JCM Verification Report Form

A. Summary of verific	cation				
A.1. General Information					
Title of the project					
Reference number					
Monitoring period			dd/mm/yyyy - dd/mm/yyyy		
Date of completion of t	the monitoria	ng report	dd/mm/yyyy		
Third-party entity (TPI	Ε)				
Project participant cont	racting the T	TPE			
Date of completion of t	this report		dd/mm/yyyy		
A.2 Conclusion of veri					
Overall verification op	inion	☐ Posit			
Unqualified opinio	n	Nega		conducted YYY	
Onquanned opinio	11		on the process and procedure conducted, XXX name) provides reasonable assurance that the		
		reductions for YYYY (project nan			
		free of material errors ar			
		esentation of the GHG data and in			
*		prepared in line with the rela			
			edure, guidelines, forms and		
		_	uments		
(7.6 11 1.6 1.1 1.6			e reasons>		
state its reasons.)					
Qualified Opinion					
Adverse opinion					
☐ Disclaimer					
A.3. Overview of the v	erification re				
Item	Verification requirements No CAR or CL				

Item	Verification requirements	No CAR or CL
		remaining
implementation with	The TPE determines the conformity of the actual project and its operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology.	
The project	The TPE assesses the status of the actual project and	

Item	Verification req	No CAR or CL		
		remaining		
implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD	its operation with the registere approved revised PDD.			
and correction of	If monitoring Option C determines whether the measurement been properly calibrated in liplan and whether measurement corrected, where necessary, reductions in line with the Guidelines.			
	The TPE assesses the data and calculations of GHG emission reductions achieved by/resulting from the project by the application of the selected approved methodology.			
Avoidance of double registration	The TPE determines wheth registered under other internal mechanisms.			
Post registration changes	The TPE determines who registration changes from the methodology which prevent methodology.			
Authorised signatory:		Mr. Ms.		
Last name:		First name:		
Title:				
Specimen signature:		Dat	e: dd/mm/yyyy	

B. Verification team and other experts

	Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technical competence*	On-sit e visit
Mr. Ms.						
Mr. \square						
Mr. \square						
Mr. Ms.						

Please specify the following for each item.

- * Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.
- * Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.
- * Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.

C. Means of verification, findings and conclusions based on reporting requirements

C.1. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology

41
<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Conclusion based on reporting requirements/
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.2. Assessment of the project implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD

<means of="" verification=""></means>	
<findings></findings>	
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.	

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.					
C.3. Complia requirements	nce of calibration	frequency and correction of measured values with related			
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>rification></td><td></td></means>	rification>				
<findings> Please state if</findings>	CARs, CLs, or FAR	es are raised, and how they are resolved.			
<conclusion< td=""><td>based on reporting</td><td>g requirements></td></conclusion<>	based on reporting	g requirements>			
Please state co	onclusion based on	reporting requirements.			
C.4. Assessme	ent of data and calcu	ulation of GHG emission reductions			
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>rification></td><td></td></means>	rification>				
Parameters	Monitored	Method to check values in the monitoring report with			
	values	sources			
<findings></findings>					
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.					
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>					
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.					
C.5. Assessment of avoidance of double registration					
		double registration			
<means of="" verification=""></means>					

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
C.6. Post registration changes
<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
D. Assessment of response to remaining issues
An assessment of response to the remaining issues including FARs from the validation and/or
previous verification period, if appropriate

E. Verified amount of emission reductions achieved

E		Verified	Project	Verified	Emission	
Emissions (tCC	Emissions (tCO ₂ e)		Emissions (tCO ₂ e)		Reductions (tCO ₂ e)	
<u>)₂e)</u>						

Note:

The verified emission reductions in each year are rounded down after the decimal point.

F. List of interviewees and documents received
F.1. List of interviewees
F.2. List of documents received

Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's verification team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.