

Joint Crediting Mechanism Project Cycle Procedure

CONTENTS

1. GENERAL PROCEDURES.....	5
1.1. Objectives	5
1.2. Scope and applicability.....	5
1.3. Terms and definitions	5
1.4. No objection to a planned project	5
1.4.1. Submission of project idea note.....	5
1.4.2. Processing submission of project idea note.....	6
1.4.3. Finalizing processing of project idea note.....	6
1.5. Approval of methodologies	7
1.5.1. Submission of a proposed methodology	7
1.5.2. Completeness check.....	7
1.5.3. Public comments.....	8
1.5.4. Review of a proposed methodology.....	8
1.5.5. Consideration of a proposed methodology.....	8
1.6. Implementation of project.....	9
1.7. Pre-registration activities	9
1.7.1. Publication of project design document	9
1.7.1.1. Assessment of reference emissions.....	9
1.7.1.2. Submission of project design document	10
1.7.1.3. Submission and treatment of public comments	12
1.7.2. Submission of sustainable development implementation plan	12
1.7.3. Modalities of communication.....	13
1.7.4. Validation of a proposed JCM project.....	14
1.8. Registration of project	14
1.8.1. Request for registration.....	14
1.8.1.1. Submission of request for registration	14
1.8.1.2. Processing request for registration.....	15
1.8.1.3. Review of submission of request for registration	15
1.8.2. Consideration of a proposed project	15
1.9. Pre-issuance activities	16
1.9.1. Preparation of monitoring report	16
1.9.2. Verification of emission reductions or removals	16
1.9.3. Sustainable development implementation report.....	16

1.9.3.1.	Preparation of sustainable development implementation report.....	16
1.9.3.2.	Conditions resulting in the revision of SDIR	17
1.9.4.	Preparation of project implementation report.....	18
1.10.	Issuance of credits	18
1.10.1.	Request for issuance.....	18
1.10.1.1.	Submission of request for issuance.....	18
1.10.1.2.	Processing request for issuance	19
1.10.1.3.	Finalizing request for issuance	19
1.11.	Renewal of crediting period	19
1.11.1.	Assessment of validity of reference emissions of the applied methodology	19
1.11.2.	Validation of updated PDD for renewal of crediting period.....	20
1.11.3.	Request for renewal of crediting period	21
1.11.3.1.	Submission of request for renewal of crediting period	21
1.11.3.2.	Processing of request for renewal.....	21
1.11.3.3.	Finalizing request for renewal	21
2.	SPECIFIC PROCEDURES	22
2.1.	No objection to a planned project	22
2.1.1.	No objection to a planned project	22
2.1.1.1.	Processing no objection to a planned project	22
2.2.	Approval of methodologies	22
2.2.1.	Revision of an approved methodology.....	22
2.2.2.	Putting on hold of an approved methodology.....	23
2.3.	Pre-registration activities	24
2.3.1.	Conditions resulting in the revision of project design document.....	24
2.4.	Registration of project	24
2.4.1.	Request for registration.....	24
2.4.1.1.	Processing request for registration.....	24
2.4.1.2.	Rejecting request for registration.....	25
2.5.	Post-registration activities	25
2.5.1.	Changes to registered JCM project	25
2.5.1.1.	Submission of request for approval of changes.....	26
2.5.1.2.	Processing request for approval of changes	26
2.5.2.	Changes to registered modalities of communication	28
2.5.2.1.	General requirements.....	28
2.5.2.2.	Voluntary changes to focal point(s)	29
2.5.2.3.	Changes to project participants	29
2.6.	Issuance of credits	29

2.6.1.	Request for issuance.....	29
2.6.1.1.	Processing request for issuance.....	29
2.6.1.2.	Rejecting request for issuance.....	30
2.7.	Withdrawal.....	30
2.7.1.	Submission of request for withdrawal.....	30
2.7.2.	Processing request for withdrawal.....	31
2.8.	Addressing the risk of reversal in carbon capture and storage and carbon capture, utilization and storage (CCS and CCUS) projects.....	31
2.8.1.	Injection period:.....	32
2.8.2.	Post injection:.....	32
1.	GENERAL PROCEDURES.....	3
1.1.	Objectives.....	3
1.2.	Scope and applicability.....	3
1.3.	Terms and definitions.....	3
1.4.	Approval of methodologies.....	3
1.4.1.	Submission of a proposed methodology.....	3
1.4.2.	Completeness check.....	4
1.4.3.	Public comments.....	4
1.4.4.	Review of a proposed methodology.....	4
1.4.5.	Consideration of a proposed methodology.....	5
1.5.	Implementation of project.....	6
1.6.	Pre registration activities.....	6
1.6.1.	Publication of project design document.....	6
1.6.1.1.	Submission of project design document.....	6
1.6.1.2.	Submission and treatment of public comments.....	7
1.6.2.	Submission of sustainable development implementation plan.....	7
1.6.3.	Modalities of communication.....	8
1.6.4.	Validation of a proposed JCM project.....	9
1.7.	Registration of project.....	9
1.7.1.	Request for registration.....	9
1.7.1.1.	Submission of request for registration.....	9
1.7.1.2.	Processing request for registration.....	10
1.7.1.3.	Review of submission of request for registration.....	10
1.7.1.4.	Finalizing request for registration.....	10
1.8.	Pre issuance activities.....	10
1.8.1.	Preparation of monitoring report.....	10

1.8.2.	Verification of emission reductions or removals	11
1.8.3.	Sustainable development implementation report.....	11
1.8.3.1.	Preparation of sustainable development implementation report.....	11
1.8.3.2.	Conditions resulting in the revision of SDIR	12
1.9.	Issuance of credits	12
1.9.1.	Request for issuance.....	12
1.9.1.1.	Submission of request for issuance.....	12
1.9.1.2.	Processing request for issuance	13
1.9.1.3.	Finalizing request for issuance	13
2.	SPECIFIC PROCEDURES	14
2.1.	Approval of methodologies	14
2.1.1.	Revision of an approved methodology.....	14
2.1.2.	Putting on hold of an approved methodology.....	15
2.2.	Pre registration activities	15
2.2.1.	Conditions resulting in the revision of project design document.....	15
2.3.	Registration of project	16
2.3.1.	Request for registration	16
2.3.1.1.	Processing request for registration.....	16
2.3.1.2.	Rejecting request for registration.....	16
2.4.	Post registration activities	17
2.4.1.	Changes to registered JCM project	17
2.4.1.1.	Submission of request for approval of changes	17
2.4.1.2.	Processing request for approval of changes	18
2.4.2.	Changes to registered modalities of communication	19
2.4.2.1.	General requirements	19
2.4.2.2.	Voluntary changes to focal point(s)	20
2.4.2.3.	Changes to project participants	20
2.5.	Issuance of credits	21
2.5.1.	Request for issuance.....	21
2.5.1.1.	Processing request for issuance.....	21
2.5.1.2.	Rejecting request for issuance.....	21
2.6.	Withdrawal.....	21
2.6.1.	Submission of request for withdrawal.....	21
2.6.2.	Processing request for withdrawal	22

1. GENERAL PROCEDURES

1.1. Objectives

1. The objectives of the “Joint Crediting Mechanism Project Cycle Procedure” (hereinafter referred to as “this Procedure”) are to:
 - (a) Improve the consistency and clarity in processing of the submissions of documents relating to the decision on no-objection for a planned project, the approval of a methodology, the registration of a proposed Joint Crediting Mechanism (hereinafter referred to as “JCM”) project and issuance of credits by the Joint Committee, the JCM secretariat (hereinafter referred to as the “~~the~~ secretariat”), the Japanese side and the Indonesian side (hereinafter referred to as “both sides”);
 - (b) Enhance the overall efficiency and integrity of the JCM.

1.2. Scope and applicability

2. This Procedure describes the administrative steps to follow for project participants, third-party entities (hereinafter referred to as the “TPEs”), other stakeholders, the Joint Committee, the secretariat and both sides for approval of a methodology, registration of a JCM project, issuance of credits and related actions.

1.3. Terms and definitions

3. This Procedure describes standards which are requirements to be met except those paragraphs which include terms “should” and “may” as defined in paragraph 4 below.
4. The following terms apply in this Procedure:
 - (a) “Should” is used to indicate that among several possibilities, one course of action is recommended as particularly suitable;
 - (b) “May” is used to indicate what is permitted.
5. Terms in this Procedure are defined in the “Joint Crediting Mechanism Glossary of Terms” available on the JCM website.

1.4. No objection to a planned project

1.4.1. Submission of project idea note

6. The project participants of a planned project who seek to request registration under the JCM prepare a project idea note (hereinafter referred to as “PIN”) and submit it, using the latest version of the “JCM Project Idea Note Form”, to the Joint Committee through the secretariat, before implementing the project.
7. In case the project participants of the planned project expect to receive financial support for the project from the Government of Japan, the PIN should be submitted from a relevant

ministry in the Government of Japan to the Joint Committee through the secretariat.

8. The project participants may submit the PIN using the previous version of the “JCM Project Idea Note Form” within the grace period of six (6) months from the date of publication of a new version. The Joint Committee does not accept the PIN using the previous version after the grace period of six (6) months.
9. The Joint Committee identifies the existing projects to which paragraph 6 above is exempted.

1.4.2. Processing submission of project idea note

10. The secretariat issues a unique reference number to the PIN submitted to the Joint Committee through the secretariat.
11. The secretariat notifies the receipt of the submission of the PIN and a unique reference number to the project participants who have submitted the PIN.
12. Upon receiving the PIN, the secretariat conducts a completeness check within five (5) calendar days to determine whether the submission is complete.
13. Upon completion of the completeness check, the secretariat notifies the project participants of the result of the completeness check.

1.4.3. Finalizing processing of project idea note

14. Upon the positive result of the completeness check, the Joint Committee decides whether it has an objection or no objection to the planned project described in the PIN within fifteen (15) calendar days.
15. The Joint Committee makes the result publicly available, including the reference number of the PIN, the name of the planned project, the date of submission and the reason for objection when the Joint Committee objects to the planned project described in the PIN through the JCM website, and the secretariat notifies the project participants or the relevant ministry in the Government of Japan described in paragraph 7 of the result.
16. Upon decision by the Joint Committee on no objection to the planned project, the project participants may proceed to the processes described in section 1.7.
17. If the project participants wish to resubmit the PIN due to substantive changes after receiving a no objection, they may revise the PIN and submit the revised PIN to the Joint Committee for no objection through the secretariat or the relevant ministry of the Japanese side, notifying the reference number which has already been issued to the planned project.
18. The project participants may resubmit a PIN that has been assessed as incomplete by the secretariat or has been given an objection by the Joint Committee. Such submission addresses the reasons for incompleteness stated by the secretariat and an objection stated by the Joint Committee.

~~1.4.1.5.~~ Approval of methodologies

~~1.4.1.1.5.1.~~ Submission of a proposed methodology

~~6.19.~~ The Japanese side, the Indonesian side or project participants (hereinafter referred to as “methodology proponents”) may prepare a ~~proposed~~~~draft~~ methodology and ~~submit~~~~submits~~ it, using the latest version of forms described in paragraph ~~2020~~~~197~~ below to the Joint Committee through the secretariat for its approval by electronic means.

~~7.20.~~ The proposed methodology consists of the completed “JCM Proposed Methodology Form” and “JCM Proposed Methodology Spreadsheet Form”, containing the Input Sheet and Calculation Process Sheet, which are developed in line with the “Joint Crediting Mechanism Guidelines for Developing Proposed Methodology” (hereinafter referred to as “Methodology Guidelines”). The submission may be accompanied by additional documents which help explain the methodology. The Joint Committee and the secretariat may request the methodology proponents to submit additional documents including a draft project design document (hereinafter referred to as “PDD”) to which the proposed methodology is applied.

~~8.21.~~ The methodology proponents may submit the proposed methodology to the Joint Committee ~~through the secretariat~~ for its approval using the previous version of the “JCM Proposed Methodology Form” and “JCM Proposed Methodology Spreadsheet Form” within the grace period of six (6) months from the date of publication of a new version. The Joint Committee does not accept the proposed methodology using the previous version after the grace period of six (6) months.

~~9.22.~~ The secretariat notifies the receipt of the submission to the methodology proponents by electronic means.

~~10.23.~~ Methodologies may also be developed under the initiative of the Joint Committee.

~~1.4.2.1.5.2.~~ Completeness check

~~11.24.~~ The secretariat checks whether the proposed methodology is complete and communicates the result to the methodology proponents within seven (7) calendar days after the receipt of the submission.

~~12.25.~~ If the submission is deemed incomplete, the secretariat notifies the methodology proponents of the reason.

~~13.26.~~ This process is not required for proposed methodologies developed under the initiative of the Joint Committee.

1.4.3.1.5.3. Public comments

14.27. After the secretariat deems that the submitted proposed methodology satisfies the completeness check, the secretariat promptly makes the methodology publicly available for public comments through the JCM website.

15.28. The duration of call for public comments is fifteen (15) calendar days.

16.29. The secretariat makes all received comments publicly available through the JCM website.

17.30. For methodologies developed under the initiative of the Joint Committee, they are also subjected to this process.

1.4.4.1.5.4. Review of a proposed methodology

18.31. After the public comments, the secretariat reviews the proposed methodology based on, but not limited to, the materials submitted by the methodology proponents and the received comments in line with Methodology Guidelines.

19.32. The secretariat may interact with the methodology proponents on specific issues regarding the proposed methodology.

20.33. The secretariat may delegate part of the work of review to external experts and/or a panel independent from methodology proponents, as appropriate.

21.34. This process is not required for proposed methodologies developed under the initiative of the Joint Committee.

22.35. Upon the completion of the review, the secretariat notifies the methodology proponents and submits the outcome to the Joint Committee.

1.4.5.1.5.5. Consideration of a proposed methodology

23.36. The Joint Committee receives the outcome of the secretariat's review and considers the proposed methodology.

24.37. The Joint Committee may interact with the methodology proponents on specific issues regarding the proposed methodology.

25.38. The outcome of the consideration is as follows:

- (a) Approval of the proposed methodology;
- (b) Approval of the proposed methodology with revisions;
- (c) Non-approval of the proposed methodology.

26.39. The Joint Committee should ~~conclude~~complete the consideration within sixty (60) calendar days from the closing of the secretariat review. If this is deemed not possible due to matters such as ongoing clarifications, then the secretariat notifies the methodology proponents of the status of discussion within sixty (60) calendar days from the closing of the secretariat review, and the Joint Committee should ~~conclude~~complete the consideration

no later than ninety (90) calendar days from the closing of the secretariat review.

~~27.40.~~ Upon ~~conclusion-completion~~ of consideration, the secretariat notifies the outcome of consideration to the methodology proponents, with its reasons.

~~28.41.~~ The secretariat makes publicly available the outcome of the consideration, as well as relevant information on the approved methodology, which consists of approved methodology document and Monitoring Spreadsheet, through the JCM website within five (5) calendar days from the date of decision by the Joint Committee. Monitoring Spreadsheet consists of Monitoring Plan Sheet, Monitoring Structure Sheet and Monitoring Report Sheet¹.

~~29.42.~~ The methodology proponents may resubmit any proposed methodology that has been assessed as incomplete by the secretariat or has not been approved by the Joint Committee. Such submission addresses the reasons for incompleteness stated by the secretariat ~~or~~ non-approval stated by the Joint Committee.

1.5.1.6. Implementation of project

~~— Project participants have the ability to implement monitoring activities in accordance with the methodology and the PDD of the project through arrangements such as having access rights to project site and data or agreements with access rights holders.~~

~~30.43.~~ Project participants operate a project in line with the JCM rules and guidelines.

~~31.44.~~ After the registration of the project, the project participants operate the project and conduct monitoring of its activity in line with the registered PDD. The project participants may submit the request for registration after the start date of operation.

~~32.45.~~ Credits are only issued to emission reductions or removals that are calculated by the project participants and verified by the TPE based on the results of monitoring in line with the registered PDD, after the start date of operation.

~~33.46.~~ A project which started operation on or after 1 January 2013 is eligible for consideration as the JCM project.

1.6.1.7. Pre-registration activities

1.6.1.1.7.1. Publication of project design document

1.7.1.1. Assessment of reference emissions

~~47. When preparing a draft PDD, the project participants of a proposed JCM project confirm the approval date of the latest version of the approved methodology which the project participants plan to apply. If five (5) years have passed since the approval date or, when~~

¹ Monitoring Plan Sheet and Monitoring Report Sheet are prepared by the secretariat based on a Proposed Methodology Spreadsheet made by the methodology proponent after its approval. Monitoring Structure Sheet is added by the secretariat.

applicable, the date of the last positive result decided by the Joint Committee on the validity of reference emissions, in line with this section or section 1.11.1, of the latest version of the approved methodology, the validity of reference emissions of the approved methodology to be applied is assessed as described in paragraphs 48 to 55 below unless otherwise revisions of reference emissions are required in the individual approved methodology applied to the JCM project.

48. The project participants evaluate the validity of the reference emissions of the applied methodology in line with the Methodology Guidelines.
49. If the reference emissions are not deemed to fulfill the requirements as a result of the evaluation described in paragraph 47, the project participants proceed to revision of an approved methodology(ies) applied in line with Section 2.2.1 below.
50. When the reference emissions are deemed to fulfill the requirements as a result of the evaluation described in paragraph 47, the project participants submit a request with relevant evidence of their evaluation to the Joint Committee through the secretariat for assessment on the validity of reference emissions, using the latest version of “JCM Assessment of reference emissions request form”.
51. The secretariat conducts a completeness check of the submitted request within seven (7) calendar days to determine whether the submitted request is complete.
52. Upon completion of the completeness check, the secretariat notifies the project participants of the result of the completeness check.
53. Upon the positive result of the completeness check, the Joint Committee assesses the submission forwarded by the secretariat in line with the Methodology Guidelines and decides the validity of reference emissions of the approved methodology.
54. Upon a positive result on its validity of reference emissions of the approved methodology by the Joint Committee, the project participants may proceed to the processes described in section 1.7.1.2 below.
55. The project participants may request to revise the approved methodology in line with Section 2.2.1 below when the assessment by the Joint Committee is not completed with a positive result.

~~1.6.1.1~~1.7.1.2. Submission of project design document

- 34.56. When the approval date or the date of last positive result decided by the Joint Committee on the validity of reference emissions of the latest version of the approved methodology which the project participants plan to apply is within five (5) years or the assessment of the validity of reference emissions of the approved methodology as described in section 1.7.1.1 above is positively completed by the Joint Committee, ~~T~~the project participants of a proposed JCM project prepare a draft PDD, after conducting a local stakeholder

consultation, which consists of a completed “JCM Project Design Document Form”, using the latest version of that form and monitoring plan, in line with the “Joint Crediting Mechanism Guidelines for Developing Project Design Document and Monitoring Report” (hereinafter referred to as “PDD and Monitoring Guidelines”), and submit them together with a modalities of communication statement (hereinafter referred to as “MoC”), using the latest version of the “JCM Modalities of Communication Statement Form” and supporting documentation, as appropriate, to the TPE contracted by the project participants to perform validation of the project and to the secretariat for public comments.

~~35.57.~~ The project participants may submit the draft PDD together with the MoC to the TPE contracted by the project participants to perform validation of the project and to the Joint Committee through the secretariat for public comments, using the previous version of the “JCM Project Design Document Form” and “JCM Modalities of Communication Statement Form” within the grace period of six (6) months from the date of publication of a new version. The Joint Committee does not accept the draft PDD and the MoC using the previous version after the grace period of six (6) months.

58. When preparing a draft PDD, project participants select a crediting period from a fixed crediting period of ten (10) years or a renewable crediting period of five (5) years.

~~36.59.~~ The secretariat issues a unique reference number to the JCM project submitted to the Joint Committee through the secretariat for public comments.

~~37.60.~~ The secretariat notifies the recipient of the receipt of the submission and the unique reference number to the project participant who has submitted the draft PDD and MoC.

~~38.61.~~ Upon notifying the receipt of the submission, the secretariat makes the draft PDD publicly available through the JCM website for public comments. The duration of the call for public comments on the draft PDD is thirty (30) calendar days subsequent to the publication of the draft PDD. The secretariat informs the project participants and the TPE of the location of the draft PDD on the JCM website and the opening and closing dates of the duration of the call for public comments.

~~39.62.~~ In addition to the draft PDD, the secretariat, through the JCM website, also makes the following information publicly available:

- (a) The name of the proposed JCM project;
- (b) The location of the proposed JCM project including coordinates;
- (c) The names of ~~the~~ all project participants listed in the draft PDD of the proposed JCM project;
- (d) The name of the TPE which conducts validation (and verification) for the proposed JCM project;
- (e) The estimated annual greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions or removals indicated in the draft PDD;

- (f) The approved methodology(ies) being applied to the proposed JCM project;
- (g) The proposed start date and length of the expected operation period;

(h) The crediting period of the proposed project.

~~40.63.~~ Validation and verification can be conducted either simultaneously or separately. When the project participants apply for validation and verification simultaneously, all sections of the draft PDD and the draft monitoring report are completed prior to submission.

~~1.6.1.2.1.7.1.3.~~ Submission and treatment of public comments

~~41.64.~~ All stakeholders may submit comments, in English, on the proposed JCM project to the project participants and the TPE through electronic means specified on the JCM website. The submitters of the comments provide the name and contact details of the individual or organization on whose behalf the comments are submitted. The TPE checks the authenticity and relevance of this information in case of doubt.

~~42.65.~~ The secretariat makes the comments publicly available through the JCM website where the draft PDD is displayed, and removes those that the TPE has determined to be unauthentic in line with paragraph ~~644~~ above.

~~1.6.2.1.7.2.~~ Submission of sustainable development implementation plan

~~43.66.~~ The project participants of a proposed JCM project prepare a sustainable development implementation plan (hereinafter referred to as “SDIP”), which describes the plan of positive contribution to sustainable development and which consists of a completed “JCM Sustainable Development Implementation Plan Form” using the latest version of that form in line with the “Joint Crediting Mechanism Guidelines for Developing Sustainable Development Implementation Plan and Report” (hereinafter referred to as “SDIP and SDIR Guidelines”), and submit the SDIP together with supporting documentation, as appropriate, to the secretariat at the time of the submission of the draft PDD in line with the paragraph ~~565634~~ above.

~~44.67.~~ The project participants may submit the SDIP to the secretariat, using the previous version of the “JCM Sustainable Development Implementation Plan Form” within the grace period of six (6) months from the date of publication of a new version. The Joint Committee does not accept the SDIP using the previous version after the grace period of six (6) months.

~~45.68.~~ The secretariat notifies the receipt of the submission of the SDIP to the project participants by electronic means.

~~46.69.~~ Upon receiving the SDIP, the secretariat conducts a review within fourteen (14) calendar days.

~~47.70.~~ During the review, the secretariat may interact with the project participants on specific

issues regarding the SDIP.

~~48.71.~~ The secretariat notifies the project participants by electronic means during the period of review specified in paragraph ~~696946~~ above if potential negative impacts of the project on sustainable development are identified and an appropriate action plan is not described.

~~49.72.~~ In case potential negative impacts of the project on sustainable development are identified and an appropriate action plan is not properly described during the period of review, the project participants may revise the SDIP and resubmit it for review.

~~50.73.~~ The SDIP is deemed positively reviewed if no potential negative impacts are identified or appropriate action plan is properly described during the period of review.

~~1.6.3.1.7.3.~~ Modalities of communication

~~51.74.~~ The project participants of a JCM project designate one or more focal point entity(ies) (hereinafter referred to as the “focal point(s)”) from the project participants to communicate on their behalf with the Joint Committee and the secretariat in line with scopes of authority referred to in paragraph ~~777754~~ below and include this information in an MoC.

~~52.75.~~ After the submission of an MoC of a proposed JCM project, all official communication between the project participants and the Joint Committee or each side represented by the secretariat for the specific project is conducted through the focal point(s).

~~53.76.~~ The project participants submit an MoC to the secretariat and the TPE, at the time of submitting the draft PDD to the TPE for validation and the secretariat for public comments. The contact details of the focal point(s) and other project participants are included in the “JCM Modalities of Communication Statement Form”.

~~54.77.~~ The project participants grant the focal point(s) the authority to:

- (a) Communicate in relation to requests for issuance of credits to respective accounts;
- (b) Communicate in relation to requests for addition and/or voluntary withdrawal of project participants and changes to the focal point(s), as well as changes to company names, legal status, contact details, and specimen signatures; and
- (c) Communicate on all other project-related matters not covered by subparagraphs (a) and (b) above.

~~55.78.~~ The project participants designate one primary authorized signatory and one alternate authorized signatory. The signature of either the primary or alternate authorized signatory suffices for authenticating the project participant’s consent or instruction(s).

~~56.79.~~ The project participants do not include or refer to private contractual arrangements in an MoC.

~~57.80.~~ The secretariat publishes the MoC on the JCM website following the registration of the project. The MoC is shared only among the project participants, the Joint Committee, the secretariat, and the TPE involved in the JCM project. The secretariat makes sections 1 to 4

of the MoC without specimen signatures publicly available.

1.6.4.1.7.4. Validation of a proposed JCM project

~~58.81.~~ The TPE, in line with the “Joint Crediting Mechanism Guidelines for Validation and Verification” (hereinafter referred to as “Validation and Verification Guidelines”), validates the MoC and the proposed JCM project as described in the draft PDD, prepares a validation report using the latest version of the “JCM Validation Report Form” and sends the report to the project participants.

~~59.82.~~ The TPE may send the validation report to the project participants using the previous version of the “JCM Validation Report Form” within the grace period of six (6) months from the date of publication of a new version. The validation report using the previous version after the grace period of six (6) months is not accepted by the Joint Committee.

~~60.83.~~ Validation can be conducted simultaneously with verification.

1.7.1.8. Registration of project

1.7.1.1.8.1. Request for registration

1.7.1.1.8.1.1. Submission of request for registration

~~84.~~ Project participants of a JCM project consult among themselves and both sides the credit allocation among the project participants of Japan, the project participants of Indonesia, the Japanese side and the Indonesian side, taking into consideration their respective contributions to GHG emission reductions or removals.

~~85.~~ At least ten (10) percent of the credits issued from the JCM project are allocated to the Indonesian side taking into account their contribution.

~~61.86.~~ The project participants, after receiving no objection to the PIN, a positive validation opinion by the TPE, and after the SDIP is positively reviewed by the secretariat, may request for registration of the proposed JCM project. When requesting for registration, the project participants submit the completed “JCM Project Registration Request Form” using the latest version of that form, the validated PDD and MoC, the validation report, the positively reviewed SDIP, the completed “JCM Credit Allocation Form” using the latest version of that form, and other supporting documents, as appropriate, to the Joint Committee through the secretariat by electronic means.

~~62.87.~~ The project participants may request for registration of the proposed JCM project using the previous version~~s~~ of the “JCM Project Registration Request Form” and the “JCM Credit Allocation Form” within the grace period of six (6) months from the date of publication of a new version. The Joint Committee does not accept the request using the previous version after the grace period of six (6) months.

1.7.1.2.1.8.1.2. Processing request for registration

63.88. The secretariat maintains a publicly available list of all submitted requests for registration through the JCM website.

64.89. The secretariat notifies the receipt of the request for registration to the project participants by electronic means.

65.90. Upon receiving the request for registration, the secretariat conducts a completeness check within seven (7) calendar days ~~a completeness check~~ to determine whether the request for registration submission is complete.

66.91. Upon ~~conclusion completion~~ of the completeness check, the secretariat notifies the project participants and the TPE of the ~~result~~conclusion of the completeness check.

1.7.1.3.1.8.1.3. Review of submission of request for registration

67.92. Upon positive ~~conclusion completion~~ of the completeness check, the secretariat reviews the submitted “JCM Project Registration Request Form”, the validated PDD and MoC, the validation report and other supporting documents.

68.93. The secretariat may interact with the project participants on specific issues regarding the submission.

69.94. Upon the completion of the review, the secretariat notifies the project participants and the TPE and submits the outcome to the Joint Committee.

1.8.2. Consideration of a proposed project

95. The Joint Committee receives the validated PDD and MoC, the validation report and the positively reviewed SDIP by the secretariat and considers the proposed project.

96. The Joint Committee may interact with the project participants on specific issues regarding the proposed project.

97. The outcome of the consideration is as follows:

- (a) Registration of the proposed project;
- (b) Registration of the proposed project with revisions;
- (c) Rejection of the proposed project.

98. The Joint Committee should complete the consideration within thirty (30) calendar days from the closing of the secretariat review. If this is deemed not possible due to matters such as ongoing clarifications, then the secretariat notifies the project participants of the status of the discussion within thirty (30) calendar days from the closing of the secretariat review, and the Joint Committee should complete the consideration no later than sixty (60) calendar days from the closing of the secretariat review.

Finalizing request for registration

70.99. Upon the positive result of the consideration, the ~~The~~ Joint Committee receives the

outcome of the secretariat's review and decides on the credit allocation, and whether to register ~~registration of~~ the proposed JCM project.

~~71.100.~~ When the Joint Committee decides to register the proposed JCM project, the secretariat notifies each side, the project participants and the TPE of the registration and makes publicly available the relevant information including the credit allocation ~~on~~for the JCM project through the JCM website.

101. The project participants may resubmit any proposed JCM project that has been assessed as incomplete by the secretariat or has been rejected by the Joint Committee. Such submission addresses the reasons for incompleteness stated by the secretariat or rejection stated by the Joint Committee.

1.8.1.9. Pre-issuance activities

1.8.1.9.1. Preparation of monitoring report

~~72.102.~~ The project participants prepare a draft monitoring report in line with the applied methodology and the PDD and Monitoring Guidelines, and submit it together with supporting documentation to the TPE contracted by the project participants to perform verification of the monitored GHG emission reductions or removals, and to the secretariat.

1.8.2.1.9.2. Verification of emission reductions or removals

~~73.103.~~ The TPE, in line with the Validation and Verification Guidelines, verifies the amounts of GHG emission reductions or removals on the basis of the monitoring report submitted by the project participants, prepares a verification report using the latest version of the "JCM Verification Report Form" and sends the report to the project participants which requested verification.

~~74.104.~~ The TPE may send the verification report to the project participants using the previous version of the "JCM Verification Report Form" within the grace period of six (6) months from the date of publication of a new version. The verification report using the previous version after the grace period of six (6) months is not accepted by the Joint Committee.

~~75.105.~~ Verification can be conducted simultaneously with validation.

1.8.3.1.9.3. Sustainable development implementation report

1.8.3.1.9.3.1. Preparation of sustainable development implementation report

~~76.106.~~ The project participants prepare a sustainable development implementation report (hereinafter referred to as "SDIR") which consists of a completed "JCM Sustainable Development Implementation Report Form" using the latest version of that form in line with the SDIP and SDIR Guidelines and the positively reviewed SDIP, and submit the

SDIR together with supporting documentation, as appropriate, to the Joint Committee through the secretariat at the time of the submission of the draft monitoring report in line with the paragraph ~~102~~~~102~~~~72~~ above.

~~77~~~~107~~. The project participants may submit the SDIR to the Joint Committee through the secretariat, using the previous version of the “JCM Sustainable Development Implementation Report Form” within the grace period of six (6) months from the date of publication of a new version. The Joint Committee does not accept the SDIR using the previous version after the grace period of six (6) months.

~~78~~~~108~~. The secretariat notifies the receipt of the submission of the SDIR to the project participants by electronic means.

~~79~~~~109~~. Upon receiving the SDIR, the secretariat conducts a completeness check within seven (7) calendar days. If the submission is deemed incomplete, the secretariat notifies the project participants of the reason.

~~80~~~~110~~. Upon positive ~~conclusion~~~~result~~ of the completeness check, the Joint Committee conducts an evaluation of the SDIR within thirty (30) calendar days, including on-site visit where necessary.

~~81~~~~111~~. During the evaluation, the secretariat may interact with the project participants on specific issues regarding the SDIR.

~~82~~~~112~~. The secretariat notifies the project participants by electronic means during the period of evaluation specified in paragraph ~~110~~~~108~~ above if negative impacts of the project on sustainable development are identified without appropriate description on the corrective action. The SDIR is deemed positively evaluated if no negative impacts are identified or appropriate description on the corrective action is properly addressed during the period of evaluation.

~~83~~~~113~~. If negative impacts of the project on sustainable development are identified without appropriate description on the corrective action, the project participants may revise SDIR and re-submit it for re-evaluation as described in section ~~1.9.3.2~~~~1.9.3.2~~~~1.8.3.2~~ below.

~~1.8.3.2~~~~1.9.3.2~~. Conditions resulting in the revision of SDIR

~~84~~~~114~~. In case negative impacts of the project on sustainable development are identified without appropriate description on the corrective action, the project participants may submit the revised SDIR to the Joint Committee through the secretariat within sixty (60) calendar days from the date of notification by submitting the revised SDIR highlighting all revisions. The submission may be accompanied by additional documents which help explain the revisions.

~~85~~~~115~~. The Joint Committee conducts re-evaluation of the revised SDIR within ten (10) calendar days.

~~86.116.~~ The secretariat notifies the project participants by electronic means during the period of evaluation specified in paragraph ~~115~~85 above if negative impacts of the project on sustainable development are identified without appropriate description on the corrective action.

~~87.117.~~ If negative impacts of the project on sustainable development are identified without appropriate description on the corrective action, the project participants may repeat SDIR revision for re-evaluation as specified in paragraphs ~~114~~1484 to ~~116~~1686 above.

1.9.4. Preparation of project implementation report

~~118.~~ The project participants prepare a project implementation report and submit it to the Joint Committee annually until the end of the operational lifetime of the project or the end of the crediting period, whichever comes first. When reporting to the Joint Committee, the project participants submit the completed “JCM Project Implementation Report Form” using the latest version of that form by electronic means.

~~119.~~ The project participants may submit the report to the Joint Committee using the previous version of the “JCM Project Implementation Report Form” within the grace period of six (6) months from the date of publication of a new version. The Joint Committee does not accept the report using the previous version after the grace period of six (6) months.

1.9.1.10. Issuance of credits

1.9.1.1.10.1. Request for issuance

1.9.1.1.1.10.1.1. Submission of request for issuance

~~88.120.~~ The project participants who wish to have credits issued open an account in the registry of the Japanese side and/or the Indonesian side before requesting issuance of credits.

~~121.~~ The project participants may request the Joint Committee through the secretariat to notify each side to issue credits to their respective accounts in the registry, only after the TPE verifies the amount of GHG emission reductions or removals. When requesting to notify each side to issue credits, the project participants submit the completed “JCM Credits Issuance Request Form” using the latest version of that form, including information on the allocation of credits among the project participants and both sides in tonnes of CO₂ equivalent in line with the credit allocation decided in paragraph 999980, the verified monitoring report, the verification report, and the evaluated SDIR by electronic means.

~~89.~~ ~~Part of the credits is allocated to the project participants from the developed country taking into consideration their contribution to GHG emission reductions or removals through the JCM project. Actual amount of allocation of credits is consulted and determined by the project participants from the developed country and the developing country among themselves.~~

122. At least ten (10) percent of the JCM credits issued from the JCM project are allocated to the Indonesian side taking into account their contribution.

123. The credit allocation decided by the Joint Committee may be revised when all the project participants and both governments consent to change.

~~90.124.~~ The project participants may request the Joint Committee to notify each side to issue credits using the previous version of the “JCM Credits Issuance Request Form” within the grace period of six (6) months from the date of publication of a new version. The Joint Committee does not accept the request using the previous version after the grace period of six (6) months.

~~91. The project participants may allocate part of the credits to the respective governments.~~

4.9.1.2.1.10.1.2. Processing request for issuance

~~92.125.~~ The secretariat notifies the receipt of the request for issuance to the project participants by electronic means.

~~93.126.~~ The secretariat conducts a completeness check within seven (7) calendar days ~~a completeness check~~ to determine whether the request for issuance, including allocation of the credits among the project participants in tonnes of CO₂ equivalent, is complete.

~~94.127.~~ Upon positive ~~conclusion result~~ of the completeness check, the Joint Committee decides on whether to notify each side of the amount of credits to be issued.

~~95.128.~~ Upon decision by the Joint Committee, the secretariat notifies each side, the project participants and the TPEs of the result.

4.9.1.3.1.10.1.3. Finalizing request for issuance

~~96.129.~~ Upon notification by the secretariat, each side issues the amount of credits specified in the notification to respective accounts of project participants in the registry.

~~97.130.~~ Each side notifies the issuance of credits to the Joint Committee through the secretariat.

~~98.131.~~ The secretariat archives all the data of issuance of credits and makes them publicly available through the JCM website.

1.11. Renewal of crediting period

1.11.1. Assessment of validity of reference emissions of the applied methodology

132. The project participants, who selected a renewable crediting period of the registered JCM project and wish to renew its crediting period, evaluate the validity of the reference emissions of the applied methodology.

133. Reference emissions are deemed to have validity if five (5) years have not passed since the approval date or the date of the last positive result decided by the Joint Committee on the validity of reference emissions in line with section 1.7.1.1 or section 1.11.14-40-1, of the

approved methodology to be applied, which the latest version at the time of the submission of the request for renewal of crediting period or the previous version if the submission of the request for renewal of the crediting period is still within the grace period of the previous version for use.

134. If the reference emissions are not deemed to have validity in line with paragraph 133~~133134~~ nor to fulfill the requirements described in the Methodology Guidelines, the project participants proceed to the revision of an approved methodology(ies) applied in line with Section 2.2.1 below.

135. When the reference emissions are not deemed to have validity in line with paragraph 133 but to fulfill the requirements described in the Methodology Guidelines, the project participants submit a request with relevant evidence of their evaluation to the Joint Committee through the secretariat for assessment on the validity of reference emissions, using the latest version of “JCM Assessment of reference emissions request form”.

136. The secretariat conducts a completeness check of the submitted request within seven (7) calendar days to determine whether the submitted request is complete.

137. Upon completion of the completeness check, the secretariat notifies the project participants of the result of the completeness check.

138. Upon the positive result of the completeness check, the Joint Committee assesses the submission forwarded by the secretariat in line with the Methodology Guidelines and decides the validity of reference emissions of the approved methodology.

139. Upon positive result on the validity of reference emissions of the approved methodology by the Joint Committee, the project participants update their PDD for renewal of crediting period and proceed to the validation process.

140. The project participants may request to revise the approved methodology in line with Section 2.2.1 below when the assessment by the Joint Committee is not completed with a positive result.

1.11.2. Validation of updated PDD for renewal of crediting period

141. The project participants, who selected a renewable crediting period of the registered JCM project and wish to renew its crediting period, update its PDD applying the approved methodology, of which reference emissions are deemed to have validity in line with paragraph 133~~133132~~ or of which the validity of reference emissions is positively completed by the Joint Committee, or applying the revised approved methodology, in line with Section 1.11.1 above.

142. When requesting the renewal of the crediting period, the project participants submit the updated PDD to the TPE contracted by the project participants to perform validation of the JCM project and to the Joint Committee through the secretariat for public comments.

Publication of the updated PDD is carried out in the same procedure as described in Section 1.7.1 above.

143. The TPE, in line with the Validation and Verification Guidelines validates the JCM project as described in the updated PDD and the MoC as necessary in line with Section 1.7.4 above.

1.11.3. Request for renewal of crediting period

1.11.3.1. Submission of request for renewal of crediting period

144. The project participants, after receiving a positive validation opinion by the TPE submit a request for renewal of crediting period of the registered JCM project using the latest version of the “JCM Renewal of crediting period request form” together with the updated PDD, the validation report and a completed “JCM Credit Allocation Form” using the latest version of that form to the secretariat. Such a submission is made no earlier than two hundred and seventy (270) days prior to, but no later than one year after, the expiry of the crediting period.

1.11.3.2. Processing of request for renewal

145. The secretariat processes a submission in line with Section 1.8.1 above.

1.11.3.3. Finalizing request for renewal

146. Upon positive result of the completeness check, the Joint Committee decides to renew the crediting period of the JCM project. When the Joint Committee decides to renew the crediting period of the JCM project, the same procedure is carried out as described in paragraph 100 above.

2. SPECIFIC PROCEDURES

2.1. No objection to a planned project

2.1.1. No objection to a planned project

2.1.1.1. Processing no objection to a planned project

147. If the secretariat, during the completeness check of a PIN, identifies issues of an editorial nature, it requests project participants by electronic means to submit the missing information. In this case, project participants submit the requested information within seven (7) calendar days of receipt of the request. If project participants do not submit the requested information by this deadline, the PIN is deemed incomplete. The secretariat conducts the completeness check within five (5) calendar days from the date of the receipt of the requested information.

148. If the PIN does not meet the requirements of the completeness check, the secretariat communicates the underlying reasons to the project participants. In this case, the project participants may re-submit the revised PIN as described in paragraphs 6 and 7 above.

2.1.2.2. Approval of methodologies

2.1.1.2.2.1. Revision of an approved methodology

~~99.149.~~ Methodology proponents may request the Joint Committee through the secretariat to revise an approved methodology by submitting the completed “JCM Approved Methodology Revision Request Form” using the latest version of that form and the proposed revised methodology highlighting all proposed changes.

~~100.150.~~ The methodology proponents may request the Joint Committee for the revision of an approved methodology using the previous version of the “JCM Approved Methodology Revision Request Form” within the grace period of six (6) months from the date of publication of a new version. The Joint Committee does not accept the request using the previous version after the grace period of six (6) months.

~~101.151.~~ The submission may be accompanied by additional documents which help explain the proposed revision. The Joint Committee and the secretariat may request the methodology proponents to submit additional documents including a draft PDD to which the proposed revised methodology is applied.

~~102.152.~~ The secretariat notifies the receipt of the submission to the methodology proponents by electronic means.

~~103.153.~~ Methodologies may also be revised under the initiative of the Joint Committee.

~~104.154.~~ The secretariat conducts a completeness check of the submission in the same procedure as described in section 1.5.21.5.21.4.2.

~~105.155.~~ In parallel with the completeness check, the secretariat also assesses the nature and complexity of the proposed revision and classify them as follows:

- (a) Substantive revision proposal: Substantive changes to the approved methodology including changes in eligibility criteria, calculation and monitoring methods and parameters; or
- (b) Editorial revision proposal: Correction of misstatements and editorial revisions to improve the clarity of the approved methodology.

~~106.156.~~ Upon ~~conclusion-completion~~ of the completeness check and the assessment of the proposed revision by the secretariat, all substantive revision proposals referred to in paragraph ~~155+55+05~~(a), including those under the initiative of the Joint Committee, are subject to public comments procedure as described in section ~~1.5.3+5.3+4.3~~.

~~107.157.~~ Consideration of the substantive revision proposals is conducted in the same procedure as described in paragraphs ~~313+18~~ to ~~404027~~.

~~108.158.~~ The secretariat makes publicly available all approved revised methodologies through the JCM website within five (5) calendar days from the date of decision by the Joint Committee.

~~109.159.~~ Project participants may apply the approved revised methodology in projects seeking validation after the date on which the revised version is approved.

~~110.160.~~ Upon ~~completion~~ of the completeness check and the assessment of the proposed revision by the secretariat, all editorial revision proposals referred to in paragraph ~~155+55+05~~(b), including those under the initiative of the Joint Committee, are reflected as appropriate by the secretariat after approval by the Joint Committee. The secretariat makes the revised methodology publicly available through the JCM website.

~~111.161.~~ The revision of an approved methodology has no effect on projects which have started the public comments for draft PDDs applying the previous version of the revised methodology.

~~2.1.2.2.2.2.~~ **Putting on hold of an approved methodology**

~~112.162.~~ In case new or better comprehension of scientific evidence indicates that emission reductions may be overestimated based on the approved methodology, or there are identified inconsistencies, errors and/or ambiguities in the approved methodology, the Joint Committee may put on hold an approved methodology at any time. In this case, the Joint Committee decides to either:

- (a) Put on hold the approved methodology with immediate effect. In this case, project participants do not submit any draft PDD for public comments or any request for registration of a project applying the methodology, from the day following the date of publication of the Joint Committee's decision through the JCM website; or
- (b) Put on hold the approved methodology with a grace period of twenty-eight (28) calendar days. In this case, project participants do not submit any request for

registration of a project applying the methodology any more than twenty-eight (28) calendar days following the date of publication of the Joint Committee's decision through the JCM website.

2.2.2.3. Pre-registration activities

2.2.1.2.3.1. Conditions resulting in the revision of project design document

~~H3.163.~~ The project participants may submit a draft PDD to request for registration applying the previous version of an approved methodology within the grace period of eight (8) months from the date of publication of revised version except when the methodology is revised following the process described in paragraph ~~162+62+42~~ above. If the project participants have submitted a draft PDD applying the previous version of an approved methodology to the TPE for validation and to the secretariat for public comments but do not submit request for registration within the grace period, they revise the draft PDD applying the new version of the methodology and submit it to the TPE for validation and to the secretariat for public comments, notifying the reference number which has already been issued to the proposed JCM project.

~~H4.164.~~ If the project participants wish to change the approved methodology applied in the draft PDD that has already been published for public comments, they revise the draft PDD and submit the revised draft PDD to the TPE for validation and to the secretariat for public comments, notifying the reference number which has already been issued to the proposed JCM project.

2.3.2.4. Registration of project

2.3.1.2.4.1. Request for registration

2.3.1.1.2.4.1.1. Processing request for registration

~~H5.165.~~ If the secretariat, during the completeness check, identifies issues of an editorial nature, it requests project participants by electronic means, copying the TPE, to submit the missing or revised documents and/or information. In this case, project participants submit the requested documents and/or information within seven (7) calendar days of receipt of the request. If project participants do not submit the requested documents and/or information by this deadline, ~~the secretariat concludes that~~ the request for registration is deemed incomplete. The secretariat conducts the completeness check within seven (7) calendar days from the date of the receipt of the requested documents and/or information.

~~H6.166.~~ If the request for registration does not meet the requirements of the completeness check, the secretariat communicates the underlying reasons to the project participants and the TPE, and makes them publicly available through the JCM website. In

this case, the project participants may re-submit the request for registration with revised documentation as described in paragraph ~~868461~~ above.

~~117.167.~~ If the secretariat notifies the project participants that the request for registration is incomplete, in line with paragraph ~~166166116~~ above, more than forty-five (45) calendar days after the submission of the request for registration, and the request for registration was submitted more than forty-five (45) calendar days prior to the expiry of the grace period of the previous version of a methodology, then for re-submission purposes, the project participants are granted an extension of the validity of the methodology by the number of calendar days in excess of the forty-five (45) calendar days elapsed before the notification on incompleteness is made.

~~2.3.1.2.2.4.1.2.~~ Rejecting request for registration

~~118.168.~~ If the Joint Committee decides to reject the request for registration, the secretariat notifies each side, the project participants and the TPE of the rejection and its reasons and makes publicly available the decision with its reasons through the JCM website.

~~119.169.~~ In the case of paragraph ~~168118~~ above, the project participants may re-submit the request for registration with revised documentation in line with section ~~1.8.11.8.11.7.1~~ if the reasons for the rejection can be addressed by means of a validation report revised by the TPE, based on a revised PDD as appropriate. In this case, the project participants justify that the re-submission falls under such case.

~~2.4.2.5.~~ **Post-registration activities**

~~2.4.1.2.5.1.~~ **Changes to registered JCM project**

~~120.170.~~ When the project has been changed from the registered PDD, methodology and/or positively reviewed SDIP, those changes are classified into the followings:

- (a) Changes determined by the TPE that do not prevent the use of the applied methodology;
- (b) Changes identified by the project participants prior to verification or by the TPE during verification that would prevent the use of the applied methodology;
- (c) Changes identified by the project participants or determined by the TPE that prevent the use of the applied methodology; or
- (d) Changes identified by the project participants that would affect the applicability of the reviewed SDIP.

~~121.171.~~ If changes are classified into paragraph ~~170120~~(a), the project participants revise the PDD and submit it for the first issuance request subsequent to the revision.

~~122.172.~~ If changes are classified into paragraph ~~170120~~(b) or ~~170120~~(d), the project participants proceed with the process described in sections ~~2.5.1.12.5.1.12.4.1.1~~ and

~~2.5.1.22.5.1.22.5.1.22.4.1.2~~ below.

~~123.173.~~ If changes are classified into paragraph ~~170+20~~(c), the project participants withdraw the project in line with section ~~2.72.72.6~~. The project participants may re-submit a request for registration for the withdrawn project in line with section ~~1.7.11.7.11.6.1~~.

~~2.4.1.1.2.5.1.1.~~ Submission of request for approval of changes

~~124.174.~~ The project participants obtain approval of changes by the Joint Committee prior to the submission of the request for issuance of credits in cases described in paragraph ~~170+20~~(b) and ~~170+20~~(d).

~~125.175.~~ To obtain approval from the Joint Committee for the changes that would prevent the use of methodology, the project participants submit a completed “JCM Post-Registration Changes Request Form” using the latest version of that form and a revised PDD to the secretariat by electronic means.

~~126.176.~~ The project participants may request the Joint Committee to approve the changes using the previous version of the “JCM Post-Registration Changes Request Form” within the grace period of six (6) months from the date of publication of a new version. The Joint Committee does not accept the request using the previous version after the grace period of six (6) months.

~~127.177.~~ To obtain approval from the Joint Committee for the changes that would affect the applicability of the reviewed SDIP, the project participants submit a completed “JCM Post-Registration Changes Request Form”, a revised SDIP and a revised PDD where necessary to the secretariat by electronic means.

~~2.4.1.2.2.5.1.2.~~ Processing request for approval of changes

~~128.178.~~ The secretariat prepares and maintains a publicly available list of all submitted requests for approval of changes through the JCM website.

~~129.179.~~ Upon receipt of the request for approval of changes, the secretariat conducts within seven (7) calendar days the completeness check to determine whether the request for approval of changes is complete.

~~130.180.~~ Upon positive ~~conclusion-result~~ of the completeness check of the request for approval of changes, the secretariat, within fourteen (14) calendar days, prepares and sends to the Co-Chairs a summary note on the request with a recommendation on the course of action, or with a notification that the case will be considered by the Joint Committee.

~~131.181.~~ If the secretariat, during the preparation of the summary note, identifies issues that require clarifications from project participants, it requests the project participants to submit revised documents and/or information to clarify the issues within fourteen (14) calendar days of the notification of the request by the secretariat. In this case, the secretariat,

notwithstanding the provision in paragraph ~~180+30~~ above, finalizes the summary note and sends it to the Co-Chairs within fourteen (14) calendar days of receipt of the requested documents and/or information from the project participants. If the project participants do not submit the requested documents and/or information by this deadline, the secretariat suspends the process for the request for approval of changes.

~~132.182.~~ If the secretariat, during the preparation of the summary note, identifies issues that require inputs from a relevant expert, it seeks guidance from the expert. In this case, the secretariat, notwithstanding the provisions in paragraphs ~~180+80+30~~ and ~~181+81+34~~ above, finalizes the summary note and sends it to the Co-Chairs within fourteen (14) calendar days of receipt of the inputs from the expert.

~~133.183.~~ Upon confirmation of the summary note by the Co-Chairs, the summary note is distributed to the Joint Committee through the secretariat, and the Joint Committee decides whether to approve the request.

~~134.184.~~ Once a decision has been made by the Joint Committee, the secretariat informs the project participants of the decision and any guidance provided by the Joint Committee as applicable, and makes the decision and guidance publicly available through the JCM website.

~~135.185.~~ If the request for approval of changes is approved with guidance which requests further revision of the revised PDD and/or the revised SDIP by the Joint Committee, the project participants revise the PDD and/or the SDIP in line with the guidance and submit to the Joint Committee through the secretariat the revised PDD and/or the revised SDIP reflecting the guidance. The secretariat makes the revised PDD publicly available through the JCM website as the registered PDD. This version of the registered PDD is applied for future requests for issuance of credits.

~~136.186.~~ If the request for approval of changes is approved without guidance, the secretariat makes the revised PDD publicly available through the JCM website as the registered PDD. This version of the registered PDD is applied for future requests for issuance of credits.

~~137.187.~~ If the request for approval of changes is not approved, the project participants withdraw the project in line with section ~~2.72.72.6~~ or follow one of the procedures described in the subparagraphs (a) to (c) below. In either procedure, the project participants notify the reference number which has already been issued to the registered JCM project to the TPE and the secretariat as applicable:

- (a) In cases described in paragraph ~~170+20~~(b), the project participants revise the PDD and the SDIP as necessary, submit a revised draft PDD to the TPE for validation and to the secretariat for public comments, and submit a revised SDIP to the secretariat for a review as applicable;

- (b) In cases described in paragraph ~~170170120~~(d) and the PDD ~~needsis-needed~~ to be revised, the project participants revise the PDD and the SDIP, submit a revised draft PDD to the TPE for validation and to the secretariat for public comments, and submit a revised SDIP to the secretariat for a review; or
- (c) In cases described in paragraph ~~170170120~~(d) and the PDD ~~doesis~~ not needed to be revised, the project participants revise the SDIP and submit a revised SDIP to the secretariat for a review.

2.4.2.2.5.2. Changes to registered modalities of communication

2.4.2.1.2.5.2.1. General requirements

~~138.188.~~ Project participants of the JCM project request changes to the contents of the registered MoC to the secretariat as soon as possible after the changes become effective.

~~139.189.~~ The secretariat requests a new submission of an MoC whenever the secretariat identifies inconsistencies or inaccuracies in the registered MoC.

~~140.190.~~ In case of requesting for changes to the contents of the registered MoC, project participants submit a new MoC using the latest version of the “JCM Modalities of Communication Statement Form” to the secretariat by electronic means.

~~141.191.~~ Project participants may request for changes to the contents of the registered MoC by using the previous version of the “JCM Modalities of Communication Statement Form” within the grace period of six (6) months from the date of publication of a new version. The secretariat does not accept the previous version after the grace period of six (6) months.

~~142.192.~~ Project participants who submit a new MoC ensure that:

- (a) Supporting documentation, including powers of attorney, or extracts from board meeting minutes or company association documentation, or extracts/certificates from national company registries that cannot be verified online, is dated or notarized within two (2) years from the time of submission of a request for change to established modalities of communication. This time limitation does not apply to copies of national personal identity documents;
- (b) To the extent possible, changes applicable to more than one JCM project or multiple changes affecting the same JCM project are consolidated in a single form.

~~143.193.~~ The legal representative of a project participant may sign on behalf of the authorized signatories if the primary and alternate authorized signatories of the project participant concerned are no longer available.

~~144.194.~~ Legal representatives signing on behalf of the project participants provide written evidence that they are authorized to sign on behalf of the respective entities.

~~145.195.~~ The secretariat may request additional clarification and/or documentation if

submissions do not clearly provide evidence.

~~146.196.~~ The secretariat displays the updated MoC including its annex 1 as necessary and their effective dates on the JCM website in line with paragraph ~~8080798057~~.

~~2.4.2.2.2.5.2.2.~~ Voluntary changes to focal point(s)

~~147.197.~~ Any of the project participants for a registered JCM project may request for changes on the designation of the focal point(s) for any reason and at any time by submitting a new MoC signed by all project participants using the latest version of the “JCM Modalities of Communication Statement Form” to the secretariat by electronic means.

~~148.198.~~ Project participants may request for changes on the designation of the focal point by using the previous version of the “JCM Modalities of Communication Statement Form” within the grace period of six (6) months from the date of publication of a new version. The secretariat does not accept the previous version after the grace period of six (6) months.

~~2.4.2.3.2.5.2.3.~~ Changes to project participants

~~149.199.~~ If the project participants of a registered JCM project have changed after the registration of the project, project participants submit a completed annex 1 of the “JCM Modalities of Communication Statement Form” for each of the following changes:

- (a) Addition of a project participant;
- (b) Changes related to entity names/legal status;
- (c) Withdrawal of a project participant. If a project participant has ceased operations due to bankruptcy or other reasons and is unable to sign the “JCM Modalities of Communication Statement Form”, the submission is accompanied by documented evidence of the cessation;
- (d) Changes related only to contact details and specimen signatures.

~~150.200.~~ A project participant added to a registered JCM project accepts the existing MoC unless a new MoC is submitted simultaneously.

~~2.5.2.6.~~ Issuance of credits

~~2.5.1.2.6.1.~~ Request for issuance

~~2.5.1.1.2.6.1.1.~~ Processing request for issuance

~~151.201.~~ If the secretariat, during the completeness check, identifies issues of an editorial nature, it requests the project participants by electronic means, copying the TPE, to submit the missing or revised documents and/or information. In this case, the project participants submit the requested documents and/or information within seven (7) calendar

days of receipt of the request. If the project participants do not submit the requested documents and/or information by this deadline, the request for issuance is deemed the secretariat concludes completes that the request for issuance is incomplete. The secretariat conducts the completeness check within seven (7) calendar days from the date of the receipt of the requested documents and/or information.

~~152.202.~~ If the request for issuance does not meet the requirements of the completeness check, the secretariat communicates its conclusion result and the underlying reasons to the project participants and the TPE, and makes them publicly available through the JCM website. In this case, the project participants may re-submit the request for issuance with revised documentation.

~~2.5.1.2.2.6.1.2.~~ Rejecting request for issuance

~~153.203.~~ If the Joint Committee decides to reject the request for issuance, the secretariat notifies the project participants and the TPE of the rejection and updates the information accordingly on the JCM website immediately after the decision-making.

~~154.204.~~ The secretariat makes the reasons for the rejection publicly available through the JCM website.

~~155.205.~~ In the case of paragraph 203~~153~~ above, the project participants may re-submit the request for issuance with revised documentation in line with section 1.10.1~~1.10.11.9.1~~ if the reasons for the rejection can be addressed by means of a verification report revised by the TPE, based on a revised monitoring report as appropriate. In this case, the project participants justify that the re-submission falls under such case.

~~2.6.2.7.~~ Withdrawal

~~2.6.1.2.7.1.~~ Submission of request for withdrawal

~~156.206.~~ The project participants may voluntarily withdraw a proposed or registered JCM project at any time. In such case, the project participants submit a completed “JCM Project Withdrawal Request Form” using the latest version of that form to the Joint Committee through the secretariat by electronic means.

~~157.207.~~ For the following cases, the project participants submit a completed “JCM Registration Request Withdrawal Form” using the latest version of that form to the Joint Committee through the secretariat by electronic means:

- (a) The project participants voluntarily wish to withdraw a request for registration;
- (b) The TPE has revised its validation opinion based on new insights or information and has notified it to the project participants.

~~158.208.~~ For the following cases, the project participants submit a completed “JCM Issuance Request Withdrawal Form” using the latest version of that form to the Joint

Committee through the secretariat by electronic means:

- (a) The project participants voluntarily wish to withdraw a request for issuance for the specified monitoring period;
- (b) The TPE has revised its verification report based on new insights and has notified it to the project participants.

~~159.209.~~ In the case of paragraphs ~~206206156~~, ~~207157~~ and ~~208158~~ above, the project participants may use the previous version of the “JCM Project Withdrawal Request Form”, “JCM Registration Request Withdrawal Form” or “JCM Issuance Request Withdrawal Form” within the grace period of six (6) months from the date of publication of a new version. The Joint Committee does not accept the previous version after the grace period of six (6) months.

2.6.2.2.7.2. Processing request for withdrawal

~~160.210.~~ Upon receipt of the request for withdrawal, the secretariat confirms the documents submitted.

~~161.211.~~ Upon confirmation by the secretariat:

- (a) For a withdrawal of a project, the project is marked as “withdrawn” on the JCM website;
- (b) For a withdrawal of a request for registration, the request for registration is marked as “withdrawn” on the JCM website;
- (c) For a withdrawal of a request for issuance, the request for issuance for the specified monitoring period is marked as “withdrawn” on the JCM website.

2.8. Addressing the risk of reversal in carbon capture and storage and carbon capture, utilization and storage (CCS and CCUS) projects

212. Taking into consideration leakage risk, project participants of CCS and CCUS projects subtract a portion of credits from issued JCM credits as a reserve or –may purchase insurance or credits from other schemes that provide equivalent assurance for supplementing JCM credits of CO₂ leakage.

- (a) Each project participant holds JCM credits to be reserved in a reserve account separately opened in the respective side’s registry.
- (b) The reserve rate, in principle, is established at three (3) % as default. Alternatively, project participants may establish a specific reserve rate in a methodology.

213. When CO₂ leakage is detected, project participants cancel credits at the time of credit issuance in line with Sections 2.8.1 and 2.8.2. Any remaining JCM credits in the reserve account at the end of the monitoring period will be allocated to the project participant.

2.8.1. Injection period:

214. When leakage is detected in monitoring in the injection period, project participants account for leakage emissions as project emissions when calculating emissions reduction.

- (a) Based on the calculation in (1) of the figure below, in a case where emissions reduction results in a negative figure, corresponding amounts of JCM credits are deducted from credits generated from subsequent monitoring periods.
- (b) Based on the calculation in (2) of the figure, in a case where net emissions reduction results in a negative figure, corresponding amounts of JCM credits are canceled from the reserve account after verification by the TPE.
- (c) If credits canceled in line with (3) of the figure are not enough to cover the leakage, corresponding amounts of JCM credits are canceled from accounts held by project participants.
- (d) If credits canceled in (4) of the figure are not enough to cover the leakage, corresponding amounts of credits are canceled from credits purchased by project participants from other JCM projects or JCM credits to be compensated by insurance or other assurance schemes.

2.8.2. Post injection:

215. When leakage is detected in monitoring in the post-injection period, project participants cancel corresponding amounts of JCM credits in the reserve account after verification by a TPE as follows.

- (a) If credits canceled in line with (2) of the figure below are not enough to cover the leakage, corresponding amounts of credits are canceled from accounts held by project participants.
- (b) If credits canceled in (2) are not enough to cover the leakage, corresponding amounts of credits are canceled from credits purchased by project participants from other JCM projects or JCM credits to be compensated by insurance or other assurance schemes.




Figure: Credit cancellation procedure when leakage is detected ~~within credit issuance~~

* Credit cancellation is conducted after verification by a TPE.

216. In the case of small-scale projects with a small amount of injection where monitoring during the termination period is exempted, JCM credits are issued based on emissions reduction calculated with a deduction of a certain amount of emissions reduction. The amount to be deducted is established in a methodology.

162.217. The Indonesian side properly adjusts all the credits reserved in reserve accounts from the national GHG inventory of the Indonesian side to prevent possible double counting.