JCM Verification Report Form

A. Summary of verification				
A.1. General Information				
Title of the project				
Reference number				
Monitoring period				
Date of completion of the monitoring report				
Third-party entity (TPE)				
Project participant contracting the TPE				
Date of completion of this report				

A.2 Conclusion of verification and level of assurance

Overall verification opinion	Positive		
	Negative		
Unqualified opinion	Based on the process and procedure conducted, XXX		
	(TPE's name) provides reasonable assurance that the		
	emission reductions for YYYY (project name)		
	\checkmark Are free of material errors and are a fair representation		
	of the GHG data and information, and		
	\checkmark Are prepared in line with the related JCM rules,		
	procedure, guidelines, forms and other relevant		
	documents		
(If overall verification opinion is	<state reasons="" the=""></state>		
negative, please check below and state its reasons.)			
Qualified Opinion			
Adverse opinion			
Disclaimer			

A.3. Overview of the verification results

Item	Verification requirements	No CAR or CL
		remaining
The project	The TPE determines the conformity of the actual	
implementation with	project and its operation with the eligibility criteria of	
the eligibility criteria	the applied methodology.	
of the applied		
methodology		
The project	The TPE assesses the status of the actual project and its	

Item	Verification requirements	No CAR or CL
		remaining
implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD	operation with the registered/validated PDD or any approved revised PDD.	
and correction of measured values with	If monitoring Option C is selected, the TPE determines whether the measuring equipment have been properly calibrated in line with the monitoring plan and whether measured values are properly corrected, where necessary, to calculate emission reductions in line with the PDD and Monitoring Guidelines.	
	The TPE assesses the data and calculations of GHG emission reductions achieved by/resulting from the project by the application of the selected approved methodology.	
Avoidance of double registration	The TPE determines whether the project is not registered under other international climate mitigation mechanisms.	
Post registration changes	The TPE determines whether there are post registration changes from the registered PDD and/or methodology which prevent the use of the applied methodology.	

Authorised signatory:	
Last name:	First name:
Title:	
Specimen signature:	Date: dd/mm/yyyy

B. Verification team and other experts

Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technical competence*	On-site visit
				_	

Please specify the following for each item.

- * Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.
- * Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.
- * Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.

C. Means of verification, findings and conclusions based on reporting requirements

C.1. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology

<Means of verification>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.2. Assessment of the project implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD

<Means of verification>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.3. Compliance of calibration frequency and correction of measured values with related requirements

<Means of verification>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.4. Assessment of data and calculation of GHG emission reductions

<means of="" th="" ve<=""><th>rification></th><th></th></means>	rification>	
Parameters	Monitored values	Method to check values in the monitoring report with sources

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.5. Assessment of avoidance of double registration

<Means of verification>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

C.6. Post registration changes

<Means of verification>

<Findings>

Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.

D. Assessment of response to remaining issues

An assessment of response to the remaining issues including FARs from the validation and/or previous verification period, if appropriate

E. Verified	amount of	emission	reductions	achieved
E. vermeu	amount of	CHIISSIUII	reactions	acmeveu

Year	Verified Reference	Verified Project Emissions	Verified Emission
	Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	(tCO_2e)	Reductions (tCO ₂ e)
2021			
2022			
2023			
2024			
2025			
2026			
2027			
2028			
2029			
2030			
Total (tC	O ₂ e)		

*Note: Rows may be added as necessary up to the end of the period referred to in paragraph 5 of the Rules of Implementation of the Joint Crediting Mechanism (JCM).

F. List of interviewees and documents received

F.1. List of interviewees

F.2. List of documents received

Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's verification team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.