

JCM Validation Report Form

A. Summary of validation

A.1. General Information

| | |
|---|--|
| Title of the project | |
| Reference number | |
| Third-party entity (TPE) | |
| Project participant contracting the TPE | |
| Date of completion of this report | |

A.2 Conclusion of validation and level of assurance

| | |
|---|--|
| Overall validation opinion | <input type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Unqualified opinion | Based on the process and procedure conducted, XXX (TPE's name) provides reasonable assurance that the emission reductions or removals for YYYY (project name) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Are free of material errors and are a fair representation of the GHG data and information, and ✓ Are prepared in line with the related JCM rules, procedure, guidelines, forms and other relevant documents |
| (If the overall validation opinion is negative, please check below and state its reasons.) <input type="checkbox"/> Qualified Opinion <input type="checkbox"/> Adverse opinion <input type="checkbox"/> Disclaimer | <State the reasons> |

A.3. Overview of final validation conclusion

Only when all of the checkboxes are checked, overall validation opinion is positive.

| Item | Validation requirements | No CAR or CL remaining |
|------------------------------|--|--------------------------|
| Project design document form | The TPE determines whether the PDD was completed using the latest version of the PDD forms appropriate to the type of project and drafted in line with the Guidelines for Developing the Joint Crediting Mechanism (JCM) Project Design Document, Monitoring Plan and Monitoring Report. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Project | The description of the proposed JCM project in the PDD is | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| Item | Validation requirements | No CAR or CL remaining |
|---|---|--------------------------|
| description | accurate, complete, and provides comprehension of the proposed JCM project. | |
| Application of approved JCM methodology (ies) | The project is eligible for applying applied methodology and that the applied version is valid at the time of submission of the proposed JCM project for validation. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Emission sources and calculation of emission reductions | All relevant GHG emission sources covered in the methodology are addressed for the purpose of calculating project emissions or removals and reference emissions or removals for the proposed JCM project. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | The values for project specific parameters to be fixed <i>ex ante</i> listed in the Monitoring Plan Sheet are appropriate, if applicable. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Environmental impact assessment | The project participants conducted an environmental impact assessment, if required by Kyrgyz, in line with Kyrgyz's procedures. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Local stakeholder consultation | The project participants have completed a local stakeholder consultation process and that due steps were taken to engage stakeholders and solicit comments for the proposed project. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Monitoring | The description of the Monitoring Plan (Monitoring Plan Sheet and Monitoring Structure Sheet) is based on the approved methodology and/or Guidelines for Developing the Joint Crediting Mechanism (JCM) Project Design Document, Monitoring Plan, and Monitoring Report. The monitoring points for measurement are appropriate, as well as whether the types of equipment to be installed are appropriate if necessary. The TPE determines whether the uncertainty has been addressed appropriately. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Public inputs | All inputs on the PDD of the proposed JCM project submitted in line with the Project Cycle Procedure are taken into due account by the project participants. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Modalities of communications | The corporate identity of all project participants and a focal point, as well as the personal identities, including specimen signatures and employment status, of their authorized signatories are included in the MoC. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | The MoC has been correctly completed and duly authorized. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Avoidance of double registration | The proposed JCM project is not registered under other international climate mitigation mechanisms. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Start of operation | The start of the operating date of the proposed JCM project does not predate January 1, 2013. | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| | |
|-----------------------|------------------|
| Authorised signatory: | |
| Last name: | First name: |
| Title: | |
| Specimen signature: | Date: dd/mm/yyyy |

B. Validation team and other experts

| Name | Company | Function* | Scheme competence* | Technical competence* | On-site visit |
|------|---------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> | | <input type="checkbox"/> |

Please specify the following for each item.

- * *Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.*
- * *Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.*
- * *Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.*

C. Means of validation, findings, and conclusion based on reporting requirements

C.1. Project design document form

<Means of validation>

<Findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.2. Project description

<Means of validation>

<Findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.3. Application of approved methodology(ies)

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.4. Emission sources and calculation of emission reductions or removals

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.5. Environmental impact assessment

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.6. Local stakeholder consultation

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.7. Monitoring

<Means of validation>

<Findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.8. Modalities of Communication

<Means of validation>

<Findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.9. Avoidance of double registration

<Means of validation>

<Findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.10. Start of operation

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.11. Ownership

<Means of validation>

<Findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>
Please state a conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.12. Other issues

<Means of validation>

<Findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

D. Information on public inputs

D.1. Summary of public inputs

D.2. Summary of how inputs received have been taken into account by the project participants

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

E. List of interviewees and documents received

E.1. List of interviewees

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

E.2. List of documents received

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.