Validation Report Form

Insert the symbol of the accreditation body from which the TPE is accredited

A. Summary of validation

A.1. General Information

Title of the project	
Reference number	
Third-party entity (TPE)	
Project participant contracting the TPE	
Date of completion of this report	
Version number of the PDD to which this validation report applies:	
Sectoral scope(s):	

A.2 Opinion of validation and level of assurance

Overall validation opinion	☐ Positive ☐ Negative
☐ Unqualified opinion	Based on the process and procedure conducted, XXX (TPE's name) provides reasonable assurance that the emission reductions or removals for YYYY (project name) ✓ Are free of material errors and are a fair representation of the GHG data and information, and ✓ Are prepared in line with the related JCM rules, procedure, guidelines, forms and other relevant documents

(If the overall validation opinion is negative, please check below and state its reasons.) ☐ Qualified Opinion ☐ Adverse opinion ☐ Disclaimer	<State the reasons>

A.3. Overview of final validation opinion

Only when all of the checkboxes are checked, overall validation opinion is positive.

Item	Validation requirements	No CAR or CL remaining
Project design document form	1. The TPE determines whether the PDD was completed using the latest version of the PDD forms appropriate to the type of project and drafted in line with the Guidelines for Developing the Joint Crediting Mechanism (JCM) Project Design Document, Monitoring Plan and Monitoring Report.	☐
Project description	The description of the proposed JCM project in the PDD is accurate, complete, and provides comprehension of the proposed JCM project. This includes description of applied technologies/ measures and the attached map of the project location.	☐
Application of approved JCM methodology (ies)	The project is eligible for applying applied methodology and that the applied version is valid at the time of submission of the proposed JCM project for validation.	☐
Emission sources and calculation of emission reductions	All relevant GHG emission sources covered in the methodology are addressed for the purpose of calculating project emissions or removals and reference emissions or removals for the proposed JCM project.	☐
	The values for project specific parameters to be fixed *ex ante* listed in the Monitoring Plan Sheet are appropriate, if applicable.	☐

Environmental impact assessment	The Project Participants conducted an environmental impact assessment, if required by Government of the Republic of India, in line with Government of the Republic of India's procedures.	☐
Local stakeholder consultation	The Project Participants have completed a local stakeholder consultation process and that due steps were taken to engage stakeholders and solicit comments for the proposed project.	☐
Monitoring	The description of the Monitoring Plan (Monitoring Plan Sheet and Monitoring Structure Sheet) is based on the approved methodology and/or Guidelines for Developing the Joint Crediting Mechanism (JCM) Project Design Document, Monitoring Plan, and Monitoring Report. The monitoring points for measurement are appropriate, as well as whether the types of equipment to be installed are appropriate if necessary. The TPE determines whether the uncertainty has been addressed appropriately.	☐
Public inputs	All inputs on the PDD of the proposed JCM project submitted in line with the Project Cycle Procedure are taken into due account by the Project Participants.	☐
Modalities of communications	The corporate identity of all Project Participants and a focal point, as well as the personal identities, including specimen signatures and employment status, of their authorized signatories are included in the Modalities of Communication Statement Form.	☐
	The Modalities of Communication Statement Form has been correctly completed and duly authorized.	☐
Avoidance of double registration	The proposed JCM project is not registered under other GHG mitigation crediting mechanisms.	☐
Start of operation	The start of the operating date of the proposed JCM project does not predate 7th August 2025.	☐
Stakeholder consultation	Stakeholder consultation process was assessed in accordance with the applicable	☐

	validation requirements in the validation guidelines.	
Technologies/ measures in the country prior to project implementation	Assessment of technologies/ measures in the country prior to project implementation as highlighted by the Project Participants is appropriate.	☐

Authorised signatory:	
Last name:	First name:
Title:	
Specimen signature:	Date: dd/mm/yyyy

B. Validation team and other experts

Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technical competence*	On-site visit	Type of resource (IR/EI/OR)**	Involved in (DDR/OSI/I/VF) ***
			☐		☐		
			☐		☐		
			☐		☐		
			☐		☐		

Please specify the following for each item.

* *Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.*

* *Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.*

** Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.*

*** Use of one of the following abbreviations referring to : (a) IR (Internal Resource); (b) EI (External Individuals); (c) OR (Outsourced Resource).*

**** Use of the following abbreviations referring to checklist items: (a) DDR (Desk/Document Review); (b) OSI (On-site Inspection); (c) I (Interviews); (d) VF (Validation Findings).*

C. Means of validation, findings, and opinion based on reporting requirements

C.1. Project design document form

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Opinion based on reporting requirements>

Please state opinion based on reporting requirements.

C.2. Project description

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Opinion based on reporting requirements>

Please state opinion based on reporting requirements.

C.3. Application of approved methodology(ies)

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Opinion based on reporting requirements>

Please state opinion based on reporting requirements.

C.4. Emission sources and calculation of emission reductions or removals

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Opinion based on reporting requirements>

Please state opinion based on reporting requirements.

C.5. Environmental impact assessment

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Opinion based on reporting requirements>

Please state opinion based on reporting requirements.

C.6. Local stakeholder consultation

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Opinion based on reporting requirements>

Please state opinion based on reporting requirements.

C.7. Monitoring

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Opinion based on reporting requirements>

Please state opinion based on reporting requirements.

C.8. Modalities of Communication

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Opinion based on reporting requirements>

Please state opinion based on reporting requirements.

C.9. Avoidance of double registration

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
Please state that the project is not registered under other GHG mitigation crediting mechanisms.

<Opinion based on reporting requirements>

Please state opinion based on reporting requirements.

C.10. Start of operation

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Opinion based on reporting requirements>

Please state opinion based on reporting requirements.

C.11. Ownership

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Opinion based on reporting requirements>

Please state opinion based on reporting requirements.

C.12. Avoidance of double accounting

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Opinion based on reporting requirements>

Please state opinion based on reporting requirements.

C.13. Other issues

<Means of validation>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Opinion based on reporting requirements>

Please state opinion based on reporting requirements.

D. Information on public inputs

D.1. Summary of public inputs

D.2. Summary of how inputs received have been taken into account by the Project Participants

E. List of interviewees and documents received

E.1. List of interviewees

E.2. List of documents received

F. Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.