JCM Verification Report Form

A. Summary of verification				
A.1. General Information	on			
Title of the project				
Reference number				
Monitoring period				
Date of completion of t	he monitoring	g report		
Third-party entity (TPE	E)			
Project participant cont	racting the TP	PΕ		
Date of completion of t	his report			
A.2 Conclusion of verification opi		Posit	ive	
(TPE's emission ✓ Are reproduced to the control of the control o		Based of (TPE's 1) emission ✓ Are reprov ✓ Are proceduct	n the process and procedure on the provides reasonable assumed reductions for YYYY (project name free of material errors are esentation of the GHG data and in prepared in line with the relatedure, guidelines, forms and aments the reasons in the procedure of the GHG data and in the prepared in line with the relatedure, guidelines, forms and the prepared in the process and the process are the process and the procedure of the process and the procedure of the process and procedure of the procedure	urance that the ne) nd are a fair nformation, and ted JCM rules,
negative, please check below and state its reasons.) Qualified Opinion Adverse opinion Disclaimer				
A.3. Overview of the verification results				
Item		Verifica	ation requirements	No CAR or CL
				remaining
implementation with	The TPE determines the conformity of the actual project and its operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology.			

project The TPE assesses the status of the actual project and

methodology

The

Item	Verification req	No CAR or CL	
		remaining	
implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD	its operation with the registere approved revised PDD.		
and correction of measured values with related requirements	If monitoring Option C determines whether the mean been properly calibrated in Inplan and whether measure corrected, where necessary, reductions in line with the Guidelines.		
	The TPE assesses the data at emission reductions achieved project by the application of methodology.		
Avoidance of double registration	The TPE determines wheth registered under other internal mechanisms.		
Post registration changes	The TPE determines who registration changes from the methodology which prevent methodology.		
Authorised signatory:		Mr. Ms.	
Last name:		First name:	
Title:			
Specimen signature:		Dat	te: dd/mm/yyyy

B. Verification team and other experts

	Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technical competence*	On-sit e visit
Mr. Ms.						
Mr. \square						
Mr. \square						
Mr. Ms.						

Please specify the following for each item.

- * Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.
- * Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.
- * Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.

C. Means of verification, findings and conclusions based on reporting requirements

C.1. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology

<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.2. Assessment of the project implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD

<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.					
C.3. Complia requirements	ance of calibration	frequency and correction of measured values with related			
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>erification></td><td></td></means>	erification>				
<findings> Please state if</findings>	f CARs, CLs, or FAF	Rs are raised, and how they are resolved.			
<conclusion< td=""><td>based on reporting</td><td>g requirements></td></conclusion<>	based on reporting	g requirements>			
Please state c	onclusion based on	reporting requirements.			
		ulation of GHG emission reductions			
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>erification></td><td></td></means>	erification>				
Parameters	Monitored	Method to check values in the monitoring report with			
	values	sources			
<findings></findings>					
Please state if	CARs, CLs, or FAF	Rs are raised, and how they are resolved.			
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>					
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.					
C.5. Assessme	C.5. Assessment of avoidance of double registration				
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>erification></td><td></td></means>	erification>				

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
C.6. Post registration changes
<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
D. Assessment of response to remaining issues
An assessment of response to the remaining issues including FARs from the validation and/or
previous verification period, if appropriate

E. Verified amount of emission reductions achieved

Year	Verified	Reference	Verified	Project	Verified	Emission
	Emissions (tCO ₂ e)		Emissions (tCO ₂ e)		Reductions (tCO ₂ e)	
2013						
2014						
2015						
2016						
2017						
2018						
2019						
2020						
Total						
(tCO ₂ e)						

F. List of interviewees and documents received
F.1. List of interviewees
F.2. List of documents received

Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's verification team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.