JCM Project Design Document Form

A. Project description

A.1. Title of the JCM project

Energy Saving for Air-Conditioning at Textile Factory by Introducing High-efficiency Centrifugal Chiller in Karawang, West Java

A.2. General description of project and applied technologies and/or measures

The proposed JCM project aims to improve energy saving for air conditioning and cooling by introducing high-efficiency centrifugal chiller in a textile factory in Indonesia. The factory needs considerable energy, and chillers consume significant amount of energy compared with the other machines in the factory. The proposed project covers Factory #2 of a textile factory of PT. Nikawa Textile Industry in Karawang, West Java province in Indonesia.

The factory has two units of absorption chillers. In addition, the factory once had an old chiller which was demolished. To cover the capacity of the old chiller, the additional high-efficiency chiller was installed, and was applied to JCM project.

The chiller was installed in November 2014 and started its operation in December 2014.

A.3. Location of project, including coordinates

| Country | Republic of Indonesia |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|
| Region/State/Province etc.: | West Java Province |
| City/Town/Community etc: | Karawang Regency |
| Latitude, longitude | S6°22'3", E107°19'15" |

A.4. Name of project participants

| The Republic of Indonesia | PT. Nikawa Textile Industry | |
|---------------------------|---|--|
| Japan | Nippon Koei Co., Ltd. (Focal Point) | |
| | Ebara Refrigeration Equipment & Systems Co., Ltd. | |

A.5. Duration

| Starting date of project operation | 20/12/2014 |
|--|------------|
| Expected operational lifetime of project | 7 years |

A.6. Contribution from developed countries

The proposed project was financially supported by the Ministry of the Environment, Japan through the financing programme for JCM model project which seeks to acquire JCM credits.

As for technology transfer, Ebara Refrigeration Equipment & Systems Co., Ltd. (ERS) has provided the following supports to PT. Nikawa Textile Industry:

- Direct instruction on proper operation, and
- Efficient monitoring through remote monitoring system

B. Application of an approved methodology(ies)

| Selected approved methodology No. | ID_AM002 |
|-----------------------------------|----------|
| Version number | 2.0 |

| ` | tion of now the project meets englointy criteria | | |
|-------------|--|--|--|
| Eligibility | Descriptions specified in the methodology | Project information | |
| criteria | | | |
| Criterion 1 | Project chiller is a centrifugal chiller with a | Project chiller (Ebara high efficiency | |
| | capacity of less than 1,250 USRt. * 1 USRt = | centrifugal chiller : RTBF 050) is a | |
| | 3.52 kW | centrifugal chiller with a capacity of | |
| | | 499 USRt. | |
| | | [Calculation] | |
| | | 1758 [kW] / 3.52 = 499.4 499 | |
| | | [USRt] | |
| Criterion 2 | COP for project chiller <i>i</i> calculated under | The COP for project chiller | |
| | the standardizing temperature conditions* | $(COP_{PJ,tc,i})$ which is introduced to the | |
| | $(\text{COP}_{PJ,tc,i})$ is more than 6.0. $\text{COP}_{PJ,tc,i}$ is a | proposed project is 6.25. | |
| | recalculation of COP of project chiller i | [Calculation result] | |
| | $(\text{COP}_{\text{PJ},i})$ adjusting temperature conditions | 7.14 x (36.9 – 11 + 1.5 + 1.5) / (37.0 | |
| | from the project specific condition to the | -7 + 1.5 + 1.5) = 6.216 6.25 | |
| | standardizing conditions. $\text{COP}_{PJ,i}$ is derived | | |
| | in specifications prepared for the quotation | | |
| | or factory acceptance test data at the time | | |
| | of shipment by manufacturer. | | |
| | [Equation to calculate COP _{PJ,tc,i}] | | |
| | $COP_{PJ,tc,i} = COP_{PJ,i} \times [(T_{cooling-out,i})]$ | | |
| | $- T_{chilled-out,i} + TD_{chilled}$ | | |
| | + $TD_{cooling}$) ÷ (37 – 7 | | |
| | + $TD_{chilled}$ + $TD_{cooling}$)] | | |

B.2. Explanation of how the project meets eligibility criteria of the approved methodology

| | $COP_{PJ,tc,i}$: COP of project chiller i calculated under the standardizing temperature conditions* [-] $COP_{PJ,i}$: COP of project chiller i under the project specific conditions [-] $T_{cooling-out,i}$: Output cooling water temperature of project chiller i set under the project specific condition [degree Celsius] $T_{chilled-out,i}$: Output chilled water temperature of project chiller i set under the project specific condition [degree Celsius] $TD_{cooling}$: Temperature difference between condensing temperature of refrigerant and output cooling water temperature 1.5 degree Celsius set as a default value [degree Celsius] $TD_{chilled}$: Temperature difference between evaporating temperature of refrigerant and output chilled water temperature, 1.5 degree Celsius set as a default value [degree Celsius] $TD_{chilled}$: Temperature difference between evaporating temperature of refrigerant and output chilled water temperature, 1.5 degree Celsius set as a default value [degree Celsius] The standardizing temperature conditions to calculate COP _{PJ,tc,i} Chilled water: output 7 degree Celsius input Output 37 degree Celsius input 32 degree Celsius | |
|-------------|---|---|
| Criterion 3 | Periodical check is planned more than four (4) times annually. | ERS and PT Ebara Indonesia (PTEI, subsidiary of the ERS which is a chiller manufacturer) agreed to conduct at least one direct periodical check per year by PTEI and remote periodical checks every month by the remote monitoring system by ERS. This remote monitoring system automatically detects the potential error every hour and reports any abnormal condition of chiller to ERS immediately. This periodical check procedure both by direct and remote method is more frequent, effective and better than five times of periodical checks stipulated in the methodology (ID_AM002). |
| Criterion 4 | Ozone Depletion Potential (ODP) of the refrigerant used for project chiller is zero. | Refrigerant for the project chiller is HFC 245fa, whose ODP is zero. |
| Criterion 5 | Plan for not releasing refrigerant used for project chiller is prepared. In the case of | Letter of consent on not releasing refrigerant used for the project chiller |

| rep | placing the existing chiller with the | was prepared by PT Nikawa Textile |
|-----|--|-------------------------------------|
| pro | oject chiller, refrigerant used for the | Industry. This project aims at |
| exi | isting chiller is not released to the air. | introduction of a new chiller, thus |
| | replacement of existing chiller | |
| | | considered. |

C. Calculation of emission reductions

C.1. All emission sources and their associated greenhouse gases relevant to the JCM project

| Reference emissions | | |
|--|-----------------|--|
| Emission sources | GHG type | |
| Power consumption by reference chiller | CO_2 | |
| Project emissions | | |
| Emission sources | GHG type | |
| Power consumption by project chiller | CO ₂ | |

C.2. Figure of all emission sources and monitoring points relevant to the JCM project



Note : The power for the project chiller is supplied from the Grid, and the Project Chiller will utilize grid power only. Meanwhile, the factory has independent coal and diesel generation system, i.e., captive power. When Grid power is cut, the Project Chiller is stopped immediately and automatically, and the factory uses no captive power for Project Chiller. Letter of Consent for Sole Supply of Grid Electricity to the Project Chiller is attached to this PDD. The data of energy consumption of the project chiller to calculate the emission reduction amount applies the manual recorded data of meter #1. The automatic data collection for energy consumption by the remote monitoring system is also conducted and checked in case of manual recorded data is not available.

| c.s. Estimated emissions reductions in each year | | | |
|--|---|---|---|
| Year | Estimated Reference Emissions (tCO _{2e}) | Estimated Project Emissions (tCO _{2e}) | Estimated Emission Reductions (tCO _{2e}) |
| 2014 | 63.86 | 57.12 | 6.00 |
| 2015 | 1,942.56 | 1,737.42 | 205.00 |
| 2016 | 1,942.56 | 1,737.42 | 205.00 |
| 2017 | 1,942.56 | 1,737.42 | 205.00 |
| 2018 | 1,942.56 | 1,737.42 | 205.00 |
| 2019 | 1,942.56 | 1,737.42 | 205.00 |
| 2020 | 1,942.56 | 1,737.42 | 205.00 |
| Total (tCO _{2e}) | 11,719.21 | 10,481.66 | 1,236.00 |

C.3. Estimated emissions reductions in each year

| D. Environmental impact assessment | |
|--|----|
| Legal requirement of environmental impact assessment for | No |
| the proposed project | |

E. Local stakeholder consultation

E.1. Solicitation of comments from local stakeholders

PP identified following stakeholders accommodating the suggestions from Indonesian JCM Secretariat.

[Direct stakeholders] Factory staff related to chiller operations, namely the Factory Director, supervisors and chiller operators.

[Indirect stakeholders] Staff of local governments and related private sector

organization such as textile association since they enjoy the benefit of the project (GHG reduction, energy saving, and capacity development) within their administrative boundary.

The PP conducted a face-to-face interview and local stakeholder consultation with identified stakeholders (see table below).

| # | Date | Venue | Method |
|---|----------------|--|------------------------|
| 1 | March 11, 2015 | Meeting room and factory of PT. Nikawa | Face-to-face interview |
| | | Textile Industry | and factory visit |
| 2 | August 6, 2015 | Meeting room in West Java Governor's | Local Stakeholder |
| | | Office | Consultation |

| Stakeholders | Comments received | Consideration of comments |
|--------------|---|---------------------------|
| | | received |
| Factory | Nikawa is satisfied with the capacity and No action is necessary. | |
| Director | performance of the high-efficiency | |
| | centrifugal chiller. | |
| Supervisors | The centrifugal chiller has higher | No action is necessary. |
| | efficiency than the old units and has | |
| | the effect of energy saving. The method | |
| | for operation is simpler than that of the | |
| | previous systems. Required time for | |
| | starting is much shorter (2 min.) than the | |
| | previous one (15 min.). | |
| | For maintenance, the chiller is running | |
| | without any trouble till August 2015, and | |
| | trouble shooting of the project chiller | |
| | seems easier than the other chillers in the | |
| | factory | |
| Chiller | The project chiller has no problem. If No action is necessary. | |
| Operators | any trouble occurs, Ebara RS will take | |
| | care, while we can also check with the | |
| | error codes and countermeasures | |
| | following the manual provided by | |
| | Ebara RS. | |
| Local | West Java government appreciates the | No action is necessary. |

E.2. Summary of comments received and their consideration (as of 1st Application, need update)

| Stakeholders | Comments received | Consideration of comments |
|---------------|--|---------------------------|
| | | received |
| governments | GHG reduction effect of the project | |
| (Provincial | and is ready to support the Project in | |
| Government of | case necessary. Further extension of | |
| West Java | this model project is required. | |
| Province) | | |

F. References

Reference lists to support descriptions in the PDD, if any.

Annex

| Revision history of PDD | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------|---|--|--|
| Version | Date | Contents revised | | |
| 1.0 | 27/11/2015 | First edition | | |
| 1.1 | 14/12/2015 | 1) Section A.2: The description that the project chiller covers | | |
| | | Factory #2 was added. History of the project chiller | | |
| | | installation was modified with mentioning the demolished old | | |
| | | chiller. | | |
| | | 2) Section A.5: Starting date of project operation was | | |
| | | corrected to 20/12/2014. | | |
| | | 3) Section C.2: The description "The data of energy | | |
| | | consumption of the project chiller to calculate the emission | | |
| | | reduction amount applies the manual recorded data of meter | | |
| | | #1. The automatic data collection for energy consumption by | | |
| | | the remote monitoring system is also conducted and checked | | |
| | | in case of manual recorded data is not available." was added. | | |
| 1.2 | 15/01/16 | 1) Section B.2: In Criterion 2, $\text{COP}_{\text{PJ,tc,i}}$ was modified from | | |
| | | 6.22 to 6.25 after reconfirmation of equipment test record. | | |
| | | 2) Section C.2: Estimated emission reductions in each year | | |
| | | was modified according to the above change of $\ensuremath{\text{COP}}_{\ensuremath{\text{PJ}},tc,i}$ | | |
| | | value. | | |
| 2.0 | 19/02/2016 | 1) Section A.2: The regency city name of Kawarang was | | |

| corrected to Karawang. | |
|--|--|
| 2) Section C.3: Title of the table was corrected from "Annual | |
| Emission Reductions (tCO _{2e})" to "Estimated Emission | |
| Reductions (tCO _{2e})" | |
| 3) Section C.3: The emission reductions for the year 2021 was | |
| deleted and correspondingly total amount of emissions was | |
| modified from 1,441.00 tCO _{2e} to 1,236.00 tCO _{2e} . | |