

JCM Proposed Methodology Form

Cover sheet of the Proposed Methodology Form

Form for submitting the proposed methodology

Host Country	Costa Rica
Name of the methodology proponents submitting this form	NTT DATA INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT CONSULTING, INC.
Sectoral scope(s) to which the Proposed Methodology applies	3. Energy demand
Title of the proposed methodology, and version number	Energy Saving by Introduction of High Efficiency Centrifugal Chiller, Version 1.0
List of documents to be attached to this form (please check):	<input type="checkbox"/> The attached draft JCM-PDD: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Additional information
Date of completion	1/09/2017

History of the proposed methodology

Version	Date	Contents revised
1.0	1/09/2017	First edition

A. Title of the methodology

Energy Saving by Introduction of High Efficiency Centrifugal Chiller, Version 1.0

B. Terms and definitions

Terms	Definitions
Centrifugal chiller	A centrifugal chiller is a chiller equipped with a centrifugal compressor. It is commonly used for air-conditioning with huge cooling load, e.g., buildings, shopping malls or factories etc.
Cooling capacity	Cooling capacity is the ability of individual chiller to remove heat. In this methodology, “cooling capacity” is used to represent a cooling capacity per one chiller unit and not for a system with multiple chiller units.
Periodical check	Periodical check is a periodical investigation of chiller done by manufacturer or agent who is authorized by the manufacturer, in order to maintain chiller performance.
IPLV (Integrated Part Load Value)	IPLV is a performance indicator of chillers described as a weighted average of the energy efficiency ratio (EER [kW/kW]) under four different part loads and it is defined in the standard “AHRI Standard 550/590(I-P)” or “AHRI Standard 551/591(SI)” by the Air-Conditioning, Heating, and Refrigeration Institute (AHRI) of the United States.

C. Summary of the methodology

Items	Summary
<i>GHG emission reduction measures</i>	Saving energy by introducing high efficiency centrifugal chiller for the target factory, hotel, and commerce facilities etc. in Costa Rica.
<i>Calculation of reference emissions</i>	GHG emissions from using reference chiller, calculated with power consumption of project chiller, ratio of IPLVs of reference/project chillers and CO ₂ emission factor for electricity consumed.

<i>Calculation of project emissions</i>	GHG emissions from using project chiller, calculated with power consumption of project chiller and CO ₂ emission factor for electricity consumed.
<i>Monitoring parameters</i>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● Power consumption of project chiller ● Electricity imported from the grid, where applicable ● Operating time of captive electricity generator, where applicable ● The amount of fuel consumed and/or the amount of electricity generated by captive power, where applicable.

D. Eligibility criteria

This methodology is applicable to projects that satisfy all of the following criteria.

Criterion 1	Project chiller is an inverter type centrifugal chiller with a capacity greater than or equal to 165USRt but less than 3500USRt. Note : 1 USRt = 3.52 kW						
Criterion 2	IPLV for project chiller <i>i</i> certified by AHRI is more than the threshold IPLV values set in the table below. (“x” in the table represents cooling capacity per unit.) <table border="1" data-bbox="507 1189 1275 1357" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Cooling capacity per unit [USRt]</th> <th>165≤x<2000</th> <th>2000≤x<3500</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Threshold IPLV value</td> <td>8.04</td> <td>9.60</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Cooling capacity per unit [USRt]	165≤x<2000	2000≤x<3500	Threshold IPLV value	8.04	9.60
Cooling capacity per unit [USRt]	165≤x<2000	2000≤x<3500					
Threshold IPLV value	8.04	9.60					
Criterion 3	Periodical check is planned more than one (1) time annually.						
Criterion 4	Ozone Depletion Potential (ODP) of the refrigerant used for project chiller is zero.						
Criterion 5	A plan for prevention of releasing refrigerant used for project chiller is prepared. In the case of replacing the existing chiller with the project chiller, a plan for prevention of releasing refrigerant used in the existing chiller to the air (e.g. re-use of the equipment) is prepared. Execution of this plan is checked at the time of verification, in order to confirm that refrigerant used for the existing one replaced by the project is prevented from being released to the air.						

E. Emission Sources and GHG types

Reference emissions	
Emission sources	GHG types
Power consumption by reference chiller	CO ₂
Project emissions	
Emission sources	GHG types
Power consumption by project chiller	CO ₂

F. Establishment and calculation of reference emissions

F.1. Establishment of reference emissions

Reference emissions are calculated by multiplying power consumption of project chiller, ratio of IPLVs for reference/project chillers, and CO₂ emission factor for electricity consumed.

The IPLV of reference chiller is conservatively set as a default value in the following manner to ensure the net emission reductions.

1. The reference IPLV value varies by its cooling capacity.
2. The maximum values of IPLV in each cooling capacity range set for this methodology are defined as IPLV_{RE,i} as described in Section I.

F.2. Calculation of reference emissions

$$RE_p = \sum_i \{ EC_{PJ,i,p} \times (IPLV_{PJ,i} \div IPLV_{RE,i}) \times EF_{elec} \}$$

RE_p : Reference emissions during the period p [tCO₂/p]

$EC_{PJ,i,p}$: Power consumption of project chiller i during the period p [MWh/p]

$IPLV_{PJ,i}$: IPLV of project chiller i certified by AHRI [-]

$IPLV_{RE,i}$: IPLV of reference chiller i certified by AHRI [-]

EF_{elec} : CO₂ emission factor for consumed electricity [tCO₂/MWh]

G. Calculation of project emissions

$$PE_p = \sum_i (EC_{PJ,i,p} \times EF_{elec})$$

PE_p : Project emissions during the period p [tCO₂/p]

$EC_{PJ,i,p}$: Power consumption of project chiller i during the period p [MWh/p]
 EF_{elec} : CO₂ emission factor for consumed electricity [tCO₂/MWh]

H. Calculation of emissions reductions

$$ER_p = RE_p - PE_p$$

ER_p : Emission reductions during the period p [tCO₂/p]
 RE_p : Reference emissions during the period p [tCO₂/p]
 PE_p : Project emissions during the period p [tCO₂/p]

I. Data and parameters fixed *ex ante*

The source of each data and parameter fixed *ex ante* is listed as below.

Parameter	Description of data	Source
EF_{elec}	<p>CO₂ emission factor for consumed electricity.</p> <p>When project chiller consumes only grid electricity or captive electricity, the project participant applies the CO₂ emission factor respectively.</p> <p>When project chiller may consume both grid electricity and captive electricity, the project participant applies the CO₂ emission factor for grid and captive electricity proportionately.</p> <p>Proportion of captive electricity is derived from dividing captive electricity generated by total electricity consumed at the project site. The total electricity consumed is a summation of grid electricity imported ($EI_{grid,p}$) and captive electricity generated ($EG_{gen,p}$)* during the monitoring period.</p> <p>* Captive electricity generated can be derived from metering electricity generated or multiplying monitored operating time ($h_{gen,p}$) by rated capacity of generator (RC_{gen}).</p>	<p>[Grid electricity]</p> <p>The most recent value available at the time of validation is applied and fixed for the monitoring period thereafter. The data is sourced from “Factores de emisión de gases efecto invernadero Emission Factors of Electricity Interconnection Systems”, Instituto Meteorológico Nacional National Committee on Clean Development Mechanism—Costa Rica DNA for CDM unless otherwise instructed by the Joint Committee.</p> <p>[Captive electricity]</p>

Parameter	Description of data	Source
	<p>[CO₂ emission factor]</p> <p>For grid electricity: The most recent value available from the source stated in this table at the time of validation</p> <p>For captive electricity, it is determined based on the following options:</p> <p><u>a) Calculated from its power generation efficiency (η_{elec} [%]) obtained from manufacturer's specification</u></p> <p>The power generation efficiency based on lower heating value (LHV) of the captive power generation system from the manufacturer's specification is applied;</p> $EF_{elec} = 3.6 \times \frac{100}{\eta_{elec}} \times EF_{fuel}$ <p><u>b) Calculated from measured data</u></p> <p>The power generation efficiency calculated from monitored data of the amount of fuel input for power generation ($FC_{PJ,p}$) and the amount of electricity generated ($EG_{PJ,p}$) during the monitoring period p is applied. The measurement is conducted with the monitoring equipment to which calibration certificate is issued by an entity accredited under national/international standards;</p> $EF_{elec} = FC_{PJ,p} \times NCV_{fuel} \times EF_{fuel} \times \frac{1}{EG_{PJ,p}}$ <p>Where:</p> <p>NCV_{fuel} : Net calorific value of consumed fuel [GJ/mass or weight]</p> <p>Note:</p> <p>In case the captive electricity generation system meets all of the following conditions, the value in the following table may be applied to EF_{elec} depending</p>	<p>For the option a)</p> <p>Specification of the captive power generation system provided by the manufacturer (η_{elec} [%]).</p> <p>CO₂ emission factor of the fossil fuel type used in the captive power generation system (EF_{fuel} [tCO₂/GJ])</p> <p>For the option b)</p> <p>Generated and supplied electricity by the captive power generation system ($EG_{PJ,p}$ [MWh/p]).</p> <p>Fuel amount consumed by the captive power generation system ($FC_{PJ,p}$ [mass or weight/p]).</p> <p>Net calorific value (NCV_{fuel} [GJ/mass or weight]) and CO₂ emission factor of the fuel (EF_{fuel} [tCO₂/GJ]) in order of preference:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) values provided by the fuel supplier; 2) measurement by the project participants; 3) regional or national default values; 4) IPCC default <p>Values provided in</p>

Parameter	Description of data	Source						
	<p>on the consumed fuel type.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ● The system is non-renewable generation system ● Electricity generation capacity of the system is less than or equal to 15 MW <table border="1" data-bbox="470 562 1007 703"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="470 562 651 629">fuel type</th> <th data-bbox="651 562 804 629">Diesel fuel</th> <th data-bbox="804 562 1007 629">Natural gas</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="470 629 651 703">EF_{elec}</td> <td data-bbox="651 629 804 703">0.8 *₁</td> <td data-bbox="804 629 1007 703">0.46 *₂</td> </tr> </tbody> </table> <p>*1 The most recent value at the time of validation is applied.</p> <p>*2 The value is calculated with the equation in the option a) above. The lower value of default effective CO₂ emission factor for natural gas (0.0543tCO₂/GJ), and the most efficient value of default efficiency for off-grid gas turbine systems (42%) are applied.</p>	fuel type	Diesel fuel	Natural gas	EF _{elec}	0.8 * ₁	0.46 * ₂	<p>tables 1.2 and 1.4 of Ch.1 Vol.2 of 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories. Lower value is applied.</p> <p>[Captive electricity with diesel fuel] CDM approved small scale methodology: AMS-I.A.</p> <p>[Captive electricity with natural gas] 2006 IPCC Guidelines on National GHG Inventories for the source of EF of natural gas. CDM Methodological tool "Determining the baseline efficiency of thermal or electric energy generation systems version02.0" for the default efficiency for off-grid power plants.</p>
fuel type	Diesel fuel	Natural gas						
EF _{elec}	0.8 * ₁	0.46 * ₂						
IPLV _{RE,i}	<p>The IPLV of the reference chiller <i>i</i> is selected from the default IPLV value in the following table in line with cooling capacity of the project chiller <i>i</i>. ("x" in the table represents cooling capacity per unit.)</p>	<p>The default IPLV value is derived from the result of survey on IPLV of chillers</p>						

Parameter	Description of data	Source						
	<table border="1" data-bbox="411 376 1066 539"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="411 376 683 465">Cooling capacity per unit [USRt]</th> <th data-bbox="683 376 874 465">165≤x<2000</th> <th data-bbox="874 376 1066 465">2000≤x<3500</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="411 465 683 539">Reference IPLV value</td> <td data-bbox="683 465 874 539">8.04</td> <td data-bbox="874 465 1066 539">9.60</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Cooling capacity per unit [USRt]	165≤x<2000	2000≤x<3500	Reference IPLV value	8.04	9.60	<p>from manufacturers that has high market share. The survey should prove the use of clear methodology. The IPLV_{RE,i} should be revised if necessary from survey result which is conducted by JC or project participants.</p>
Cooling capacity per unit [USRt]	165≤x<2000	2000≤x<3500						
Reference IPLV value	8.04	9.60						
IPLV _{Pj,i}	<p>The IPLV of project chiller <i>i</i> certified in accordance with the AHRI certification program of Water-Cooled Water Chilling Packages Using Vapor Compression Cycle, which is based on AHRI Standard 550/590 (I-P) and AHRI Standard 551/591 (SI).</p>	<p>Specifications of project chiller <i>i</i> from catalogue or prepared for the quotation or factory acceptance test data by manufacturer</p>						