JCM Verification Report Form

A. Summary of verific	cation				
A.1. General Information	on				
Title of the project					
Reference number					
Monitoring period					
Date of completion of t	he monitorin	ng report			
Third-party entity (TPE	E)				
Project participant cont	racting the T	PE			
Date of completion of t	his report				
A.2 Conclusion of verif	fication and	level of as	ssurance		
Overall verification opinion Posi		Posi	tive		
		☐ Nega	ative		
Unqualified opinion	n	Based or	n the process and procedure conducted, XXX (TPE's		
		name)	provides reasonable assurance	that the emission	
		reduction	ns for YYYY (project name)		
		✓ Are	Are free of material errors and are a fair representation of		
		the GHG data and information, and			
		✓ Are	Are prepared in line with the related JCM guidance,		
pro		proc	cedure, guidelines, forms and other relevant		
do		doc	uments		
(If overall verification	-	<state td="" th<=""><td>ne reasons></td><td></td></state>	ne reasons>		
negative, please check below and state its reasons.)					
Qualified Opinion					
Adverse opinion					
Disclaimer					
A.3. Overview of the ve	erification re	sults			
Item	Verification requirements No CAR or CL				
	remaining				
	The TPE determines the conformity of the actual project and its operation with the eligibility criteria of				
-	a the applied methodolog		<i>C</i> •		
of the applied	11			_	
methodology The project	The TDE ac	sassas the	a status of the natural project and		
			e status of the actual project and registered/validated PDD or any		
-	d approved revised PDD.				

	I	tem	Verification requirements				No C	CAR or CL	
							re	maining	
	PDD or a revised Pl	ny approved DD							
	and cor measured related rec	rrection of values with quirements	If monitoring Option C is selected, the TPE determines whether the measuring equipments have been properly calibrated in line with the monitoring plan and whether measured values are properly corrected, where necessary, to calculate emission reductions in line with the PDD and Monitoring Guidelines.						
	Data and of GHC reductions	G emission	The TPE assesses the data and calculations of GHG emission reductions achieved by/resulting from the project by the application of the selected approved methodology.						
	Avoidance registration	on	The TPE determines whether the project is not registered under other international climate mitigation mechanisms.						
	Post changes	registration	The TPE determines whether there are post registration changes from the registered PDD and/or methodology which prevent the use of the applied methodology.						
L	Authorised signatory: Mr. Ms.								
Last name:			First name:						
ŀ	Title:								
	Specimen signature: Date				dd/mm	/уууу			
	B. Verific	cation team a	nd other experts						
		Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technic		On-site visit	
	Mr. Ms.								
	Mr.								
_	Mr. Ms.								
	Mr. \square								

Please specify the following for each item.

^{*} Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.

^{*} Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.

* Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.

C. Means of verification, findings and conclusions based on reporting requirements

C.1. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology

<Means of verification>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.2. Assessment of the project implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD

<Means of verification>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.3. Compliance of calibration frequency and correction of measured values with related requirements

<Means of verification>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.4. Assessment of data and calculation of GHG emission reductions

<Means of verification>

Parameters	Monitored values	Method to check values in the monitoring report with sources

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.5. Assessment of avoidance of double registration

<Means of verification>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.6. Post registration changes

<Means of verification>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

D. Assessment of response to remaining issues		
An assessment of response to the remaining issues including FARs from the validation and/or		
previous verification period, if appropriate		

E. Verified amount of emission reductions achieved

Year	Verified Reference	Verified Project	Verified Emission
	Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Reductions (tCO ₂ e)
2015			
2016			
2017			
2018			
2019			
2020			
Total			
(tCO ₂ e)			

F. List of interviewees and documents received				
F.1. List of interviewees				
F.2. List of documents received				

Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's verification team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.