## **JCM Verification Report Form**

A. Summary of verifi	cation			
A.1. General Informatio	on			
Title of the project				
Reference number				
Monitoring period				
Date of completion of the monitoring report				
Third-party entity (TPE)				
Project participant con	tracting the	ГРЕ		
Date of completion of	this report			
A.2 Conclusion of verification and level of as  Overall verification opinion Posi		evel of ass		
		☐ Nega	ative	
(TPE's emission  ✓ Are reproducted to the control of the control		name) provides reasonable assumed reductions for YYYY (project name free of material errors are esentation of the GHG data and in prepared in line with the related reduce, guidelines, forms and auments are reasons>	urance that the me) nd are a fair nformation, and tted JCM rules,	
state its reasons.)  Qualified Opinion  Adverse opinion  Disclaimer				
Disciannei				
A.3. Overview of the verification results				
Item			No CAR or CL	
				remaining
implementation with	with project and its operation with the applied methodological with the applied with the a		the conformity of the actual on with the eligibility criteria of egy.	

project The TPE assesses the status of the actual project and

methodology

The

Item	Verification rec	No CAR or CL remaining	
implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD	its operation with the register approved revised PDD.	Temaning	
and correction of	If monitoring Option C is selected, the TPE determines whether the measuring equipments have been properly calibrated in line with the monitoring plan and whether measured values are properly corrected, where necessary, to calculate emission reductions in line with the PDD and Monitoring Guidelines.		
	The TPE assesses the data and calculations of GHG emission reductions achieved by/resulting from the project by the application of the selected approved methodology.		
Avoidance of double registration	The TPE determines whether the project is not registered under other international climate mitigation mechanisms.		
Post registration changes	The TPE determines whether there are post registration changes from the registered PDD and/or methodology which prevent the use of the applied methodology.		
Authorised signatory:			
Last name:		First name:	
Title: Specimen signature:		Dat	e: dd/mm/yyyy

## B. Verification team and other experts

Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technical competence*	On-site visit

Please specify the following for each item.

- \* Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.
- \* Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.
- \* Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.

## C. Means of verification, findings and conclusions based on reporting requirements

C.1. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology

applied methodology
<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.2. Assessment of the project implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD

ic vised i DD			
<means of="" verification=""></means>			
<findings></findings>			
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.			
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>			
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.			

equirements <means of="" th="" ve<=""><th>erification&gt;</th><th></th></means>	erification>	
<findings></findings>		
Please state if	<sup>c</sup> CARs, CLs, or FA	1Rs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion< td=""><td>based on reporti</td><td>ng requirements&gt;</td></conclusion<>	based on reporti	ng requirements>
	-	n reporting requirements.
.4. Assessmer	nt of data and calcu	ulation of GHG emission reductions
<means of="" th="" ve<=""><th>erification&gt;</th><th></th></means>	erification>	
Darameters	Monitored	Method to check values in the monitoring report with
Parameters	Monitored	
Parameters	Monitored values	Method to check values in the monitoring report wit sources
Parameters		
Parameters		
Parameters		
<findings></findings>	values	sources
<findings></findings>	values	
< <b>Findings&gt;</b> Please state if	values  f CARs, CLs, or FA	ARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<findings> Please state if <conclusion< td=""><td>values  CARS, CLS, or FA  based on reporting</td><td>Sources  ARs are raised, and how they are resolved.  Ing requirements&gt;</td></conclusion<></findings>	values  CARS, CLS, or FA  based on reporting	Sources  ARs are raised, and how they are resolved.  Ing requirements>
<findings> Please state if <conclusion< td=""><td>values  CARS, CLS, or FA  based on reporting</td><td>ARs are raised, and how they are resolved.</td></conclusion<></findings>	values  CARS, CLS, or FA  based on reporting	ARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<findings> Please state if <conclusion< td=""><td>values  CARS, CLS, or FA  based on reporting</td><td>Sources  ARs are raised, and how they are resolved.  Ing requirements&gt;</td></conclusion<></findings>	values  CARS, CLS, or FA  based on reporting	Sources  ARs are raised, and how they are resolved.  Ing requirements>
<findings> Please state if <conclusion co<="" please="" state="" td=""><td>values  CARs, CLs, or FA  based on reporting onclusion based on</td><td>Sources  ARs are raised, and how they are resolved.  Ing requirements&gt;</td></conclusion></findings>	values  CARs, CLs, or FA  based on reporting onclusion based on	Sources  ARs are raised, and how they are resolved.  Ing requirements>
<findings> Please state if <conclusion co<="" please="" state="" td=""><td>values  f CARs, CLs, or FA  based on reporting onclusion based on the second of the se</td><td>ARs are raised, and how they are resolved.  Ing requirements&gt; In reporting requirements.</td></conclusion></findings>	values  f CARs, CLs, or FA  based on reporting onclusion based on the second of the se	ARs are raised, and how they are resolved.  Ing requirements> In reporting requirements.

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
C.6. Post registration changes
C.6. Post registration changes
<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
D. Assessment of vegnence to vemolicing issues
D. Assessment of response to remaining issues
An assessment of response to the remaining issues including FARs from the validation and/o
previous verification period, if appropriate

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

## E. Verified amount of emission reductions achieved

Year	Verified Reference	Verified Project Emissions	Verified Emission
	Emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	(tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Reductions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)
2021			
2022			
2023			
2024			
2025			
2026			
2027			
2028			
2029			
2030			
Total (tCC	O <sub>2</sub> e)		

<sup>\*</sup>Note: Rows may be added as necessary up to the end of the period referred to in paragraph 5 of the Rules of Implementation of the Joint Crediting Mechanism (JCM).

F. List of interviewees and documents received			
F.1. List of interviewees			
F.2. List of documents received			

Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's verification team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.