JCM Verification Report Form

A. Summary of verification						
A.1. General Information						
Title of the project						
Reference number						
Monitoring period						
Date of completion of th	ne monitorin	ng report				
Third-party entity (TPE))					
Project participant contr	acting the T	PE				
Date of completion of th	nis report					
		level of as Posit Nega	ive			
Unqualified opinion			n the process and procedure of	conducted YYY		
Onquanned opinion			name) provides reasonable ass			
			· · ·			
			emission reductions for <i>YYYY</i> (project name) ✓ Are free of material errors and are a fair			
		representation of the GHG data and information, and				
		✓ Are prepared in line with the related JCM rules,				
		procedure, guidelines, forms and other relevant				
		documents				
(If overall verification	opinion is	<state reasons="" the=""></state>				
negative, please check l	below and					
state its reasons.) Qualified Opinion						
Adverse opinion						
Disclaimer						
A.3. Overview of the ve	rification re	esults				
Item	Verification requirements No CAR or CI			No CAR or CL		
		remaining				
2 0			the conformity of the actual			
_	project and the applied i	_	on with the eligibility criteria of ogy.			
of the applied				_ _		

project The TPE assesses the status of the actual project and

Item	Verification req	No CAR or CL	
		remaining	
implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD	its operation with the registere approved revised PDD.		
and correction of	If monitoring Option C determines whether the mean been properly calibrated in I plan and whether measure corrected, where necessary, reductions in line with the Guidelines.		
	The TPE assesses the data at emission reductions achieved project by the application of methodology.	:	
Avoidance of double registration	The TPE determines wheth registered under other internal mechanisms.		
Post registration changes	The TPE determines who registration changes from the methodology which prevent methodology.	1 1	
Authorised signatory:		Mr. Ms.	
Last name:		First name:	
Title:			
Specimen signature:		Da	te: dd/mm/yyyy

B. Verification team and other experts

	Name	Company	Function*	Scheme competence*	Technical competence*	On-sit e visit
Mr. Ms.						
Mr. \square						
Mr. \square						
Mr. Ms.						

Please specify the following for each item.

- * Function: Indicate the role of the personnel in the validation activity such as team leader, team member, technical expert, or internal reviewer.
- * Scheme competence: Check the boxes if the personnel have sufficient knowledge on the JCM.
- * Technical competence: Indicate if the personnel have sufficient technical competence related to the project under validation.

C. Means of verification, findings and conclusions based on reporting requirements

C.1. Compliance of the project implementation and operation with the eligibility criteria of the applied methodology

<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings> Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.</findings>
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""> Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.</conclusion>

C.2. Assessment of the project implementation against the registered PDD or any approved revised PDD

<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.

<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>				
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.				
C.3. Compliance of calibration frequency and correction of measured values with related				
requirements				
<means of="" verification=""></means>				
<findings></findings>				
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.				
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>				
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.				
C.4. Assessment of data and calculation of GHG emission reductions				
<means of="" verification=""></means>				
Parameters Monitored Method to check values in the monitoring report with				
values sources				
<findings></findings>				
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.				
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>				
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.				
L O				
C.5. Assessment of avoidance of double registration				

<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
C.6. Post registration changes
<means of="" verification=""></means>
<findings></findings>
Please state if CARs, CLs, or FARs are raised, and how they are resolved.
<conclusion based="" on="" reporting="" requirements=""></conclusion>
Please state conclusion based on reporting requirements.
D. Assessment of response to remaining issues
An assessment of response to the remaining issues including FARs from the validation and/or
previous verification period, if appropriate

E. Verified amount of emission reductions achieved

Year	Verified	Reference	Verified	Project	Verified	Emission
	Emissions (tCO ₂ e)		Emissions (tCO ₂ e)		Reductions (tCO ₂ e)	
2013						
2014						
2015						
2016						
2017						
2018						
2019						
2020						
Total						
(tCO ₂ e)						

F. List of interviewees and documents received
F.1. List of interviewees
F.2. List of documents received

Annex Certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's verification team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers

Please attach certificates or curricula vitae of TPE's validation team members, technical experts and internal technical reviewers.