JCM Verification Report Form

A. Summary of verification						
A.1. General Information	on					
Title of the project						
Reference number						
Monitoring period			dd/mm/yyyy - dd/mm/yyyy			
Date of completion of t	he monitorii	ng report	dd/mm/yyyy			
Third-party entity (TPE	E)					
Project participant cont	racting the T	PE				
Date of completion of t	his report		dd/mm/yyyy			
A.2 Conclusion of verification opi		level of as	tive			
Unqualified opinion Based of (TPE's emission ✓ Are repr ✓ Are production		(TPE's remission ✓ Are repr ✓ Are proc	on the process and procedure conducted, XXX name) provides reasonable assurance that the reductions for YYYY (project name) free of material errors and are a fair resentation of the GHG data and information, and prepared in line with the related JCM rules, cedure, guidelines, forms and other relevant uments			
(If overall verification opinion is negative, please check below and state its reasons.) Qualified Opinion Adverse opinion Disclaimer		<state td="" th<=""><td>e reasons></td><td></td></state>	e reasons>			
A.3. Overview of the vo	erification re	esults				
Item	Verifica		ation requirements	No CAR or CL		
				remaining		
	project and	its operati	s the conformity of the actual on with the eligibility criteria of ogy.			

project The TPE assesses the status of the actual project and

methodology

The

Item	Verification req	No CAR or CL	
		remaining	
implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD	its operation with the registere approved revised PDD.		
and correction of measured values with related requirements	If monitoring Option C determines whether the measurement been properly calibrated in liplan and whether measurement corrected, where necessary, reductions in line with the Guidelines.		
	The TPE assesses the data at emission reductions achieved project by the application of methodology.		
Avoidance of double registration	The TPE determines wheth registered under other internal mechanisms.		
Post registration changes	The TPE determines who registration changes from the methodology which prevent methodology.		
Authorised signatory:		Mr. Ms.	
Last name:		First name:	
Title:			
Specimen signature:		Dat	e: dd/mm/yyyy

B. Verification team and other experts

	Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technical competence*	On-sit e visit
Mr. Ms.						
Mr. \square						
Mr. \square						
Mr. Ms.						

Please specify the following for each item.

- * Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.
- * Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.
- * Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.

C. Means of verification, findings and conclusions based on reporting requirements

C.1. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology

<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.2. Assessment of the project implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD

<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

C.3. Complia requirements	ance of calibration	frequency and correction of measured values with related		
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>erification></td><td></td></means>	erification>			
<conclusion< td=""><td>based on reporting</td><td>Rs are raised, and how they are resolved. g requirements> reporting requirements.</td></conclusion<>	based on reporting	Rs are raised, and how they are resolved. g requirements> reporting requirements.		
Tieuse siuie c	onclusion basea on	reporting requirements.		
		ulation of GHG emission reductions		
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>erification></td><td></td></means>	erification>			
Parameters	Monitored values	Method to check values in the monitoring report with sources		
<pre><findings> Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.</findings></pre>				
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>				
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.				
C.5. Assessme	C.5. Assessment of avoidance of double registration			
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>erification></td><td></td></means>	erification>			

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

 ${\it Please \ state \ conclusion \ based \ on \ reporting \ requirements}.$

<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
C.6. Post registration changes
<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
D. Assessment of response to remaining issues
An assessment of response to the remaining issues including FARs from the validation and/or
previous verification period, if appropriate

E. Verified amount of emission reductions achieved

Year	Verified	Reference	Verified	Project	Verified	Emission
	Emissions (tCO ₂ e)		Emissions (tCO ₂ e)		Reductions (tCO ₂ e)	
2013						
2014						
2015						
2016						
2017						
2018						
2019						
2020						
Total						
(tCO ₂ e)						

F. List of interviewees and documents received
F.1. List of interviewees
F.2. List of documents received

Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's verification team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.