

## JCM Verification Report Form

### A. Summary of verification

#### A.1. General Information

Title of the project	
Reference number	
Monitoring period	dd/mm/yyyy - dd/mm/yyyy
Date of completion of the monitoring report	dd/mm/yyyy
Third-party entity (TPE)	
Project participant contracting the TPE	
Date of completion of this report	dd/mm/yyyy

#### A.2 Conclusion of verification and level of assurance

Overall verification opinion	<input type="checkbox"/> Positive <input type="checkbox"/> Negative
<input type="checkbox"/> Unqualified opinion	<p>Based on the process and procedure conducted, XXX (TPE's name) provides reasonable assurance that the emission reductions for YYYY (project name)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Are free of material errors and are a fair representation of the GHG data and information, and</li> <li>✓ Are prepared in line with the related JCM rules, procedure, guidelines, forms and other relevant documents</li> </ul>
<p><i>(If overall verification opinion is negative, please check below and state its reasons.)</i></p> <input type="checkbox"/> Qualified Opinion <input type="checkbox"/> Adverse opinion <input type="checkbox"/> Disclaimer	<State the reasons>

#### A.3. Overview of the verification results

Item	Verification requirements	No CAR or CL remaining
The project implementation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology	The TPE determines the conformity of the actual project and its operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology.	<input type="checkbox"/>
The project	The TPE assesses the status of the actual project and	<input type="checkbox"/>

Item	Verification requirements	No CAR or CL remaining
implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD	its operation with the registered/validated PDD or any approved revised PDD.	
Calibration frequency and correction of measured values with related requirements	If monitoring Option C is selected, the TPE determines whether the measuring equipments have been properly calibrated in line with the monitoring plan and whether measured values are properly corrected, where necessary, to calculate emission reductions in line with the PDD and Monitoring Guidelines.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Data and calculation of GHG emission reductions	The TPE assesses the data and calculations of GHG emission reductions achieved by/resulting from the project by the application of the selected approved methodology.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Avoidance of double registration	The TPE determines whether the project is not registered under other international climate mitigation mechanisms.	<input type="checkbox"/>
Post registration changes	The TPE determines whether there are post registration changes from the registered PDD and/or methodology which prevent the use of the applied methodology.	<input type="checkbox"/>

Authorised signatory:	Mr. <input type="checkbox"/> Ms. <input type="checkbox"/>
Last name:	First name:
Title:	
Specimen signature:	Date: dd/mm/yyyy

**B. Verification team and other experts**

	Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technical competence*	On-site visit
Mr. <input type="checkbox"/> Ms. <input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. <input type="checkbox"/> Ms. <input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. <input type="checkbox"/> Ms. <input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>
Mr. <input type="checkbox"/> Ms. <input type="checkbox"/>				<input type="checkbox"/>		<input type="checkbox"/>

Please specify the following for each item.

- \* *Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.*
- \* *Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.*
- \* *Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.*

**C. Means of verification, findings and conclusions based on reporting requirements**

C.1. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology

<p><b>&lt;Means of verification&gt;</b></p> <p><b>&lt;Findings&gt;</b>  <i>Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.</i></p> <p><b>&lt;Conclusion based on reporting requirements&gt;</b>  <i>Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.</i></p>
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

C.2. Assessment of the project implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD

<p><b>&lt;Means of verification&gt;</b></p> <p><b>&lt;Findings&gt;</b>  <i>Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.</i></p>
---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>**  
*Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.*

C.3. Compliance of calibration frequency and correction of measured values with related requirements

**<Means of verification>**

**<Findings>**  
*Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.*

**<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>**  
*Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.*

C.4. Assessment of data and calculation of GHG emission reductions

**<Means of verification>**

Parameters	Monitored values	Method to check values in the monitoring report with sources

**<Findings>**  
*Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.*

**<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>**  
*Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.*

C.5. Assessment of avoidance of double registration

**<Means of verification>**

<p><b>&lt;Findings&gt;</b> <i>Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.</i></p> <p><b>&lt;Conclusion based on reporting requirements&gt;</b> <i>Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.</i></p>
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

C.6. Post registration changes

<p><b>&lt;Means of verification&gt;</b></p> <p><b>&lt;Findings&gt;</b> <i>Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.</i></p> <p><b>&lt;Conclusion based on reporting requirements&gt;</b> <i>Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.</i></p>
------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

**D. Assessment of response to remaining issues**

An assessment of response to the remaining issues including FARs from the validation and/or previous verification period, if appropriate

------------------------------------------

### E. Verified amount of emission reductions achieved

Year	Verified Emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Reference Emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Project Emissions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)	Verified Emission Reductions (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)
2013				
2014				
2015				
2016				
2017				
2018				
2019				
2020				
Total (tCO <sub>2</sub> e)				

### F. List of interviewees and documents received

#### F.1. List of interviewees

--

#### F.2. List of documents received

--

**Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's verification team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers**

*Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.*