JCM Verification Report Form

A. Summary of verific	cation			
A.1. General Information				
Title of the project				
Reference number				
Monitoring period				
Date of completion of t	he monitorin	ng report		
Third-party entity (TPF	Ε)			
Project participant cont	racting the T	PE		
Date of completion of t	his report			
		Posit	ive	
		☐ Nega		
(TPE's emission ✓ Are repr ✓ Are product		name) provides reasonable ass reductions for YYYY (project names of material errors are esentation of the GHG data and in prepared in line with the related of the cedure, guidelines, forms and the ments of the reasons.	urance that the ne) nd are a fair nformation, and ated JCM rules,	
A.3. Overview of the v	A.3. Overview of the verification results			
Item Verific		Verifica	ation requirements	No CAR or CL
				remaining
implementation with	The TPE determines the conformity of the actual project and its operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology.			

project The TPE assesses the status of the actual project and

The

Item	Verification req	uirements	No CAR or CL
			remaining
implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD	its operation with the registere approved revised PDD.		
and correction of	If monitoring Option C is selected, the TPE determines whether the measuring equipments have been properly calibrated in line with the monitoring plan and whether measured values are properly corrected, where necessary, to calculate emission reductions in line with the PDD and Monitoring Guidelines.		
	The TPE assesses the data and calculations of GHG emission reductions achieved by/resulting from the project by the application of the selected approved methodology.		:
Avoidance of double registration	The TPE determines whether the project is not registered under other international climate mitigation mechanisms.		
Post registration changes	The TPE determines whether there are post registration changes from the registered PDD and/or methodology which prevent the use of the applied methodology.		
Authorised signatory:		Mr. Ms.	
Last name:		First name:	
Title:			
Specimen signature: Date			te: dd/mm/yyyy

B. Verification team and other experts

	Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technical competence*	On-sit e visit
Mr. Ms.						
Mr. \square						
Mr. \square						
Mr. Ms.						

Please specify the following for each item.

- * Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.
- * Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.
- * Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.

C. Means of verification, findings and conclusions based on reporting requirements

C.1. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology

<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings> Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.</findings>
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""> Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.</conclusion>

C.2. Assessment of the project implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD

<means of="" verification=""></means>	
<findings></findings>	
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.	

Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.				
C.3. Complia	C.3. Compliance of calibration frequency and correction of measured values with related requirements			
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>erification></td><td></td></means>	erification>			
<findings> Please state if</findings>	^F CARs, CLs, or FAF	Rs are raised, and how they are resolved.		
<conclusion< td=""><td>based on reporting</td><td>g requirements></td></conclusion<>	based on reporting	g requirements>		
Please state c	onclusion based on	reporting requirements.		
		ulation of GHG emission reductions		
<means of="" td="" ve<=""><td>erification></td><td></td></means>	erification>			
Parameters	Monitored	Method to check values in the monitoring report with		
	values	sources		
<findings></findings>				
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.				
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>				
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.				
C.5. Assessment of avoidance of double registration Means of verification>				
(ivicalis of verification)				

<Conclusion based on reporting requirements>

<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
C.6. Post registration changes
<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
D. Assessment of response to remaining issues
An assessment of response to the remaining issues including FARs from the validation and/or
previous verification period, if appropriate

E. Verified amount of emission reductions achieved

Year	Verified Reference	Verified Project	Verified Emission
	Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Emissions (tCO ₂ e)	Reductions (tCO ₂ e)
2013			
2014			
2015			
2016			
2017			
2018			
2019			
2020			
2021			
2022			
2023			
2024			
2025			
2026			
2027			
2028			
2029			
2030			
Total (tCo	O ₂ e)		

Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's verification team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.