

JCM Validation Report Form

A. Summary of validation

A.1. General Information

Title of the project	
Reference number	
Third-party entity (TPE)	
Project participant contracting the TPE	
Date of completion of this report	

A.2 Conclusion of validation

Overall validation opinion	<input type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative
----------------------------	--

A.3. Overview of final validation conclusion

Only when all of the checkboxes are checked, overall validation opinion is positive.

Item	Validation requirements	No CAR or CL remaining
Project design document form	The TPE determines whether the PDD was completed using the latest version of the PDD forms appropriate to the type of project and drafted in line with the Guidelines for Developing the Joint Crediting Mechanism (JCM) Project Design Document, Monitoring Plan and Monitoring Report.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Project description	The description of the proposed JCM project in the PDD is accurate, complete, and provides comprehension of the proposed JCM project.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Application of approved JCM methodology (ies)	The project is eligible for applying applied methodology and that the applied version is valid at the time of submission of the proposed JCM project for validation.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Emission sources and calculation of emission reductions	All relevant GHG emission sources covered in the methodology are addressed for the purpose of calculating project emissions and reference emissions for the proposed JCM project.	<input type="checkbox"/>
	The values for project specific parameters to be fixed <i>ex ante</i> listed in the Monitoring Plan Sheet are appropriate, if applicable.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Environmental impact assessment	The project participants conducted an environmental impact assessment, if required by Kazakhstan, in line with Kazakhstan's procedures.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Local stakeholder consultation	The project participants have completed a local stakeholder consultation process and that due steps were taken to engage stakeholders and solicit comments for the proposed project.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Monitoring	The description of the Monitoring Plan (Monitoring Plan Sheet and Monitoring Structure Sheet) is based on the	<input type="checkbox"/>

Item	Validation requirements	No CAR or CL remaining
	approved methodology and/or Guidelines for Developing the Joint Crediting Mechanism (JCM) Project Design Document, Monitoring Plan, and Monitoring Report. The monitoring points for measurement are appropriate, as well as whether the types of equipment to be installed are appropriate if necessary.	
Public inputs	All inputs on the PDD of the proposed JCM project submitted in line with the Project Cycle Procedure are taken into due account by the project participants.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Modalities of communications	The corporate identity of all project participants and a focal point, as well as the personal identities, including specimen signatures and employment status, of their authorized signatories are included in the MoC.	<input type="checkbox"/>
	The MoC has been correctly completed and duly authorized.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Avoidance of double registration	The proposed JCM project is not registered under other international climate mitigation mechanisms.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Start of operation	The start of the operating date of the proposed JCM project does not predate January 1, 2013.	<input type="checkbox"/>

Authorised signatory:	
Last name:	First name:
Title:	
Specimen signature:	Date: dd/mm/yyyy

B. Validation team and other experts

Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technical competence*	On-site visit
			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
			<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

Please specify the following for each item.

- * *Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.*
- * *Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.*
- * *Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.*

C. Means of validation, findings, and conclusion based on reporting requirements

C.1. Project design document form

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.2. Project description

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.3. Application of approved methodology(ies)

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.4. Emission sources and calculation of emission reductions

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.5. Environmental impact assessment

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.6. Local stakeholder consultation

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

--

C.7. Monitoring

<p><Means of validation></p> <p><Findings> <i>Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.</i></p> <p><Conclusion based on reporting requirements> <i>Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.</i></p>
--

C.8. Modalities of Communication

<p><Means of validation></p> <p><Findings> <i>Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.</i></p> <p><Conclusion based on reporting requirements> <i>Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.</i></p>
--

C.9. Avoidance of double registration

<p><Means of validation></p> <p><Findings> <i>Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.</i></p> <p><Conclusion based on reporting requirements> <i>Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.</i></p>
--

C.10. Start of operation

<p><Means of validation></p> <p><Findings> <i>Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.</i></p>

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.11. Other issues

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

D. Information on public inputs

D.1. Summary of public inputs

D.2. Summary of how inputs received have been taken into account by the project participants

E. List of interviewees and documents received

E.1. List of interviewees

E.2. List of documents received



Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.