<means of="" verification=""></means>				
Parameters Monitored values Method to check values in the monitoring report with sources				
<findings></findings>				
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.				
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>				
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.				
C.5. Assessment of avoidance of double registration				
<means of="" verification=""></means>				
<findings></findings>				

<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
C.6. Post registration changes
<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
D. Assessment of response to remaining issues
An assessment of response to the remaining issues including FARs from the validation and/or
previous verification period, if appropriate

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

E. Verified amount of emission reductions achieved

Year	Verified Reference Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Verified Project Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Verified Emission Reductions (tCO ₂ e)
2013	2 /	2 /	(- /
2014			
2015			
2016			
2017			
2018			
2019			
2020			
2021			
2022			
2023			
2024			
2025			
2026			
2027			
2028			
2029			
2030			
Total			
(tCO ₂ e)			
Total (tCC	<u>D₂e)</u>		

F. List of interviewees and documents received
F.1. List of interviewees
F.2. List of documents received

		JCM_MN_F_Vrf_Rep_ver02. <u>10</u>
•		

Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's verification team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.